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**ABSTRACT**

Fluid and sodium balance is important for performance and health; however limited data in rugby union players exists. The purpose of the study was to evaluate body mass (BM) change (dehydration) and blood $[\text{Na}^+]$ change during exercise. Data was collected from 10 premiership rugby union players, over a 4-week period. Observations included match play (23 subject observations), field (45 subject observations) and gym (33 subject observations) training sessions. Arrival urine samples were analysed for osmolality and samples during exercise were analysed for $[\text{Na}^+]$. BM and blood $[\text{Na}^+]$ were determined pre- and post-exercise. Sweat $[\text{Na}^+]$ was analysed from sweat patches worn during exercise and fluid intake was measured during exercise. Calculations of fluid and Na$^+$ loss were made. Mean arrival urine osmolality was 423±157 mOsm/kg, suggesting players were adequately hydrated. Following match play, field and gym training BM loss was; 1.0±0.7, 0.3±0.6 and 0.1±0.6%. Fluid loss was significantly greater during match play (1.404±0.977 kg) than field (1.008±0.447 kg, $P=0.021$) and gym training (0.639±0.536 kg, $P<0.001$). Fluid intake was 0.955±0.562, 1.224±0.601 and 0.987±0.503 kg during match play, field and gym training. On 43% of observations, players were hyponatremic when BM increased, 57% when BM was maintained and 35% when there was a BM loss of 0.1 to 0.9%. Blood $[\text{Na}^+]$ was representative of normonatremia when BM loss was >1.0%. The findings demonstrate that RU players are adequately hydrated on arrival, fluid intake is excessive compared to fluid loss and some players are at risk of developing hyponatremia.
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INTRODUCTION

Fluid losses during team sports and the potential risk of dehydration, known as hyperosmol-hypovolemia (6), have been reported for a number of years (37). Rugby union players should attempt to prevent severe (>2%) dehydration (insufficient fluid intake:fluid loss) or hyperhydration (excessive fluid intake:fluid loss) during match play and training, thus maintaining fluid balance, due to the effect total body water has on tightly regulated homeostatic variables (6,8,29) and exercise performance (5,6). Athletes in other sports, albeit endurance, have been reported to over-drink during exercise (25,26,27,28) diluting tightly regulated blood[Na+] (135 – 145 mmol/L) (29). This can lead to hyponatremia (blood[Na+] <135 mmol/L), shown in endurance athletes to have negative effects on performance (28) and in extreme circumstances cause fatalities (15,30). The health of a recreational tennis player has also been compromised due to hyponatremia, resulting in cellular edema and brain swelling (40). To date, despite rugby union’s popularity as a professional and amateur sport in England, UK, studies have only explored body mass change during match play, reporting a loss of 1.94 ± 0.14 kg for forwards and 1.04 ± 0.17 kg for backs (24). With the exclusion of fluid intake, fluid loss, sodium loss and blood sodium concentration from studies of this type, there are no data for fluid balance and sodium homeostasis during match play and training in such a cohort.

Rugby union is an intermittent collision team sport played between two teams of 15 players, over two 40 minute periods, separated by a 10 minute rest interval (10,12). Players cover on average 6953m, at approximately 80-85% \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \), corresponding with an estimated energy expenditure of approximately 7.5 MJ (10), likely to cause elevated mean post-match rectal temperatures, previously observed in two studies; 39.41 ± 0.14°C (14) and 39.17 ± 0.06°C (7). Sweat loss is the key mechanism for heat dissipation to maintain body temperature (38) but it is unclear whether rugby union players habitually alter their drinking strategies during cooler environmental temperatures when there is a reduction in sweat loss.

International English rugby union U21 forwards and backs were reported to have a mean body mass loss of 1.03 ± 0.98 and 0.95 ± 0.99% during match play, while competing in
an international tournament (23). The magnitude of body mass loss is less than previously reported in South African university rugby union players; 1.5% (14) and 2.9% (7). Although studies report the level of dehydration, the absence of blood[Na⁺] limits a more insightful interpretation. Recently, blood[Na⁺] in addition to assessments of hydration status have been explored in New Zealand rugby union players during training in warm environmental conditions; 21 and 27°C (9). Some players consumed fluid at a sufficient rate to gain body mass, although all players were normonatremic post-training. Findings show that body mass loss was always <2%, thus it is unlikely that dehydration was sufficient to reduce exercise performance (5,6,38). Rugby union in the UK is played during the winter months, whereby environmental conditions would be cooler than reported by Cosgrove et al., (9). This would suggest that sweat loss would be less during exercise (39) and if fluid intake is similar, over-drinking, resulting in a dilution of blood[Na⁺] may occur (29).

