



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Woodfield, R and Dhingra, K and Boduszek, D and Debowska, A (2016) Facets of Psychopathy in Relation to Trauma-Exposure and Posttraumatic Stress Symptomology in a Sample of Incarcerated Male Offenders. *International Journal of Prisoner Health*. ISSN 1744-9219 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPH-06-2016-0020>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/2877/>

Document Version:

Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

**Facets of Psychopathy in Relation to Trauma-Exposure and Posttraumatic Stress
Symptomology in a Sample of Incarcerated Male Offenders**

Accepted for publication in International Journal of Prisoner Health

Russell Woodfield¹, Katie Dhingra², Daniel Boduszek^{1,3} & Agata Debowska⁴

¹University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, United Kingdom

²Leeds Beckett University, United Kingdom

³SWPS University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Poland

⁴Univeristy of Chester, United Kingdom

Correspondence to:

Dr Katie Dhingra

Leeds Beckett University

Department of Criminology

CL905 Calverley Building

Leeds, LS1 3HE

United Kingdom

Email: K.J.Dhingra@leedbeckett.ac.uk

Abstract

Purpose: The aim was to investigate the moderating role of psychopathy facets on the relationship between traumatic exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptomology. **Design/methodology/approach:** Participants were male prisoners incarcerated in the U.K. **Findings:** The analysis revealed differential associations between the two facets of psychopathy, with potentially traumatic events and symptoms of PTSD. Specifically, neither primary psychopathy nor trauma exposure were significantly related to PTSD, while secondary psychopathy was positively and significantly related with PTSD symptoms. Furthermore, the effect of trauma exposure on PTSD was found to depend on the level of secondary psychopathy. More specifically, trauma exposure was strongly and positively associated with PTSD symptoms for low levels of secondary psychopathy and negatively associated with PTSD symptomology for individuals with high levels of secondary psychopathy. **Originality/value:** The findings clarify linkages among psychopathy facets, trauma, and PTSD, and extend our understanding of the presentation of PTSD in male prisoners.

Keywords: Psychopathy; Post Traumatic Stress Disorder; Trauma; Moderation; Prisoners.

Introduction

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a heterogeneous syndrome characterised by relatively disparate symptom clusters. In the recently published 5th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder (DSM-5; American Psychiatric Association, 2013), PTSD is comprised of four symptom clusters – intrusion symptoms, avoidance, negative alterations in cognitions and mood, and alterations in arousal and reactivity. The diagnosis of PTSD requires that four clusters of symptoms are present for at least one month, causing significant distress or impairment (APA, 2013). However, a significant proportion of trauma-exposed individuals do not develop full but sub-threshold PTSD (McLaughlin, Koenen, & Friedman et al., 2015). Such sub-threshold posttraumatic stress is clinically relevant, as it associated with significant and long-standing impairment (Marshall, Olfson, Hellman, et al., 2001). Although few studies have examined the prevalence of PTSD among offender samples, a recent systematic review found it to be more prevalent among prisoners than in the general population with a rate of between 4 and 21% (Goff, Rose, Rose, & Purves, 2007).

Various factors have been postulated to moderate and mediate the relationship between potentially traumatic events (PTEs) and the emergence of PTSD symptomology. Among these are personality characteristics such as attributional style (Yule, 2000), locus of control (Frye & Stockton, 1982; Solomon, Mikulincer & Benbenishty, 1989) and sensation seeking (Neria, Solomon, Ginzburg & Dekel, 2000; Solomon, Ginzburg, Neria & Ohry, 1995). A trait that may be of particular relevance in incarcerated offenders is psychopathy. Psychopathy is characterised by a callous, shallow and manipulative affective-interpersonal style (primary psychopathy) combined with antisocial and reckless behaviour (secondary psychopathy) (Hare, 1991).

