



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Clough, PJ and Houge McKenzie, S and Mallabon, E and Brymer, E (2016) Adventurous Physical Activity Environments: A Mainstream Intervention for Mental Health. Sports Medicine. ISSN 0112-1642 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0503-3>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/2273/>

Document Version:

Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

The Psychological health and well-being benefits of adventurous environments.

Peter Clough, ¹, Susan Houge Mackenzie², Liz Mallabon, ³, Eric Brymer, E. ⁴,

1. Department of Psychology, Manchester Metropolitan University,
Manchester, UK
2. Department of Recreation, Parks, & Tourism Administration, California State
Polytechnic University, San Luis Obispo, USA
3. Department of Science, Natural Resources and Outdoors, University of Cumbria,
Ambleside, UK
4. School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

Correspondence:

Professor Peter Clough

P.Clough@mmu.ac.uk

Word count: 2540

Article type: Current opinion

Key points

Adventure based physical activity are linked to enhanced health and well-being

Adventurous physical activity should be considered a mainstream intervention for positive mental health

It is important to consider adventure in the design of many of society's everyday environments

Abstract

Adventurous physical activity has traditionally been considered the pastime of a small minority of people with deviant personalities or characteristics that compel them to voluntarily take great risks purely for the sake of thrills and excitement. An unintended consequence of these traditional narratives is the relative absence of adventure activities in mainstream health and well-being discourses and in large-scale governmental health initiatives. However, recent research has demonstrated that even the most extreme adventurous physical activities are linked to enhanced psychological health and well-being outcomes. These benefits go beyond traditional 'character building' concepts and emphasize more positive frameworks that rely on the development of effective environmental design. Based on emerging research, this paper demonstrates why adventurous physical activity should be considered a mainstream intervention for positive mental health. Furthermore, the authors argue that understanding how to design environments that effectively encourage appropriate adventure should be considered a serious addition to mainstream health and well-being discourse.

1. Introduction

Despite increasing societal attempts to minimize dangers in sport, 'adventure' activities, such as skydiving, rock climbing, and whitewater kayaking, are gaining in popularity [1-3]. Further, it would seem that participants herald from a broad cross section of society that includes males and females of various age ranges, income and educational levels [4]. According to Puchan [5] involvement has:

been shown not to be just a 'flash in the pan' but a sign of the times in which people are looking for a new way to define their lives and to escape from an increasingly regulated and sanitised way of living [5, P. 177].

At the 'extreme' end of the adventure spectrum (e.g., activities such as B.A.S.E. [Buildings, Antennae, Space, Earth] jumping, big wave surfing, waterfall kayaking, rope-free climbing), the most likely outcome of a mismanaged error or accident is death. Thus, some psychologists view this behavior as irrational and deviant, resulting from ignorance or the inability to self-regulate [6]. Perhaps as a result of this perspective, outdoor play activities that are traditionally categorised as higher risk amongst children have diminished as society increasingly focuses on safety and regulation [7]. In this paper we argue that adventurous activities are beneficial for health and well-being and, as such, should be encouraged to provide important community benefits.

2. The traditional adventure narrative

The traditional perspective on adventure is risk oriented. Typically, adventure participants are considered risk-takers or adrenaline seekers. Thus they are characterised as people who participate strictly because they enjoy or need to take risks. Adventure sport motives are often limited to a need for adrenaline or because participants like to test

themselves through taking unnecessary pathological and socially unacceptable risks [8, 9]. The adventurer is most usually portrayed as a young male “fascinated with the individuality, risk and danger of the sports” [10, p. 98] and the desire for adventure indicative of some kind of abnormal psychology.