Due to the limited information that is available for rugby union players in the UK, (re)hydration practices formulated from an evidence base are not possible. The purpose of the study was firstly to evaluate body mass change (ΔBM) (dehydration) and blood[Na⁺] change during exercise. Due to the known positive relationship between ΔBM and Δblood[Na⁺] (n=2135, r=0.32, P<0.0001) (29), players were categorized according to ΔBM to explore any observations of hyponatremia. A second purpose of the study was to measure sweat and urine[Na⁺], fluid intake, determine plasma volume change and calculate fluid loss in professional rugby union players. Comparisons were made between match play, field and gym training sessions, allowing an overall evaluation of professional rugby union playing and training programme. It is hypothesized that due to the cool environmental conditions; professional rugby union players will not experience dehydration (>2%) sufficient to reduce exercise performance and b) will develop dilutional hyponatremia.

METHODS

Experimental Approach to the Problem
The study was designed to investigate fluid balance (ΔBM, estimated fluid intake and calculated fluid loss) and Na⁺ homeostasis (Na⁺ intake, Na⁺ loss and Δblood[Na⁺]) in a field setting over a four-week period (November to December). All procedures were designed to cause minimal disruption to players’ habitual routines. Although no pre-observation controls were employed, the data collected on arrival to match play and training explored the habitual arrival status of professional rugby union players. Likewise, data collected for the duration of match play and training determined habitual fluid balance during exercise. Data collected post-match play and training quantified the effects of habitual fluid balance in professional rugby union players during their normal routine. Players were observed during four Premiership matches (two home and two away fixtures; 23 subject observations), six field training sessions (inclusive of technical, tactical and conditioning training; 45 subject observations) and four gym training session (resistance training; 33 subject observations). Data were categorized according to ΔBM to explore relationships. Environmental temperature and humidity (match play; 6.9 ± 4.3°C & 64 ± 16%, field training; 8.1 ± 4.3°C & 88 ± 14% and gym training; 10.2 ± 5.5°C & 83 ± 20%, respectively) was measured using a digital weather station (Oregon Scientific, UK). The gym had no climate control capabilities.

Subjects

Ten professional male rugby union players (age 28.1 ± 3.6 yrs., body mass 107.3 ± 11.5 kg, stature 188.1 ± 8.0 cm) competing in the English Premiership volunteered to participate in the study. The cohort was a heterogeneous sample regarding playing position; 3 props, 3 second rows, 2 centers, 1 scrum half and 1 winger. All protocols received institutional ethics approval and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki on human research. Written informed consent was provided along with permission from the rugby union club.

Procedures

On arrival (match play; 60 mins prior to kick off, field and gym training; 30 mins prior to training) a urine sample was provided. Players were towelled dry (to remove any sweat from
the skin) prior to assessment of body mass wearing underwear. Body mass was determined
to the nearest 100g using calibrated digital scales (Seca, 700 1321008, Germany). Sweat
patch sites (forearm, scapula, chest and thigh) were prepared (3) prior to sweat patch
application (Tagaderm +Pad, 3M, Loughborough, UK) (34). Fingertip blood samples were
taken while subjects assumed a semi-recumbent position for 5 minutes. Blood samples were
analysed with a GEM® Premier™ 4000 containing a 30-day disposable cartridge (GEM®
Premier™ 4000 PAK) to determine blood[Na⁺], hematocrit percentage and hemoglobin
concentration (to calculate ∆PV) (11).

A pre-weighed individually labeled drinks bottle (containing water) and two pre-
weighed individually labeled 500 mL commercially available sports drinks were provided, for
*ad libitum* consumption. The drinks (choice and quantity) provided were determined by the
professional clubs sports science staff, and reported as their normal hydration strategy. Extra
fluid was available for players if required. Players were advised not to spit out or use their fluid
for anything other than fluid intake, which was observed throughout by the researchers. Fluid
intake was recorded during the pre-match period and during the half-time period for match
play and for the duration of field and gym training. Fluid intake was not recorded during actual
match play, as practicality issues made this impossible. The researcher observed limited
opportunities to consume fluid during match play, although it is accepted that fluid intake and
calculated fluid loss during match play may be underestimated. Pre-weighed individually
labeled jerry cans were provided to collect any urine output produced during match play
(aggregated for the pre-match period and during half-time), field or gym training.