Both PTSD and psychopathy are related with greater offense rates, violence, and recidivism (Goff et al., 2007; Hare & Neumann, 2008). The association between these constructs, however, is complex and not yet fully understood (Sellbom, 2015). Some researchers have argued that the two should not co-occur due to the fact that psychopathy is associated with reduced threat-sensitivity and poor fear conditioning (neutral stimuli present during the traumatic event acquire fear-eliciting properties through association with the traumatic event); whereas, PTSD is associated with heightened threat-sensitivity (Cleckley, 1941, 1976; Blair & Mitchell, 2009; Willemsen, De Ganck, & Verhaeghe, 2012). Indeed, Blair and Mitchell (2009) concluded that psychopathy is in some respects the functional inverse of PTSD. Others researchers, however, contend that these disorders tend to both be associated with substantial histories of trauma exposure and should consequently co-occur (Frick, Lilienfeld, & Ellis et al., 1999; Lilienfeld & Penna, 2001). Research has suggested that pre-existing traits, such as behavioural inhibition, leads to numerous stressful events (e.g. incarceration), which results in high levels of negative affect (e.g., Colder & O'Connor, 2004; Gudiño, Nadeem, Kataoka, & Lau, 2012; Muris, Meesters, de Kanter, & Timmerman, 2005). As such, individuals who score high on psychopathy measures are also likely to obtain high scores on measures of anxiety (Lilienfeld, 1994) and suicide risk (Dhingra, Boduszek, Palmer, & Shevlin, 2014; Verona, Edens, Howard, & Smith, 2001). According to this theory, heightened anxiety is not the result of temperament or personality, but instead is a reaction or result of their risk-taking and antisocial behaviour (i.e. secondary psychopathy). Consistent with their proposed greater exposure to negative life events, Tatar, Cauffman, Kimonis, and Skeem (2012) found that incarcerated boys classified in the secondary psychopathy group manifested greater past symptoms of PTSD than their primary psychopathy counterparts. Somewhat differently, Sellbom (2015) found that fearless-dominance traits have a protective

effect against the presence of PTSD symptoms in students scoring highly on the impulsive-antisocial psychopathy facet.

Some research has found that primary psychopathy is associated with lower anxiety (Blonigen, Sullivan, Hicks, & Patrick, 2012; Harpur, Hare, & Hakstian, 1989; Hicks & Patrick, 2006) and greater psychological well-being (Verona et al, 2001). Consequently, primary psychopathic traits might protect individuals from the impact of traumatic exposure as they may mean that individuals are less likely to develop conditioned fear, to avoid unpleasant stimuli, and to focus on threat stimuli, the impact of traumatic exposure may be less significant for them. In line with this proposition, research has shown that primary psychopathy traits are negatively associated with PTSD (Pham, 2012; Willemsen et al., 2012), and that such traits are protective against development of this disorder when an individual is exposed to trauma (Willemsen et al., 2012).

The current study

The aim of the present study is to elucidate further the associations between trauma exposure, psychopathy, and PTSD. An important issue that we address in examining the anticipated differential associations is the need to control for the association between the two dimensions of psychopathy (see Sellbom, 2015). Controlling for the effects of each dimension we believe will provide a clearer picture of the role of each psychopathy dimension in the relationship between trauma exposure and PTSD symptomology (see also Frick et al., 1999; Patrick, 1994). To our knowledge, a model incorporating the two facets of psychopathy in this way has never been tested before in a sample of prisoners. Based on prior research (Frick et al., 1999; Lilienfeld & Penna, 2001), it was expected that psychopathy would moderate the trauma–PTSD relationship. Moderation analysis tests whether the prediction of a dependent variable, Y (PTSD symptomology in this case), from an independent variable, X (trauma exposure in this case), differs across levels of a third variable, Z (psychopathy levels in this

case). Moderating variables affect the strength and/or direction of the relation between a predictor and an outcome: reducing, enhancing, or changing the influence of the predictor. Specifically, we expect that trauma exposure will be positively associated with PTSD symptoms for high levels of secondary psychopathy. This relation will be due to the fact that individuals scoring higher on this facet of psychopathy may have encountered a greater number of potentially traumatic events (e.g., physical assault, transportation accidents etc.) that lead to heightened PTSD symptomology. It is further expected that trauma exposure will be negatively associated with PTSD symptomology for individuals scoring highly on primary psychopathy. This is because such traits may confer a protective effect against the development of this disorder when an individual is exposed to trauma (Willemssen et al., 2012).

Method

Participant and sampling procedure

An opportunistic sampling procedure was used. Ethical Approval for the study was granted by the National Offender Management Service (NOMS). Two hundred and eighty ($N = 280$) male prisoners incarcerated in a medium security UK prison were invited to participate in the study (via survey distribution). The only exclusion criteria applied was an inability to read English. All inmates were informed verbally that they should not participate in the study if they had poor literacy skills, but that they did not have to inform data collectors of the specific reason for not participating in the research. A brief description of the study was provided to each participant along with the questionnaire. Individuals were assured about the confidentiality of their participation, and informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time without having to provide a reason for doing so. Participants completed the questionnaires in their living units. Participation was voluntary without any form of reward. After completing the questionnaire, prisoners were asked to return it to the prison officer in a sealed envelope, which was provided alongside the questionnaire.