The traditional theories that have been put forward to explain adventure participation are ‘edgework’ [11], sensation seeking [2, 12-20], Type ‘T’ personality [21] and psychoanalysis [22]. Edgework explains extreme sport participation from a sociological perspective by proposing that participants voluntarily navigate the edges of control in an effort to escape the routine and monotony of modern life [23]. The sensation-seeking standpoint presents extreme sport participation as an inherent need for novel experiences and intense sensations obtained by taking physical risks [16, 24]. Sensation seekers are hypothesized to continually search for new thrills and excitement in an attempt to alleviate boredom. Those exploring type ‘T’ explain extreme sports participation as a positive means to live out a deviant personality trait [21]. This theory argues that extreme sports participation is fuelled by needs for uncertainty, novelty, ambiguity, variety and unpredictability [25]. Those espousing the psychoanalytic perspective [22, 26] view extreme sport participation as a pathological and unhealthy narcissistic tendency [26]. These theorists maintain that participants are “denying limitations and vulnerabilities, rationalizing unacceptable behavior and feelings, overestimating abilities and accomplishments, and offering consistently self-serving explanations for successes and failures” (24: 165).

In summary, these perspectives focus on understanding adventurous ‘types’ of people and propose that personality traits, socialisation processes, and previous experiences compel a participant to put their life at risk through adventure sports. These perspectives, however, are often the presuppositions of non-participants that are at best inconclusive [6, 27]. The

first limitation of these risk-oriented perspectives is that they have had an almost exclusive focus on adventure as a male pastime, which essentially ignores the many talented and experienced women who also participate in adventurous activities. Second, these explanations of risk-taking assume that only those with a certain trait or personality will be interested in adventure. Third, risk-oriented approaches assume that adventure participation is based on a risk continuum in which only those with the right personality or trait will be successful. A fourth issue with risk-oriented approaches is that there is little recognition of the effort, commitment and skill required to participate. However, the most important limitation is that these approaches do not fully reflect the lived experience of participants and, as a result, the psychological health and well-being benefits of adventure sports remain largely unrecognised [27].

A growing body of literature suggests that adventurous physical activity may improve physical and psychological well-being [28-32]. Participants report outcomes such as: positive life transformations, optimal experiences, enhanced quality of life, emotional regulation, development of emotional agency in interpersonal relationships, joy, goal achievement, social connections, escape from boredom, pushing personal boundaries, overcoming fear, and pleasurable kinesthetic bodily sensations [29, 30, 33-39]. Research on adventure education and wilderness therapy programs further underscores these findings. Meta-analyses of hundreds of adventure education studies clearly demonstrate that adventure programs facilitate positive health and well-being outcomes , particularly for longer programs and younger participants [e.g. 40, 41]. Studies investigating the health benefits of outdoor adventure programs for at risk youth have found long term benefits including enhancements in self-concept and chemical dependency recovery, and reduced behavioral and emotional symptoms [e.g. 42]. Willig [35] concluded that taking part in adventurous physical activity has

the potential to enhance a participant's life in ways that cannot be achieved elsewhere. She suggested that even extreme adventurous physical activity could be considered transformational and that therapeutic adventure activities could be used in "re-establishing psychological balance" (p. 700).

Notwithstanding this growing body of adventure and well-being literature, we have little understanding of how these benefits are achieved. In the remainder of the article we review the main approaches to understanding the psychological health and well-being benefits of adventurous physical activity and argue that adventurous environments should be seriously considered as an important part of psychological health and well-being design and development.

3. The mental toughness approach: Integrating risk, stress, resilience and well-being

The study of adventure is laden with misconceptions and assumptions regarding individuals' subjective experiences and participation motivations. Superficially, fear and risk appear to be integral parts of the adventure experience [e.g. 6, 43]. In fact, some researchers have highlighted the prominence of fear and anxiety in adventure experiences [e.g. 44]. Traditional models highlighting the character building benefits of adventure mirror the risk-taking orientation in that benefits are proposed to stem from risk-taking and participants acting 'out of their comfort zone'. Danger and risk are thought to produce optimal stress and discomfort, thereby promoting character development, improved self-esteem and enhanced psychological resilience [e.g. 45]. Unlike other sports or recreational pursuits in which the element of risk is often viewed negatively, the common thread in adventurous pursuits has traditionally been the positive valuation of risk and active pursuit of risk-taking opportunities

to build character [e.g. 46, 47, 48]. The challenge posed by adventure activities lies not in defeating one's opponent, but in encountering, minimizing, and mastering physical risk through use of personal skills and competencies [49]. Related to this strand of research is the finding that the development of resilience has been identified as a benefit of adventure experiences that serves to buffer the impact of stressful life events [45, 50].