Post-match play, field and gym training sweat patches were removed and placed in air
tight containers. If any of the four sweat patches had been lost or was not air-tight, sweat patch
samples were discarded for that subject observation. A urine sample was collected (to ensure
an empty bladder prior to body mass assessment), a fingertip blood sample was taken and
body mass was determined in the same manner (i.e., underwear only and towelled dry) as pre-
match play, field and gym training. All post-match play, field and gym training measurements
were undertaken prior to fluid intake, once training had finished or after the full-time whistle for
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match play. All pre-weighed fluid containers were weighed post-exercise in triplicate independently, using bench top scales (resolution 0.001 kg, CS-2000, Ohaus, USA). Differences in mass of container from pre to post-match play, field or gym training determined fluid intake and urine output.

Fluid loss was calculated as described by King et al. (17) rather than sweat loss (20). Absolute fluid loss is presented due to the time points of measurements (pre- and post-exercise), which did not account for precise exercise duration or activity. Individual match play duration (excluding a 16 minute warm up) was; 80 mins, n = 10 subject observations, 79 – 70 mins, n = 3 subject observations, 69 – 60 mins, n = 6 subject observations and 59 – 50 mins, n = 4 subject observations. The average duration of field and gym training sessions were 91 ± 3 and 62 ± 4 mins, respectively.

Urine Analysis: All urine samples were analysed for osmolality within 4 hours using a calibrated freezing point osmometer (Genotec Osmomat 030-D, 040906, Germany). Samples were categorized into euhydration (≤700 mOsmol/kg) or hypohydration (≥900 mOsmol/kg) (38,42). The measure had a co-efficient of variation of 1.6, 1.3 and 0.5%, determined against stock solutions of 100, 300 and 850 mOsmol/kg standard, respectively. All urine samples collected between fingertip blood sampling were analysed for [Na⁺] within 4 hours using specific kits on iLab 300 with an additional ISE module (IL Instrumentation laboratories, Warrington, UK). The measure had a co-efficient of variation of 0.8, 0.6, 0.5 and 1.0% determined against stock solutions of 70.0, 128.0, 140.0 and 151.0 mmol/L, respectively.

Sweat Analysis: Sweat patches were placed in air tight containers immediately and frozen within 2 hours. Frozen sweat patches were weighed gravimetrically (resolution 0.001 g, OHAUS Corp, EP214, USA) to determine the mass of fluid on the sweat patch. The mass of the dry sweat patch (prior to application) was determined through measuring 20 sweat patches to 0.001g (0.274 ± 0.014 g; co-efficient of variation of 0.05%).

Sweat patches with the absorbent gauze exposed were placed into a filtration unit within a centrifuge tube (Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Unit with Ultrace-10 membrane Millipore Centrifuge Tube, Darmstadt, Germany). 15.000 mL of distilled water (measured
gravimetrically to 0.001 g) was added using an air displacement pipette, (Gilson, France) to the patch. Samples were spun using a centrifuge (ALC Multispeed Refrigerated Centrifuge, PK131R, Italy) at 3000 rpm for 10 mins prior to [Na\(^+\)] analysis using a flame photometer (Jenway, PFP7 Flame Photometer, Essex, UK). The measure had a co-efficient of variation of 5.6, 2.6, 1.9, 2.1, 1.8 and 1.9% determined against stock solutions of 0.00, 0.44, 0.88, 1.75, 3.51 and 7.01 mmol/L, respectively.

Whole-body sweat[Na\(^+\)] (r=0.88, P<0.05) was calculated (eq. [1]) using the same 4 site model (34).

\[
\text{Whole-body sweat[Na}^+] = 28.2\% \text{ chest} + 28.2\% \text{ scapula} + 11.3\% \text{ forearm} + 32.3\% \text{ thigh} \tag{1}
\]

Data were not corrected for possible over estimation of [Na\(^+\)] in comparisons to whole-body wash-down [Na\(^+\)] (see Patterson et al. (34)). Sweat patches were also analysed for [K\(^+\)] to ensure the values obtained were from sweat samples rather than skin leaching (45). Na\(^+\) loss was calculated for sweat and urine using eq. [2] and then converted using eq. [3]. Total Na\(^+\) loss was calculated using eq. [4].