One hundred and nine ($N = 109$) surveys were returned to data collectors but only 101 were included in final analysis (eight prisoners were excluded due to significant missing data). The participant age range was between 18 and 61 years ($M = 33.01$, $SD = 8.50$). Most participants were heterosexual (93.9%), White (68.7%), and single (56.6%). Most participants were also housed in a single cell (96.0%), had spent time in three or more prisons (38.0%), and were employed by the prison (54.5%). The frequency of prior imprisonment ranged from zero to 25 ($M = 4.56$, $SD = 5.88$). The sample consisted prisoners convicted of property offenses ($n = 19$), drug offenses ($n = 15$), robbery ($n = 14$), physical assault ($n = 6$),

offenses with weapons or firearms ($n = 5$), fraud ($n = 4$), murder ($n = 2$), domestic violence ($n = 1$), and mixed offenses ($n = 34$).

Procedure

Measures

The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder-Checklist version 5 (PCL-5; Weathers, Litz, Herman, Huska, & Keane, 1993) is a commonly used self-report measure of PTSD symptomology (Elhai, Gray, Kashdan, & Franklin, 2005). Weathers, Litz, and Herman et al. (2013) adapted the PCL so that items map directly onto DSM-5 symptom criteria for PTSD. Respondents indicated how distressed they were by each symptom over the past month by rating items on a five-point Likert-type scale (1 = “not at all” to 5 = “extremely”). Respondents were instructed to anchor their ratings to their worst nominated traumatic event.

Traumatic exposure. The life events checklist (LEC-5) (Blake, Weathers, & Nagy et al., 1995) is a self-report report measure designed to screen for exposure to Criterion A events. It consists of 16 potentially traumatic events, each rated on a 6-point nominal scale (1=“happened to me”; 2=“witnessed it”; 3=“learned about it”; 4 = “part of my job”, 5=“not sure”; and 6=“does not apply”) and one catch-all category labelled “any other very stressful event or experience.” A frequency score, based on personal experience, for traumatic exposure was calculated by totalling all potentially traumatic events reported. In our sample, 93.1% of the participants had experienced at least one potentially traumatic event in accordance with the A1 criterion, and the mean frequency score was 5.29 ($SD = 3.32$, range = 0-16). The most commonly endorsed directly experienced traumas included physical assault ($n = 77$; 76.2%), assault with a weapon ($n = 67$; 66.3%), transportation accident ($n = 56$; 55.4%), and serious injury, harm, or death caused to someone else ($n = 42$; 41.6%).

SRP-SF. Self-Report Psychopathy Scale-Short Form (Paulhus, Neumann, & Hare, in press) was used to assess self-reported psychopathic traits. Based on the “gold standard” of clinical psychopathy assessment, the Psychopathy Checklist–Revised (PCL-R; Hare, 1991), the SRP-SF is a 29-item measure that yields a total score as well as four subscale scores: Interpersonal Manipulation, 7 items, (e.g. “I have pretended to be someone else in order to get something”); Callous Affect, 7 items, (e.g. “I like to see fist-fights”); Erratic Lifestyle, 7 items, (e.g. “I’m a rebellious person”); and Antisocial Behaviour, 8 items, (e.g. “I have never been involved in delinquent gang activity”). Items are scored on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *strongly disagree* to 5 = *strongly agree*).

Analysis

Descriptive statistics, Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients, and regression analysis were calculated using SPSS 22. A hierarchical moderated multiple regression analysis, as the recommended method for testing interaction effects (Cohen & Cohen, 1983), was applied in order to investigate the moderating role of psychopathy factors in the relationship between trauma and PTSD (only standardised solution was reported). Simple slopes for the relationship between trauma and PTSD were investigated for low (1 SD below the mean), medium (mean), and high (1 SD above the mean) levels of primary and secondary psychopathy factors (see Bate, Boduszek, Dhingra, & Bale, 2014; Boduszek, Adamson, Shevlin, & Hyland, 2012; Cohen & Cohen, 1983) using ModGraph 3.0 (Jose, 2013).