Mental toughness offers a way of integrating many aspects of the adventure activity domain but, perhaps more importantly, it highlights the importance of individual differences in the choice of, and the potential benefits derived from, leisure pursuits. Mental toughness itself has been described as a 'narrow personality trait' [e.g. 51] and has a clear genetic basis [e.g. 52]. Individuals who score highly on mental toughness are excited by challenge and therefore may be drawn to adventure. Some people may be born to be adventure seekers. Reciprocally, involvement in highly challenging activities may increase mental toughness. It is becoming clear that personality traits have far more plasticity than previously thought

Applying the concept of mental toughness to the field of adventure provides an opportunity to integrate the extant literature regarding stress, risk, resilience and well-being. Arguably, the most widely used model of mental toughness is the 4 'C'S model [53, 54]. In the 4 'C's model, overall mental toughness is a product of four central pillars: *challenge*: seeing challenge as an opportunity; *confidence*: having a high level of self-belief; *commitment*: having the ability to stick to tasks; and *control*: having the belief that you control your own destiny.

This model also has a well validated and reliable measure of mental toughness: The Mental Toughness Questionnaire (MTQ48) [55]. It has been suggested that mental toughness

both encourages individuals to participate in outdoor adventure activities and then this participation helps them to further enhance their mental toughness as they participate [56]. The existence of a clear definition and a psychometrically robust test of mental toughness has allowed researchers to investigate the impact of mental toughness on a range of recreational criteria. For example, Gerber et al. [57] compared the mental toughness of adolescents and young adults with the amount of self-reported exercise they took part in. They concluded that acquiring a mindset of mental toughness might be one way that physical activity and exercise can improve an individual's mental health.

In relation to adventure activities, mental toughness has been specifically linked to some of the central benefits of the 'outdoor adventure experience'. Crust and Keegan [58] argue that tough individuals appear to be future-oriented decision makers who seek out challenges, and approach, rather than avoid, potential anxiety producing situations. They carried out a study examining risk taking attitude and scores on the MTQ48. They concluded that a willingness to accept challenges was an important attitude that characterizes mentally tough athletes. Crust and Swann [59] linked mental toughness to the experience of flow, the latter being often being placed at the very heart of many outdoor experiences. Flow is basically the state in which people are so involved in an activity that nothing else matters, bringing a number of psychological benefits [37, 60]. Crust and Swann [59] reported a strong relationship between the two and suggested that developing mental toughness through sport and active pursuits may actually facilitate the ability to experience flow.

. Crust and Clough [59] argue that the available evidence strongly suggests that experiential education plays a significant role in the development of mental toughness. In a

sports setting, parents and coaches of young athletes are likely to be crucial in cultivating the correct environment for mental toughness to flourish. Crust and Clough [59] proposed that individuals must be exposed to (rather than sheltered from) challenging situations which allow personal resources such as coping skills to be developed through problem solving. Adventurous physical activity environments may act as a magnet for tough individuals and provide them with an opportunity to fully self-actualise. Additionally, these types of environment offer the intriguing possibility of a way to develop toughness, thus providing tough and especially more sensitive individuals with a potential performance increment as a result of higher levels of toughness [59].

4. The environment approach

Recently, numerous theories recognising the benefits of natural environments, which are inherent in most adventurous physical activity experiences, have emerged. Facilitated and self-directed adventures undertaken in natural environments have been linked with a range of psychological benefits [e.g. 28, 29, 61, 62]. The aesthetic, spiritual and novel qualities of natural or unfamiliar environments are proposed to promote personal development, well-being, and self and environmental awareness [e.g. 41, 63-65]. Novel environments are thought to promote development when participants act to overcome challenge through mastery. Socioecological models have also connected nature-based adventure with healthy behavioral changes and eco-centric perspectives [66]. Adventurous physical activities also provide opportunities to fulfill psychological needs in addition to competence, namely basic human needs for relatedness and autonomy e.g. self-determination theory, see Deci and Ryan [44] for review). Studies investigating the

psychological benefits of adventurous activities have highlighted the importance of autonomy and personal relevance in fostering positive outcomes [e.g. 67].