\[
\text{Na}^+(\text{mmol}) = [\text{Na}^+] \times \text{FL or UO} \tag{2}
\]
\[
\text{Na}^+(\text{g}) = \frac{\text{Na}^+(\text{mmol})}{1000} \times 22.99 \tag{3}
\]
\[
\text{Total Na}^+ \text{ loss} = \text{Urine Na}^+ \text{ loss} + \text{Sweat Na}^+ \text{ loss} \tag{4}
\]

**Analysis of Data**

Data were tested for normality of distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) and were presented as mean ± SD, with the range of data given in parentheses where appropriate. Mean differences between variables were tested for significance with two-tailed student’s \(t\) tests (paired or unpaired, as applicable), with Bonferroni corrections used for multiple comparisons. Data sets complete for all observations were used to explore correlations.
Pearson product moment correlations were calculated to determine bivariate correlation for parametric data and Spearman’s rank correlations for non-parametric data for selected variables. Significance was set as $P<0.05$ for all statistical tests.

**RESULTS**

Mean urine osmolality on arrival to match play was significantly less than field and gym training ($P<0.001$, table 1). Arrival urine osmolality was only $>900$ mOsmol/kg on five occasions (6%), all prior to gym training. At all other points (during or post-match play, field and gym training) osmolality was indicative of euhydration; $<700$ mOsmol/kg.

Table 1 shows mean $\Delta BM$, fluid intake, urine output, fluid loss and plasma volume change during match play, field and gym training. The reduction in body mass was significantly greater during match play than field ($P<0.001$) and gym training ($P=0.006$) and there was no significant difference in $\Delta BM$ between field and gym training. Overall, for all observations mean fluid intake was significantly greater than fluid loss ($1.16 \pm 0.50$ vers. $0.91 \pm 0.57$ kg, $P=0.007$). Water intake accounted for 61% of fluid intake compared to 39% for sports drink ($P<0.001$). Fluid loss was significantly greater for match play than gym training ($P=0.001$) and field training was greater than gym training ($P=0.021$), whereas there was no significant difference between match play and field training. There was a mean increase in plasma volume during match play, field and gym training sessions, although large ranges were evident (table 1). There was no significant difference between match play, field and gym training for $\Delta PV$.

***Insert Table 1 near here***

There was no significant difference for sweat[$Na^+$] between match play ($78.3 \pm 21.2$ mmol/L), field ($78.3 \pm 11.3$ mmol/L) or gym training ($70.0 \pm 10.9$ mmol/L). Sodium balance and observations of post-exercise hyponatremia during match play, field and gym training sessions can be seen in table 2. There were no significant differences between match play, field and gym training session for post-exercise blood[$Na^+$], although mean post-exercise blood[$Na^+$] was greatest for match play. However, match play produced the greatest number of individual post-exercise observations of post-exercise hyponatremia (33%).
Of the complete observations (i.e. all variables were collected; n = 70 subject observations), data were categorized according to percentage of ∆BM (table 3). Subjects who lost ≥1.0% of body mass did not experience post-exercise hyponatremia, whereas 35% of subjects were hyponatremic post-exercise when ∆BM was between -0.1 and -0.9%, which increased to 57% when subjects maintained body mass. When body mass increased during exercise subjects were hyponatremic on 43% of occasions. The relationship of ∆BM and post-exercise blood[Na+] can be seen in figure 1, which shows that greater body mass losses are associated with greater post-exercise blood[Na+]. Table 4 shows the relationships of post-exercise blood[Na+] with associated variables. Statistically significant relationships were observed between ∆BM, fluid loss and post-exercise blood[Na+].

DISCUSSION

In professional rugby union players, limited information is available that evaluates ∆BM (change in hydration) and blood[Na+] change following match play and training, required to provide an evidence base for hydration practices. This study demonstrated that rugby union players experience a mean ∆BM of -1.0 ± 0.7, -0.3 ± 0.6 and -0.1 ± 0.6% following match play, field and gym training. As hypothesized (a) observations of this specific cohort suggest that severe dehydration (>2%) is not problematic when exercising in cool environmental conditions (approximately 10°C), with ad libitum fluid availability. Post match play, field and gym training, despite a mean blood sodium concentrations of 135.9 ± 2.0, 135.4 ± 1.6 and 135.4 ± 1.7 mmol/L, on 33, 19 and 16% of occasion’s players from this cohort were hyponatremic, as hypothesized (b).