Results

Descriptive Statistics and correlations

Descriptive statistics including means (*M*) and standard deviations (*SD*) for trauma, PTSD, and two psychopathy factors are presented in Table 1 together with bivariate correlations. Results indicate significant positive associations between the number of traumatic events experienced, PTSD scores, and scores on both facets of the psychopathy scale.

Table 1: *Descriptive statistics and correlations for trauma exposure, PTSD symptomology, and primary and secondary psychopathy*

Variable	Trauma	PTSD	P1	P2
Trauma exposure	1			
PTSD	.22*	1		
Primary psychopathy (P1)	.32**	.23*	1	
Secondary psychopathy (P2)	.40***	.32**	.83***	1
<i>M</i>	5.29	32.49	32.18	41.36
<i>SD</i>	3.32	21.52	11.14	12.49
<i>Min</i>	0	0	14	19
<i>Max</i>	16	.76	64	66
<i>Cronbach's alpha</i>	.6	.90	.88	.86

Note: * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

Moderated Regression Analysis

Hierarchical moderated regression analysis was performed to investigate the moderating effect (enhancing, reducing, or changing the influence) of the two psychopathy factors on the relationship between trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms. Preliminary analyses revealed no violation of the assumptions of normality, linearity, multicollinearity, and homoscedasticity.

In the first step of the analysis, trauma exposure and the two psychopathy factors were entered. This model (model 1) was statistically significant ($F_{(3, 92)} = 4.03, p = .01$) and explained 12% ($R^2 = .12$) of variance in PTSD symptoms. Only secondary psychopathy was statistically correlated with the PTSD (see Table 2). The second step of the analysis consisted of entering interaction terms, coding the interaction between trauma exposure and the two psychopathy factors. After entering the interaction terms, an additional 6% of variance in PTSD symptoms was explained ($R^2 \text{ Change} = .06, p = .048$), and the final model (model 2) as a whole explained 18% of variance in PTSD symptoms ($R^2 = .18; F_{(5, 90)} = 3.79, p = .004$). Just as in model 1, in model 2, secondary psychopathy formed a statistically significant direct relationship with PTSD symptoms. There was no significant direct relationship between primary psychopathy and PTSD symptoms or between trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms. However, the relationship between the interaction term (trauma by secondary psychopathy) and PTSD symptoms was statistically significant, indicating that the effect of trauma exposure on PTSD symptoms depends on the level of secondary psychopathy. In other words, levels of secondary psychopathy affect the strength and/or direction of the relationship between trauma exposure and PTSD symptomology.

Table 2

Moderated effect of psychopathy traits on relationship between trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms


Model	Variable	β	<i>SE</i>	95% CI
1	Primary psychopathy (P1)	-.11	.17	-.47/.25
	Secondary psychopathy (P2)	.37*	.18	.01/.74
	Trauma exposure	.10	.10	-.11/.32
2	Primary psychopathy (P1)	-.18	.18	-.54/.19
	Secondary psychopathy (P2)	.42*	.18	.05/.79
	Trauma exposure	.19	.11	-.03/.41
	Trauma by P1	.29	.20	-.15/.65
	Trauma by P2	-.50*	.21	-.88/-.01

Note: * $p < .05$.

To aid the interpretation of the moderation, and show how the slope of Y (PTSD symptomology) on X (trauma exposure) is dependent on the moderator (psychopathy levels), interaction effects were plotted (Figure 1). Regression slopes that correspond to the prediction of Y from X at a single value of Z, which are termed simple slopes, were investigated for low (1 SD below the mean), medium (mean), and high (1 SD above the mean) levels of secondary psychopathy (see Figure 1). Trauma exposure was strongly and positively associated with PTSD symptoms for low levels (-1 SD) of secondary psychopathy ($\beta = .64$, $SE = .20$, $p = .01$). A significant negative association between trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms was found for high levels (+1 SD) of secondary psychopathy ($\beta = -.25$, $SE =$

.22, $p = .04$). The association between variables for medium (mean) levels of secondary psychopathy was non-significant ($\beta = .19$, $SE = .15$, $p = .12$).