Adventurous activities may promote relatedness and autonomy in various ways. Relatedness may be facilitated in activities due to their generally more cooperative, rather than competitive, nature. Participants often rely on each other for safety and generally work together, rather than competing. The physical characteristic of the environment provides greater opportunities for volitional choice about potential courses of action than traditional sporting activities with more formalized 'rules'. The consequences of these choices may also be more serious, thus making the autonomous decision-making process more salient and meaningful to participants..

Adventure-based activities might provide unique physical and psychological challenges resulting from interactions with the environment, rather than other people or sporting situations that are 'contrived'. Successfully overcoming these challenges may promote feelings of competence and positive affect, increase self-efficacy, or facilitate a variety of optimal psychological experiences linked to psychological well-being and enhanced mood states [e.g. 60, 68, 69]. These findings emphasise the key relationship between environmental settings and psychological processes in promoting health and well-being through adventurous physical activity.

While in the main adventure has been associated with novel experiences inherent in natural environments, activities such as Parkour and urban BASE jumping indicate that adventure is also found in urban environments [70, 71]. The key constraint being the development of an environment that invites and encourages adventurous activities. While in

part adventure has been designed into the everyday environment in the form of segregated adventure playgrounds for children [72, 73] the role of adventure in the wellbeing of the broader society has been overlooked [73]. Designing challenging features into the everyday urban environment that invite adventurous activities such as climbing (for example, trees to climb rather than look at or sit under or rope and other climbable features that might invite climbing from one level to another alongside steps, elevators, ramps or escalators) might be one way to enhance the psychological health and wellbeing of the broader society [73, 74].

5. Conclusion

Emerging research suggests that adventurous physical activities may promote psychological health and well-being in a variety of ways. In summary, the literature suggests that adventurous physical activities generally provide the following benefits:

1. Increase positive psychological outcomes such as positive affect, self-efficacy, and resilience.
2. Provide opportunities to overcome challenges and have optimal experiences.
3. Provide opportunities to fulfill basic psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness.
4. Facilitate feelings of connection to nature (as they normally occur in natural settings).
5. Increase physical activity levels.

6. Provide opportunities for participants to experience intense emotions.

Each of these elements has been shown to promote well-being or health. The authors contend that these benefits are often overlooked as developed societies increasingly focus on facilitating 'safe' or undemanding activity choices. Based on the literature reviewed, we conclude that adventurous physical activities may be viable wellness promotion tools that should be included in large scale preventative health strategies.

Despite the growing body of evidence *that* adventurous physical activity promotes psychological health and well-being, *how* this occurs remains unclear. The benefits and attractiveness of these activities may depend on the unique relationship between an individual and the environment in which that activity occurs. However, the implications of this literature are that physical activity for all should not mean the same physical activity or competitive sport for all people. In order to facilitate greater benefits and opportunities, individuals should have a diverse range of physical activity options. Open, natural spaces and demanding terrains are needed just as much as indoor gyms and running tracks. Adventurous physical activity is neither pathological nor inappropriate, but rather a reflection of the diversity that is inherent in humanity. Including adventurous physical activity in mainstream well-being and health discourses and interventions will expand the range of possible health benefits available to larger segments of society. This requires academics and policy makers alike to broaden their perspectives on adventure and risk and develop environments that encourage appropriate adventure.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Funding

No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this article.

Conflicts of Interest

Peter Clough, Elizabeth Mallabon, Susan Mackenzie and Eric Brymer declare that they have no conflicts of interest relevant to the content of this review.