∆BM during exercise is a result of a fluid imbalance, with some consideration for non-fluid mass loss (17,20). The magnitude of ∆BM in this study is less than previously reported
in English rugby union Premiership players ($\Delta BM$ -1.94 ± 0.14 kg for forwards and -1.04 ± 0.17 kg for backs), where 16 forwards (80%) recorded $\Delta BM$ of ≥-2% (24). Loss of mass by means of sweat is an unconscious thermoregulatory negative feedback mechanism to reduce or prevent a rise in core temperature, thus regardless of direction $\Delta BM$ is attributed to either sufficient or insufficient fluid intake. Although no measures of other mass losses occurred in this study, substrate metabolism (loss of ~200 g carbohydrate) and the gaining of metabolic water (~150 g) (17,20), may further reduce the need for fluid intake to preserve total body water. If body mass is maintained, total body water must have increased (32) to reconcile losses mathematically; see King et al. (17). Thus, based on $\Delta BM$ from this cohort, some professional rugby union players training in cool environment conditions may over-drink relative to fluid loss ($\Delta BM$, fluid intake and fluid loss during field and gym training; -0.3 ± 0.6%, 1.22 ± 0.60, 1.01 ± 0.45 kg and -0.1% ± 0.6%, 0.99 ± 0.50, 0.55 ± 0.47 kg), which has previously not been reported. Fluid intake in comparison to fluid loss, appears more appropriate during match play than training (table 1), although hyponatremia was still observed on 33% of observations (table 2).

Inclusive of match play and training (table 3), this specific cohort of professional rugby union players were hyponatremic post-exercise on 36% of observations. This would be even greater (51%) if subjects with a post-exercise blood[Na⁺] of 135 mmol/L (regarded as borderline) were included. Findings demonstrate that when body mass is maintained, post-exercise hyponatremia is observed on 57% of occasions. Again as discussed previously, maintenance of body mass would suggest an increase in total body water. Post-exercise hyponatremia was also observed on 43% and 35% of occasions when there was an increase in body mass and body mass loss of 0.1 – 0.9%, respectively. In contrast, all players from this study were normonatremic on all occasions when they lost ≥1.0% of body mass, suggesting that this may be the desired reduction in body mass during exercise to prevent dilutional hyponatremia.

Accepting the observations of hyponatremia are associated with over-drinking (in relation to fluid loss) (table 3), drink choice may also be a consideration. Players favored water
over the commercially available sports drink, thus it may be recommended that players consume a sports drink instead of water to increase their Na\(^+\) intake during exercise. It should be noted that due to the excessive overall volume of fluid intake in relation to fluid loss in some players who had a ∆BM of -0.1 – -0.9, 0.0 and ≥0.1% (table 3), even if players only consumed the respective sports drink (approximate 20 mmol/L [Na\(^+\)]) instead of water, it may not have prevented hyponatremia, due to the hypotonic nature of the drink in relation to sweat (29). Despite no relationship between post-exercise blood[Na\(^+\)] and fluid intake, and no relationship between Na\(^+\) loss and change in blood[Na\(^+\)] pre- to post-exercise, a concurrent decrease in fluid intake and increase in [Na\(^+\)] would initially appear to be the recommendation for rugby union players observed in this study who were hyponatremic, to prevent a dilution of blood[Na\(^+\)]. Alternatively, if the observations of hyponatremia have no effect on rugby performance per se, although this is unknown and beyond the scope of this study, the consumption of a normal British diet (3.8 and 14.3 grams of Na\(^+\) (16)) post-exercise to replace the 0.2 to 5.4 grams of Na\(^+\) lost in this study would likely restore fluid balance and Na\(^+\) homeostasis. Thus future studies should look to explore the effects of hyponatremia on rugby performance.

During exercise in cold environmental conditions, it has been proposed that there is a reduced thirst sensation in comparison to exercise in warmer environmental conditions (21). In addition, during exercise in the cold, sweat loss is reduced in comparison to exercise in warmer environmental conditions. The concurrent nature of a reduced thirst sensation and reduced sweat loss would theoretically allow players to remain in fluid balance, regardless of environmental condition. This did not appear to be the case for some players within this specific cohort (i.e. those players that had a ∆BM -0.1 – -0.9, 0.0 and ≥0.1%, table 3). It is speculated by the authors, that fluid intake was not determined by a reduction in total body water (onset of thirst), as players did not appear to be dehydrated during observations. Possible explanations may be the exaggerated awareness of dehydration per se (25), an isolated occurrence from individuals within a cohort of professional rugby union players or
drinking due to thermal discomfort, as proposed in tetraplegic athletes (36). The proposed explanations warrant further investigation.