Figure 1: *The moderating role of secondary psychopathy (P2) on the relationship between trauma exposure and PTSD symptomology*



Discussion

The aim of the present study was to elucidate further the associations between exposure to potentially traumatic events, psychopathy factors, and PTSD symptomology in a sample of male prisoners. The findings support our hypothesis that the two psychopathy facets (primary and secondary) would have differential associations with PTSD symptomology, although the direct relationship between primary psychopathy and PTSD symptomology was not statistically significant in either step of the analysis. The non-significant association between primary psychopathy and PTSD symptoms further supports the growing literature that suggests that primary psychopathic traits might protect individuals from the impact of traumatic exposure (Sellbom, 2015; Willemssen et al., 2012). Our results also indicated somewhat unexpectedly that trauma exposure was strongly and positively associated with PTSD symptoms for low levels of secondary psychopathy and negatively associated with PTSD symptomology for individuals with high levels of secondary psychopathy. This suggests that individuals lower on these traits are more vulnerable to the development of PTSD in response to trauma exposure whereas, for those higher on these traits, the impact of traumatic exposure may be less significant. Thus, for male prisoners with higher levels of secondary psychopathy, more exposure that is traumatic might mean diminished levels of PTSD over time, rather than accumulating symptomology.

Although our findings with respect to secondary psychopathy was not as predicted, it is consistent with research suggesting that individuals higher in sensation-seeking are better able to adjust to stressful situations and report lower levels of PTSD, combat-related stress, and other psychiatric symptoms following exposure to stressful events (e.g., Solomon et al., 1995). Alternatively, our results might be explained as the result of habituation to potential traumatic events. Over time, the brain may attempt to compensate for elevated limbic-hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (LHPA) axis activity in response to traumatic stress by a

negative feedback loop which reduces response to stimulation of the LHPA axis, resulting in a desensitization to stress (De Bellis, Chrousos, & Dorn et al., 1994; Glaser, 2000). The results of this desensitization may include callousness, unemotionality, non-responsiveness to punishment, and a heightened need for external stimulation (i.e., traits that mimic secondary psychopathy).

Our results are inconsistent with those of Sellbom (2015) who found that fearless-dominance traits had a protective effect against the presence of PTSD symptoms in students scoring highly on the impulsive-antisociality psychopathy facet. However, as noted by Sellbom, PPI Fearless-Dominance (used in his study) and SRP-SF (used in the present study) measurements of such traits are not isomorphic (see also Dhingra & Boduszek, 2013), with the former typically being more strongly negatively associated with negative affectivity/internalizing disorders (including PTSD) at the zero-order level. Thus, as suggested by Sellbom, “associations between psychopathy and PTSD might to some degree depend on from what perspective the former construct is operationalized” (2015, p. 90). It is also possible that the discrepancy between our findings and Sellbom’s may be the result of different samples (prisoners vs. students, respectively).

The results should be interpreted in the light of potential limitations. The primary limitation centres on the cross-sectional examination of the associations between psychopathy facets, trauma exposure, and PTSD symptomology and the resultant inability to address the causal mechanism behind the relationships. Consequently, it may be the case that secondary psychopathic traits lead to trauma exposure due to an insensitivity to punishment when primed with reward, a tendency to seek out novel and dangerous activities (Frick, Cornell, & Bodin et al., 2003), and a lack of responsiveness to others’ distress cues (Kimonis, Frick, Fazekas, & Loney, 2006). The reverse may also be true. Lykken (1995), for example, posited that environmental factors, such as poor or ineffective parenting, lead to the development of

outwardly displayed characteristics of psychopathy, which he referred to as sociopathy. Porter (1996) also theorised secondary psychopaths “turn-off” their emotions in order to cope with trauma, leading to the emotional blunting associated with psychopathy. It is also possible that antisocial traits and trauma exposure mutually influence the other such that exposure to trauma elevates rates of psychopathy (including increased aggressiveness and sensation seeking), thus increasing risk for further trauma exposure (Kimonis, Centifanti, Allen, & Frick, 2014; Lauterbach & Vrana, 2001). Thus, it is clear that further investigation of the associations between these variables is warranted and that longitudinal research may help elucidate possible temporal orderings.

A second potential limitation is the exclusive use of self-report measures. Future research efforts should address this concern through the use of clinician-ratings of PTSD and psychopathy. Third, although we used a valid and reliable measure of lifetime trauma exposure, because the study was cross-sectional rather than prospective, recall and reporting bias may have impacted the accuracy of participants’ reports. Finally, we did not assess the impact of potentially traumatic events upon prisoners. Thus, in future research, a measure such as the Impact of Event Scale (IES) could be used as well to evaluate the predictive validity of a weaker traumatic stress reaction among more psychopathic prisoners.