References

1. Lyng S. Risk-taking in sport: Edgework and the reflexive community. In: Atkinson M, Young K, editors. *Tribal play: Subcultural journeys through sport* Bingley, U.K: Emerald; 2008. p. 83-109.
2. Shoham A, Rose GM, Kahle LR. Practitioners of risky sports: A quantitative examination. *J Bus Res.* 2000;47(3):237-51.
3. Stranger M. The aesthetics of risk. *Int Rev Sociol Spor.* 1999;34(3):265-76.
4. Creyer E, Ross W, Evers D. Risky recreation: An exploration of factors influencing the likelihood of participation and the effects of experience. *Leisure Studies.* 2003;22:239-53.
5. Puchan H. Living 'extreme': Adventure sports, media and commercialisation. *Journal of Communication Management.* 2004;9(2):171-8.
6. Brymer E. Risk and extreme sports: A phenomenological perspective. *Annals of Leisure Research.* 2010;13(1&2):218-39.
7. Malone K. The bubble-wrap generation: children growing up in walled gardens. *Environmental Education Research.* 2007;13(4):513-27.
8. Monasterio E. The risks of adventure sports/people. *The Alpinist*; 2007.
9. Le Breton D. Playing symbolically with death in extreme sports. *Body and Society.* 2000;6(1):1-11.
10. Bennett G, Henson RK, Zhang J. Generation Y's perceptions of the action sports industry segment. *Journal of Sport Management.* 2003;17(2):95-115.
11. Laurendeau J. "Gendered Risk Regimes": A theoretical consideration of edgework and gender. *Sociology of Sport Journal.* 2008;25(3):293-309.
12. Zarevski P, Marusic I, Zolotic S, Bunjevac T, Vukosav Z. Contribution of Arnett's inventory of sensation seeking and Zuckerman's sensation seeking scale to the differentiation of athletes engaged in high and low risk sports. *Pers Individ Dif.* 1998;25:763-8.
13. Schrader MP, Wann DL. High-risk recreation: The relationship between participant characteristics and degree of involvement. *J Sport Behav.* 1999;22(3):426-31.
14. Straub WF. Sensation seeking among high and low-risk male athletes. *Journal of Sport Psychology.* 1982;4(3):246-53.
15. Robinson DW. Stress seeking: Selected behavioural characteristics of elite rock climbers. *Journal of Sport Psychology.* 1985;7:400-4.
16. Rossi B, Cereatti L. The sensation seeking in mountain athletes as assessed by Zuckerman's sensation seeking scale. *Int J Sport Psychol.* 1993;24:417-31.
17. Breivik G. Personality, sensation seeking and risk taking among everest climbers. *Int J Sport Psychol.* 1996;27:308-20.