Hyponatremia may also be attributed to pre-exercise hydration status. There were more observations of post-exercise hyponatremia in this study (on 36% of occasions when 94% of players were euhydrated) versus normonatremia (on 0% of occasions when 89% of players were hypohydrated) in a similar study by Cosgrove et al. (9). Despite no player experiencing dehydration greater than 2% and the lowest blood[Na⁺] reported as 137 mmol/L, it was recommended that players should work on starting exercise euhydrated (9). These recommendations may be appropriate for players exercising in 21°C and 27°C, although during exercise in cool environmental conditions (such as the UK), if the reason that some players consumed excessive fluid (in relation to fluid loss) was due to (proposed) thermal discomfort (36) rather than a reduction in total body water, it may be beneficial for those players to start exercise hypohydrated, as observed by Cosgrove et al. (9). Theoretically, this would mean that pre-exercise blood[Na⁺] would be elevated and the consumption of excessive fluid in relation to fluid loss in those players who had a ∆BM of -0.1 – -0.9, 0.0 and ≥0.1% (table 3) would likely result in normonatremia as opposed to the prevalence (35, 57 and 43%) of hyponatremia post-exercise. The greatest number of observations of post-exercise hyponatremia were following match play (table 2) when urine osmolality was significantly less than training. This was even despite a greater body mass loss and lower fluid intake during match play compared to training (table 1). This highlights the importance of an individualized hydration strategy and requires further research. To the author’s knowledge, no study has shown that starting exercise hypohydrated with ad libitum fluid availability in similar environmental condition, exercise type and duration has a negative effect on exercise performance. Also, this arrival state does appear to be the habitual status of athletes on arrival (9,13,18,19,21,22,35,41). Of note, if environmental temperatures are great, exercise duration is prolonged and fluid is restricted during exercise, starting exercise euhydrated should be the paramount concern of the athlete.
The syndrome of inappropriate anti-diuretic hormone secretion (SIADH), causing fluid retention beyond requirements for fluid homeostasis (29) has been associated with hyponatremia (43). An increase in blood$[\text{Na}^+]$, caused by hypotonic fluid loss in relation to $[\text{Na}^+]$ in extracellular fluid, is the major stimulus for ADH secretion during exercise (8). Urine$[\text{Na}^+]$ outside of normal ranges (30 to 90 mmol/L) may suggest SIADH (40), as SIADH causes fluid retention (i.e. the concentration of urine is greater when produced). This was not the case in this study as large urine volumes were observed (table 1) and urine concentrations were dilute in comparison to dehydrated urine samples (1,2). When urine$[\text{Na}^+]$ were below normal ranges (<30 mmol/L, suggesting dilutional hyponatremia) post-exercise hyponatremia was observed on 27% of observations (47% if 135 mmol/L blood$[\text{Na}^+]$ is included). When above normal ranges (>90 mmol/L, suggesting SIADH) post-exercise hyponatremia was observed on 56% of observations (78% if 135 mmol/L blood$[\text{Na}^+]$ is included). This suggests that for some players SIADH may have occurred. For other players it is proposed that ingested fluid was not ‘intentionally’ retained, but it was simply an inability to excrete the excess fluid intake, resulting in dilutional hyponatremia. This is supported by the maximum rate of 500 mL/hr for urine production by the kidneys (31), exceeded by fluid intake. Maximum rates of urine production are usually coupled with greater sweat rates during exercise, up to 3 L/hr, (37) not evident in this study (mean <1 L/hr).

Homeostatically controlled sweat$[\text{Na}^+]$ is often discussed with post-exercise hyponatremia and $\Delta$blood$[\text{Na}^+]$ (44), whereas regulated urine$[\text{Na}^+]$ may be more appropriate and warrant further investigation. Especially considering the association between SIADH, fluid retention, hyponatremia and urine$[\text{Na}^+]$ (40). It should be noted that a practical and precise method for collecting and determining sweat sodium loss is still debatable (3,34,45), thus sweat$[\text{Na}^+]$ in this study maybe a gross overestimation of whole-body$[\text{Na}^+]$ (45). Contrary blood$[\text{Na}^+]$, the key measure for quantifying sodium homeostasis was undertaken with precision. Further research is required to evaluate if observations from this study are present in other professional rugby union players, in varying environmental conditions and also if high fluid intake in relation to fluid loss occurs in other sporting populations (table 3) who compete
in cold environmental conditions, in addition to establishing the associated mechanisms. In addition, due to the fact this study did not quantify the differences in work performed within and between training sessions, future research should look at the effects of various field based sessions, quantified using global positioning system technology on fluid balance and rugby specific exercise performance.

