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A reflection on the 'Big Draw' events (October 2007 and 2008)

Jo Hassall and Helen Loughran

Every October over 1,200 UK venues, from scout huts and schools to national museums and palaces, take part in 'The Big Draw' (www.campaignfordrawing.org/bigdraw/index.aspx). This annual programme demonstrates that drawing is the perfect medium for learning, observation, self-expression and fun. The campaign celebrates the hundreds of creative ways artists, teachers, cultural educators and others use drawing to connect people with museum and gallery collections, their heritage and the wider community.

Over the last two years over 200 students from the Faculty of Arts and Society have taken part in mass drawing activities for one day in the Library at Leeds Met under the banner of 'The Big Draw'.

In October 2007 the event took place over 24 hours and found a fitting location in the Library, which from September that year had extended its opening hours to 24/7 opening throughout the year. The 2008 event offered the chance for students to explore how learning spaces might be re-imagined in an innovative and unique way through the drawn (in its widest sense) medium. This involved orchestrating a range of activities that could demonstrate a different kind of exploration and use of a learning and teaching space. Some of the collaborative drawing activities included:

• Drawing an Audience: drawing on a wall of glass panels, students collaborated to draw heads (using references from the Library’s art books)
• The Portrait Booth: a hybrid bird hide and photo booth (drawing in the dark and anonymous drawing of sitters to produce portraits)
• The Parlour: Literary Genre Tattoos drawn onto skin (using tattoo pens, not needles!)
• Typo Cube Club: giant cubes with drawn type (sourced from obscure typefaces found in the Library)
• Make it Move Corner: a dedicated area to produce stop-framed animations in a day
• Drawn Bibliography: students drew their research onto glass panels.

The invitation to ‘create within the space’ offered an opportunity for teachers and students to explore the potential of an existing space from an alternative perspective and to realise some of this potential through a creative process.

The public nature of these events helped students to think about their working practices beyond the comfort of their own studio space. The impact of an audience meant there was an increased sense of responsibility among students in that their concepts had to be visually communicated in a different place and with more clarity. Depending on the drawing activity involved, there was an acknowledgement by the students that whatever they were drawing had to be done well enough for an audience to be able to ‘read’ it clearly, leading to a heightened sense of the importance of visual reference and the role of a library in providing this.

Although there was some prior planning from staff of what would happen and where, the excitement and ‘buzz’ during the event became apparent when unexpected outcomes began to emerge and passers-by took a real interest in what was happening during the ‘surprise’ transformation of the Library. The way this evolved is unsurprising given the nature of a library, a place to which you can often go for one thing and leave with something completely different. Drawn Post-it™ notes of what people came into the Library to do provided an interesting alternative to a questionnaire as a means of surveying Library users. People were asked to draw what they came in for.

Students were active in proposing their own ideas for different activities on the day, some of which were organised and prepared for in advance. Some outputs were produced in the weeks leading up to the main event, such as animations/films/printed material which we needed to ‘commission out’ to our students.

Some of the students took real ownership of their contributions and took pride in achieving them in a very public way. Such shared group activity across all levels is especially important in promoting engagement in Level 1 students. It enables them to have a sense of being valued within the wider community and to familiarise themselves with staff and students from that community and beyond. The interaction that took place between students from other Faculties also became instrumental in helping to forge connections between areas of specialisms: for example, Events Management students participated in drawing and discussing how to bring people together in an event such as this. Feedback from students strongly suggested that these events acted as a valuable catalyst in ‘kick starting’ a process of engagement with developing their creative
practices within and beyond their peer group early on in the academic calendar.

Some of the comments received from students were:

“I felt that I had a right to be somewhere that I might not normally feel that comfortable being.”

(This commonly held misperception by some students – that the library is a place where exclusively academic study should be undertaken – was challenged.)

“I felt that we were given a showcase to create our work which made what we do seem more valued.”

“I hadn’t realised the extent of the facilities/equipment in the library. Now, some of us make a point of using the space to meet up and find information as a regular weekly meeting.”

“It made me appreciate time from a different perspective. Seeing how a space can change over 24 hours, watching different staff come and go has definitely had an effect on my work.”

“It was an accessible place to share art with the public, not some stuffy art gallery.”

“There was no hierarchy between Graphic Arts and Design staff and students from different levels. It felt like we were all in the same boat with the same aims.”

This last view was shared by Graphic Arts and Design staff and there was a definite shift in teaching methods to a more ‘hands on’ method of working where we were actively involved in a creative process alongside students, as opposed to a more traditional, hierarchical model of teaching. This was ‘teaching through doing’ alongside the students. It highlighted the value of a process-led method of creativity to students, which is something we promote as educators. It felt quite exciting.

Being together in the space enabled a more open demonstration of how to access information and resources rather than students being urged to use this space from the comfort and confines of the tutorial. The Big Draw provided a convenient umbrella under which to do this.

In the Library, the help desk staff shared opinions about how the work was going, and the AV loans staff had ideas about what kinds of equipment might be appropriate (they do not normally see in person what the equipment is used for). During the 24-hour session the night shift for the security staff offered a different experience: they wouldn’t normally witness a film/photo shoot/animation being made in the Library.

There are clearly risk factors in inviting so many people to operate in a space not normally associated with this kind of activity and of allowing fairly wide-ranging activities to take place. However, without this risk the outcomes would have been less dynamic and less would have been learnt. Orchestrating this kind of collaborative effort can be overwhelmingly complex but if there had been a more ‘controlled’ staging of the event whereby expected outcomes were fixed, there would have been less opportunity for real learning to take place (for this there needs to be an element of uncertainty) or unexpected ‘gems’ to have been realised.

Ultimately, the success of an event like this is based on an intrinsic trust in people to help each other and be flexible in their approach. There will always be those who embrace opportunities to step outside their normal working practice without the reassurance of a definite outcome. There can also be understandable concern (from both staff and students) of being made to look incompetent, out of control and of being exposed publicly when embarking on activities not normally within their usual remit. Clearly defined boundaries and definite goals provide a more convenient means to measure the success of a project. However, sometimes the value lies within those areas that are difficult to measure, where things get shared between people, where fresh insight is realised, where new relationships are forged.

The students produced many outputs from these two events, marking the beginnings of future work, from stop-framed animations, short films and photographs to layered large-scale drawing and typography, most of which relied heavily on the use of image references from the Library (sometimes for the first time). This, together with the success of the collaborative effort, marks the event as a clear success for all who contributed and as an area of activity that we hope to be able to continue to develop in future.

Jo Hassall
Graphic Arts and Design
Helen Loughran
Libraries and Learning Innovation

Building student resilience through first-year Outdoor Adventure residential experience in higher education

John Allan, Jim McKenna and Mark Robinson

32 ALT JOURNAL NUMBER 6: SUMMER 2009