18. Slinger E, Rudestam KE. Motivation and disinhibition in high risk sports: Sensation seeking and self-efficacy. *J Res Pers.* 1997; 31:355-74.
19. Goma M. Personality profiles of subjects engaged in high physical risk sports. *Pers Individ Dif.* 1991;12(10):1087-93.
20. Zuckerman M. *Sensation seeking and risky behavior* Washington: American Psychological Association; 2007.
21. Self DR, Henry ED, Findley CS, Reilly E. Thrill seeking: The type T personality and extreme sports. *International Journal of Sport Management and Marketing* 2007;2(1-2):175 -90
22. Hunt JC. Diving the wreck: Risk and injury in sport scuba diving. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly.* 1996;LXV:591-622.
23. Lois J. Peaks and valleys: The gendered emotional culture of edgework. *Gender and Society.* 2001;15(3):381-406.
24. Schroth ML. A comparison of sensation seeking among different groups of athletes and nonathletes. *Pers Individ Dif.* 1995;18(2):219-22.
25. Farley F. The type-T personality. In: Lipsitt L, Mitnick L, editors. *Self-regulatory behavior and risk taking: Causes and consequences.* Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishers; 1991.
26. Elmes M, Barry D. Deliverance, denial, and the Death Zone: A study of narcissism and regression in the May 1996 Everest climbing disaster. *J Appl Behav Sci.* 1999;35(2):163-87.
27. Brymer E. *Extreme dude: A phenomenological exploration into the extreme sport experience [Doctoral Dissertation].* Wollongong: University of Wollongong; 2005.
28. Brymer E. The role of extreme sports in lifestyle enhancement and wellness. In: Cuddihy TF, Brymer E, editors. *Creating active futures: Edited proceedings of the 26th ACHPER International conference 2009 7-10 July; Brisbane: Queensland University of Technology; 2009.* p. 285-300.
29. Brymer E, Schweitzer R. Extreme sports are good for your health: a phenomenological understanding of fear and anxiety in extreme sport. *J Health Psychol.* 2013 Apr;18(4):477-87.
30. Brymer E, Schweitzer R. The search for freedom in extreme sports: A phenomenological exploration. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2013 Nov;14(6):865-73.
31. Epstein I. *Adventure therapy: A mental health promotion strategy in pediatric oncology.* *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs.* 2004;21(2):103-10.
32. Lima R, Rosa G, Braga de Mello DB, Albergaria M, Fernandes FS. Cardiovascular parameters and body composition of professional female surfers. *International SportMed Journal.* 2011;12(3):104-12.
33. Brymer E, Oades L. Extreme Sports A positive transformation in courage and humility. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology.* 2009;49(1):114-26.
34. Kerr JH, Houge Mackenzie S. Multiple motives for participating in adventure sports. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2012;13(5):649-57.
35. Willig C. A phenomenological investigation of the experience of taking part in 'Extreme Sports'. *Journal of Health Psychology.* 2008;13(5):690-702.
36. Houge Mackenzie S, Hodge K, Boyes M. The multiphasic and dynamic nature of flow in adventure experiences. *Journal of Leisure Research.* 2013;5(2):214-32.
37. Houge Mackenzie S, Hodge K, Boyes M. Expanding the flow model in adventure activities: A reversal theory perspective. *Journal of Leisure Research.* 2011;43(4):519-44.
38. Woodman T, Cazenave N, Le Scanff C. Skydiving as emotion regulation: The rise and fall of anxiety is moderated by alexithymia. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology.* 2008;30:424-33.
39. Woodman T, Hardy L, Barlow M, Le Scanff C. Motives for prolonged engagement high-risk sports: An agentic emotion regulation perspective. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2010;11:345-52.
40. Gass M, A, Gillert H, L, Russell K, C. *Adventure therapy: Theory, research, and practice.* New York: Routledge; 2012.
41. Hattie J, Marsh HW, Neill JT, Richards GE. Adventure education and Outward Bound: Out-of-class experiences that make a lasting difference. *Review of Educational Research.* 1997;67:43-87.