In conclusion, based on observations of a cohort of professional rugby union players, severe dehydration sufficient to impair exercise performance does not appear to be problematic. On occasions, it appears fluid intake is excessive during match play and training in relation to fluid loss, resulting in observations of post-exercise hyponatremia, occurring on 35, 57 and 43% of occasions when $\Delta$BM is -0.1 – -0.9, 0.0 and $\geq$0.1%. However when players experience a $\Delta$BM $\geq$-1%, they do not experience post-exercise hyponatremia. Practitioners should be aware of the prevalence of over-drinking in addition to previously reported dehydration.

**PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

This study suggests that strength and conditioning coaches, sports science staff and nutritionists should be aware of over-drinking in some rugby union players competing in cooler environmental conditions, as post-exercise hyponatremia was observed on numerous occasions. Fluid losses appear greatest during match play and lowest during gym training sessions; contrary to this fluid intake appears greatest during field training sessions and lowest during match play. Players may need advising on appropriate fluid intake rates to prevent over-drinking. The addition of sodium to fluid may also be advantageous. Although a loss of body mass is indicative of dehydration, if players start exercise euhydrated (urine osmolality <700 mOsmol/kg) and have a $\Delta$BM of -0.1 – -0.9, 0.0 and $\geq$0.1%, post-exercise hyponatremia was observed on 35, 57 and 43% of occasions. A $\Delta$BM of 1% or greater during exercise may prevent post-exercise hyponatremia (blood[Na+] <135 mmol/L). Support staff should also be aware of the non-fluid mass losses that occur during exercise (see 17) when using body mass
change to determine fluid loss and for rehydration purposes; i.e. a maintenance of body mass during exercise reconciles with an increase in total body water.
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Table 1. Body mass change (ΔBM), arrival urine osmolality (UOsmol), fluid intake (FI), urine output (UO), fluid loss (FL) and plasma volume change (ΔPV) during match play, field and gym training sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Match Play</th>
<th>Field Training</th>
<th>Gym Training</th>
<th>Post-hoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔBM (%)</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>-0.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>MP&gt;FT, GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>(-2.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>(-1.5 to 0.8)</td>
<td>(-1.6 to 0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UOsmol (mOsm/kg)</td>
<td>279 ± 105*</td>
<td>416 ± 134</td>
<td>541 ± 288</td>
<td>MP&lt;FT, GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>(95 to 459)</td>
<td>(105 to 709)</td>
<td>(78 to 1056)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI (kg)</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.56</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>(0.00 to 1.96)</td>
<td>(0.00 to 2.53)</td>
<td>(0.00 to 2.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UO (kg)</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>(0.21 to 0.99)</td>
<td>(0.02 to 2.07)</td>
<td>(0.00 to 2.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL (kg)</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.98*</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.45*</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.54*</td>
<td>MP&gt;GT, FT&gt;GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>(0.42 to 3.02)</td>
<td>(0.10 to 2.49)</td>
<td>(0.09 to 2.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔPV (%)</td>
<td>13.0 ± 10.4</td>
<td>6.1 ± 5.7</td>
<td>8.5 ± 10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=19</td>
<td>(0.6 to 28.0)</td>
<td>(-7.2 to 21.5)</td>
<td>(-7.2 to 31.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=38</td>
<td>(-7.2 to 31.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation. Ranges are presented in parentheses. MP = match play, FT = field training and GT = gym training. n= subject observations. * denotes a significant difference (P<0.05) between MP, FT and/or GT.
Table 2. Sodium loss, sodium intake, pre-blood[Na⁺], post-blood[Na⁺] and the observations of post-exercise hyponatremia during match play, field and gym training sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Match Play</th>
<th>Field Training</th>
<th>Gym Training</th>
<th>Post-hoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweat Na⁺ Loss (g)</strong></td>
<td>2.52 ± 1.59*</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.87</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.83</td>
<td>MP&gt;FT, GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.50 to 5.19)</td>
<td>(0.59 to 4.02)</td>
<td>(0.17 to 4.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td>n=39</td>
<td>n=27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urine Na⁺ Loss (g)</strong></td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.77*</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.73</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.65</td>