In conclusion, the findings suggest an association between exposure to potentially traumatic events and PTSD among incarcerated male offenders. Importantly, this relationship was found not to be direct but was instead moderated by secondary psychopathy. Specifically, trauma exposure was positively associated with increased PTSD symptomology among individuals with low levels of secondary psychopathy, and negatively associated with PTSD symptomology with those with high levels of secondary psychopathy. These findings contribute to our understanding of the nature of the relationships between PTSD symptomology, psychopathy facets and trauma exposure, namely, that the association

between trauma exposure and PTSD is explained by secondary but not primary psychopathic traits. Future longitudinal studies may advance our understanding of the mechanisms of the relationship between trauma exposure, psychopathy facets, and post-traumatic symptomatology.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*. American Psychiatric Pub.
- Bate, C., Boduszek, D., Dhingra, K., & Bale, C. (2014). Psychopathy, intelligence and emotional responding in a non-forensic sample: an experimental investigation. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 25(5), 600-612.
- Blair, R. J. R., & Mitchell, D. G. V. (2009). Psychopathy, attention and emotion. *Psychological Medicine*, 39(04), 543-555.
- Blake, D. D., Weathers, F. W., Nagy, L. M., Kaloupek, D. G., Gusman, F. D., Charney, D. S., et al. (1995). The development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 8, 75-90.
- Boduszek, D., Adamson, G., Shevlin, M., & Hyland, P. (2012). The Role of Personality in the Relationship between Criminal Social Identity and Criminal Thinking Style within a Sample of Prisoners with Learning Difficulties. *Journal of Learning Disabilities and Offending Behaviour*, 3(1), 12-24
- Blonigen, D. M., Sullivan, E. A., Hicks, B. M., & Patrick, C. J. (2012). Facets of psychopathy in relation to potentially traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder among female prisoners: The mediating role of borderline personality disorder traits. *Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 3(4), 406.
- Cleckley, H. (1941/1976). *The mask of sanity* (1st and 5th eds.). St. Louis, MO: Mosby.
- Colder, C. R., & O'Connor, R. M. (2004). Gray's reinforcement sensitivity model and child psychopathology: Laboratory and questionnaire assessment of the BAS and BIS. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 32(4), 435-451.
- De Bellis, M. D., Chrousos, G. P., Dorn, L. D., Burke, L., Helmers, K., Kling, M. A., ... &

- Putnam, F. W. (1994). Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysregulation in sexually abused girls. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 78(2), 249-255.
- Dhingra, K., Boduszek, D., Palmer, D., & Shevlin, M. (2014). Psychopathy and self-injurious thoughts and behaviour: application of latent class analysis. *Journal of Mental Health*, 24(1), 4-8.
- Dhingra, K., & Boduszek, D. (2013). Psychopathy and criminal behaviour: a psychosocial research perspective. *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, 3(2), 83-107.
- Elhai, J. D., Gray, M. J., Kashdan, T. B., & Franklin, C. L. (2005). Which instruments are most commonly used to assess traumatic event exposure and posttraumatic effects?: A survey of traumatic stress professionals. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 18(5), 541-545.
- Frick, P. J., Lilienfeld, S. O., Ellis, M., Loney, B., & Silverthorn, P. (1999). The association between anxiety and psychopathy dimensions in children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 27(5), 383-392.
- Frye, J. S., & Stockton, R. A. (1982). Discriminant analysis of posttraumatic stress disorder among a group of Vietnam veterans. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 139(1), 52-56.
- Glaser, D. (2000). Child abuse and neglect and the brain—a review. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry*, 41(01), 97-116.
- Goff, A., Rose, E., Rose, S., & Purves, D. (2007). Does PTSD occur in sentenced prison populations? A systematic literature review. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health*, 17(3), 152-162.
- Gudiño, O. G., Nadeem, E., Kataoka, S. H., & Lau, A. S. (2012). Reinforcement sensitivity and risk for psychopathology following exposure to violence: a vulnerability-specificity model in Latino youth. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 43(2), 306-321.
- Hare, R. D. (1991). *The Hare psychopathy checklist-revised: Manual*. Multi-Health Systems,

Incorporated.