42. Russell KC. An assessment of outcomes in outdoor behavioral healthcare treatment. *Child & Youth Care Forum*. 2003;32:355-81.
43. Miesel ME, Potgieter JR. The experience of fear in high-risk sport. *South African Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation*. 2003;25(2):49-56.
44. Priest S, Gass M. *Effective Leadership in Adventure Programming*. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1997.
45. Ewert A, Yoshino A. The influence of short-term adventure-based experiences on levels of resilience. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*. 2011;11(1):35-50.
46. Brannigan A, McDougall AA. Peril and pleasure in the maintenance of a high risk sport: A study of hang-gliding. *J Sport Behav*. 1983;6:37-51.
47. Berman D, Davis-Berman J. The role of therapeutic adventure in meeting the mental health needs of children and adolescents: Finding a niche in the health care systems of the United States and the United Kingdom. *Journal of Experiential Education*. 2013;36:51-64.
48. Kerr JH. Arousal-seeking in risk sport participants. *Pers Individ Dif*. 1991;12(6):613-6.
49. Deci EL, Ryan RM. The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*. 2000;11:227-68.
50. Neill JT, Dias KL. Adventure education and resilience: The double-edged sword. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*. 2001;1(2):35-42.
51. Crust L. A review and conceptual re-examination of mental toughness: Implications for future researchers. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2008;45:576-83.
52. Horsburgh V, Schermer J, Veselka L, Vernon P. A behavioral genetic study of mental toughness and personality. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2009;46:100-5.
53. Clough PJ, Strycharczyk D. *Developing mental toughness: Improving performance, wellbeing and positive behaviour in others*. London: Kogan Page; 2012.
54. Clough PJ, Earle K, Sewell D. Mental toughness: The concept and its measurement. In: Cockerill I, editor. *Solutions in Sport Psychology*. London: Thompson; 2002.
55. Perry JL, Clough PJ, Crust L, Earle K, Nicholls AR. Factorial validity of the Mental Toughness Questionnaire-48. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2013;54:587-92.
56. Crust L, Clough PJ. *Developing Mental Toughness: From Research to Practice*. *J Sport Psychol Action*. 2012;2:21-32.
57. Gerber M, Kalak N, Lemola K, Clough PJ, Pühse U, Holsboer-Trachsler E, et al. Adolescents' exercise and physical activity are associated with mental toughness. *Ment Health Phys Ac*. 2012;5:35-42.
58. Crust L, Keegan R. Mental toughness and attitudes to risk-taking. *Pers Individ Dif*. 2010;49:164-8.
59. Crust L, Swann C. The relationship between mental toughness and dispositional flow. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2013;13(2):215-20.
60. Csikszentmihalyi M. *Beyond Boredom and Anxiety: Experiencing Flow in Work and Play*. 25th Anniversary Edition ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2000.
61. Brymer E, Oades L. Extreme Sports: A positive transformation in courage and humility. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*. 2009;49(1):114-26.
62. Brymer E, Cuddihy T, Sharma-Brymer V. The role of nature-based experiences in the development and maintenance of wellness. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Health, Sport and Physical Education*. 2010;1(2):21-7.
63. Brymer E, Downey G, Gray T. Extreme sports as a precursor to environmental sustainability. *Journal of Sport and Tourism*. 2009;14(2-3):1-12.
64. Brymer E, Gray T. Dancing with nature: Rhythm and harmony in extreme sport participation. *Adventure Education & Outdoor Learning*. 2010;9(2):135-49.
65. Brymer E, Gray T. Developing an intimate "relationship" with nature through extreme sports participation. *Loisir*. 2010;34(4):361-74.

66. Pryor A, Townsend M, Maller C, Field K. Health and well-being naturally: 'contact with nature' in health promotion for targeted individuals, communities and populations. *Health Promot J Austr.* 2006;17 (2).
67. Sibthorp J. An empirical look at Wals and Golin's adventure education process model: Relationships between antecedent factors, perceptions of characteristics of adventure education experience, and changes in self-efficacy. *J Leis Res.* 2003;35(1):80-106.
68. Csikszentmihalyi M. The flow experience and its significance for human psychology. In: Csikszentmihalyi M, Csikszentmihalyi I, editors. *Optimal Experience: Psychological Studies of Flow in Consciousness.* NY: Cambridge University Press; 1988. p. 15-35.
69. Delle Fave A, Bassi M, Massimini F. Quality of experience and risk perception in high-altitude climbing. *J Appl Sport Psychol.* 2003;15:82-98.
70. Gilchrest P, Wheaton B. Lifestyle sport, public policy and youth engagement: examining the emergence of parkour. *International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics.* 2011;3(1):109-31.
71. Brymer E. Transforming adventures: why extreme sports should be included in adventure programming. In: Martin B, Wagstaff M, editors. *Controversial Issues in Adventure Programming.* Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2012. p. 165-74.
72. Staempfli MB. Reintroducing adventure into children's outdoor play environments *Environ Behav.* 2009;41(2):268-80.
73. Rawlinson C, M G. *Play In the City: Parkour and architecture.* The First international conference on Engineering and developing the built environment for sustainable wellbeing. Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane; 2011. p. 19-24.
74. Cordovil R, Araújo D, Pepping G-J, Barreiros J. An ecological stance on risk and safe behaviors in children: The role of affordances and emergent behaviors. *New Ideas Psychol.* 2015;36:50-9.