<td>MP&gt;FT, GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.14 to 2.37)</td>
<td>(0.07 to 2.76)</td>
<td>(0.18 to 2.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=20</td>
<td>n=42</td>
<td>n=31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Na⁺ Loss (g)</strong></td>
<td>3.08 ± 1.74</td>
<td>2.42 ± 1.14</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.28 to 5.85)</td>
<td>(0.13 to 6.51)</td>
<td>(0.18 to 4.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td>n=39</td>
<td>n=30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Na⁺ intake (g)</strong></td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00 to 0.46)</td>
<td>(0.00 to 0.46)</td>
<td>(0.00 to 0.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=23</td>
<td>n=45</td>
<td>n=33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-blood[Na⁺] (mmol/L)</strong></td>
<td>137.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>136.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>137.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(136.0 to 139.0)</td>
<td>(133.0 to 139.0)</td>
<td>(134.0 to 142.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=18</td>
<td>n=38</td>
<td>n=30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-blood[Na⁺] (mmol/L)</strong></td>
<td>135.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>135.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>135.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(133.0 to 138.0)</td>
<td>(132.0 to 139.0)</td>
<td>(132.0 to 139.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observations of Post-Exercise Hyponatremia (%)</strong></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation. Ranges are presented in parentheses. MP = match play, FT = field training and GT = gym training. n= subject observations. * denotes a significant difference (P<0.05) between MP, FT and/or GT.
Table 3. Body mass change ($\Delta$BM), fluid intake (FI), fluid loss (FL), post-exercise blood sodium concentration ([Na$^+$]$_{\text{blood-post}}$), plasma volume change ($\Delta$PV) and the observations of post-exercise hyponatremia categorised according to $\Delta$BM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Mass Change Category</th>
<th>$\geq$2.0%</th>
<th>1.0-1.9%</th>
<th>0.1-0.9%</th>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>$\geq$0.1%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n = 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BM (%)</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI (kg)</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>0.751 ± 0.394</td>
<td>1.183 ± 0.547</td>
<td>1.543 ± 0.678</td>
<td>1.281 ± 0.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL (kg)</td>
<td>2.961</td>
<td>1.205 ± 0.643</td>
<td>0.954 ± 0.599</td>
<td>0.825 ± 0.489</td>
<td>0.572 ± 0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-blood[Na$^+$] (mmol/L)</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>136.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>135.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>134.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>135.0 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$PV (%)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.8 ± 9.3</td>
<td>7.3 ± 7.5</td>
<td>9.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>9.6 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations of Post-Exercise Hyponatremia (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation. The numbers of individuals within each classification are reported in parentheses, as are the ranges for each variable reported. n= subject observations.
Table 4. The relationship of body mass change ($\Delta$BM), fluid intake (FI), fluid loss (FL), fluid retention (FR), plasma volume change ($\Delta$PV), total sodium loss (Na$^+$ Loss), total sodium intake (Na$^+$ intake), pre-blood[Na$^+$], sweat[Na$^+$] and urine[Na$^+$] with post-blood[Na$^+$] during training and match play.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-blood[Na$^+$] (mmol/L)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$BM (%)</td>
<td>$r=-0.295$ (P=0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI (kg)</td>
<td>$r=-0.197$ (P=0.118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL (kg)</td>
<td>$r=-0.317$ (P=0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR (kg)</td>
<td>$r=-0.113$ (P=0.350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$PV (%)</td>
<td>$r=-0.042$ (P=0.734)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$ Loss (g)</td>
<td>$r=0.214$ (P=0.154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$ intake (g)</td>
<td>$r=-0.058$ (P=0.636)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-blood[Na$^+$] (mmol/L)</td>
<td>$r=0.238$ (P=0.051)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweat[Na$^+$] (mmol/L)</td>
<td>$r=-0.323$ (P=0.441)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine[Na$^+$] (mmol/L)</td>
<td>$r=-0.211$ (P=0.350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FR = FI – UO. n= subject observations
Figure 1. Individual body mass change and post-exercise blood[Na+] for professional rugby union players during training and match play (r=-0.295, P=0.011). Note; the shaded area represents hyponatremia (blood[Na+] ≤135 mmol/L)