Hare, R. D., & Neumann, C. S. (2008). Psychopathy as a clinical and empirical construct.

Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol., 4, 217-246.

Harpur, T. J., Hare, R. D., & Hakstian, A. R. (1989). Two-factor conceptualization of

psychopathy: Construct validity and assessment implications. *Psychological*

Assessment: A Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1(1), 6.

Hicks, B. M., & Patrick, C. J. (2006). Psychopathy and negative emotionality: analyses of

suppressor effects reveal distinct relations with emotional distress, fearfulness, and

anger-hostility. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 115(2), 276.

Jose, P. E. (2013). ModGraph-I: A programme to compute cell means for the graphical

display of moderational analyses: The internet version (Version 2.0). Wellington:

Victoria University of Wellington. Retrieved June 21, 2015.

Kimonis, E. R., Frick, P. J., Fazekas, H., & Loney, B. R. (2006). Psychopathy, aggression,

and the processing of emotional stimuli in non-referred girls and boys. *Behavioral*

Sciences & the Law, 24, 21–37

Kimonis, E. R., Centifanti, L. C., Allen, J. L., & Frick, P. J. (2014). Reciprocal influences

between negative life events and callous-unemotional traits. *Journal of Abnormal*

Child Psychology, 42(8), 1287-1298.

Lauterbach, D., & Vrana, S. (2001). The relationship among personality variables, exposure

to traumatic events, and severity of posttraumatic stress symptoms. *Journal of*

Traumatic Stress, 14(1), 29-4.

Lilienfeld, S. O. (1994). Conceptual problems in the assessment of psychopathy. *Clinical*

Psychology Review, 14, 17–38.

Lilienfeld, S. O., & Perna, S. (2001). Anxiety sensitivity: relations to psychopathy, DSM-IV

- personality disorder features, and personality traits. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 15, 367–393.
- Lykken, D. T. (1957). A study of anxiety in the sociopathic personality. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 55, 6–10.
- Marshall, R. D., Olfson, M., Hellman, F., Blanco, C., Guardino, M., & Struening, E. L. (2001). Comorbidity, impairment, and suicidality in subthreshold PTSD. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(9), 1467-1473.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Koenen, K. C., Friedman, M. J., Ruscio, A. M., Karam, E. G., Shahly, V., et al. (2015). Subthreshold posttraumatic stress disorder in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Biological Psychiatry*, 77(4), 375–384.
- Muris, P., Meesters, C., de Kanter, E., & Timmerman, P. E. (2005). Behavioural inhibition and behavioural activation system scales for children: relationships with Eysenck's personality traits and psychopathological symptoms. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 38(4), 831-841.
- Neria, Y., Solomon, Z., Ginzburg, K., & Dekel, R. (2000). Sensation seeking, wartime performance, and long-term adjustment among Israeli war veterans. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 29(5), 921-932.
- Pham, T. H. (2012). Psychopathy and traumatic stress. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 26(2), 213-225.
- Porter, S. (1996). Without conscience or without active conscience? The etiology of psychopathy revisited. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 1, 179–189.
- Sellbom, M. (2015). Elucidating the Complex Associations between Psychopathy and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder from the Perspective of Trait Negative Affectivity. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 14(2), 85-92.

- Solomon, Z., Ginzburg, K., Neria, Y., & Ohry, A. (1995). Coping with war captivity: The role of sensation seeking. *European Journal of Personality, 9*(1), 57-70.
- Tatar, J. R., Cauffman, E., Kimonis, E. R., & Skeem, J. L. (2012). Victimization history and posttraumatic stress: An analysis of psychopathy variants in male juvenile offenders. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma, 5*(2), 102-113.
- Verona, E., Patrick, C. J., & Joiner, T. E. (2001). Psychopathy, antisocial personality, and suicide risk. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 110*(3), 462.
- Weathers, F. W., Litz, B. T., Herman, D. S., Huska, J. A., & Keane, T. M. (1993). The PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility. In *Annual Convention of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies*. San Antonio: International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies.
- Willemsen, J., De Ganck, J., & Verhaeghe, P. (2012). Psychopathy, traumatic exposure, and lifetime posttraumatic stress. *International journal of offender therapy and comparative criminology, 56*, 505-524.
- Yule, W. (2000). Posttraumatic stress disorder in the general population and in children. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry, 62*, 23-28.