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Abstract

This study used a grounded theory methodology to understand if and how psychological development in youth athletes was facilitated by an ‘intensive’ summer wrestling camp experience. The theoretical sampling approach involved 10 athlete participants of the camp, nine parents of athletes, the director of the camp, and four camp staff members, who took part in a series of interviews before, during, and after the camp. Two researchers were also embedded in the camp and attended all sessions, took detailed notes, collected camp materials, and conducted observations. Following Corbin and Strauss’ (2008) analysis approach, a model is presented that outlines how youth participants’ developed psychological qualities from the coach-created challenges and adversity that were systematically designed to facilitate sport performance enhancement and life skills. Variations emerged in psychological antecedents and characteristics, how the challenging wrestling camp environment was interpreted and experienced, and how learning was transferred to sport and life domains outside of the wrestling camp. This study provided insight into a unique youth sport context that was able to simultaneously develop psychological qualities to be used as sport performance enhancement and life skills.
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Understanding the Process of Psychological Development in Young Athletes Attending an Intensive Wrestling Camp

Researchers in sport psychology (e.g., Bell, Hardy, & Beattie, 2013; Holland, Woodcock, Cumming & Duda, 2010) have shown a growing interest in understanding the role youth sport plays in facilitating psychological growth for performance enhancement and athletic talent development. Simultaneously, researchers in sport-based youth development (e.g. Flett, Gould, Griffes, & Lauer, 2012; Larson, Hansen, & Moneta, 2006), have been studying the role youth sport can play in fostering psychological growth for positive youth development (PYD). While these areas of research have much in common, the intersections about how psychological development occurs between them have largely been ignored.

In the sport-based talent development literature, psychological qualities have been described in many ways, and can be encompassed by the term, Psychological Characteristics of Developing Excellence (PCDEs). PCDEs are “not just mental skills such as imagery or goal setting but also include the attitudes, emotions, and desires young athletes need to successfully realize their potential” (MacNamara, Button, Collins, 2010a, p. 70). In the positive youth development (PYD) literature, life skills have been defined as “those internal personal assets, characteristics and skills such as goal setting, emotional control, self-esteem, and hard work ethic that can be facilitated or developed in sport and transferred for use in non-sport settings” (Gould & Carson, 2008, p. 60). While a range of terms (ie., assets, skills, characteristics, attitudes, emotions, and desires), have been used across the two lines of research, both seek to understand and describe the key psychological qualities an athlete possesses or can develop in sport. The two research domains are different, however, with one focusing on application in sport and one on application in other life contexts. The defining factor that separates the two areas of study is
the concept of transfer. In particular, for a psychological quality to be a life skill, it must be transferred from one domain (i.e., sport) to another life domain (Gould & Carson, 2008).

The majority of athlete talent development research that explores psychological qualities has focused on adults, with less attention focused on how these qualities are developed in young athletes. It has been found that a number of personal dispositions (e.g. adaptive perfectionism, optimism), psychological attributes (e.g. confidence, emotional control), and cognitive-behavioral strategies (e.g. performance routines, self-talk) have been linked to athletes who have succeeded at the elite or Olympic level (Gould & Maynard, 2009). Having established that development is a long-term complex process involving a talented individual and a strong support system (Gould, Dieffenbach, & Moffett; 2002), researchers have turned their attention to understand how and under what conditions psychological qualities develop.

One study that has looked at the psychological development of elite young athletes was conducted by Holland and colleagues (2010). Examining young elite rugby players, they found that youth use performance strategies (e.g., self-talk, visualization), team-based strategies (e.g., role clarification), strong support systems, and reflection on action to help the development of psychological qualities. However, the dynamic process is individualized, where athletes learn and utilize different PCDE’s at various stages of development, depending on their ability to self-regulate their progress and manage the stressors and challenges they are faced with (MacNamara, Button, & Collins, 2010b).

Coping with stress has been a salient theme across studies of elite athletes, thus, recent research has focused on the development of psychological qualities used to cope with stress and challenging experiences in athlete development (Connaughton, Hanton, & Jones, 2010; Fletcher & Sarkar, 2012). These investigators have noted the value of manipulating athlete environments
to create adverse experiences that facilitate the learning of mental toughness, resilience, and coping skills (Bell, Hardy, & Beattie, 2013; Collins & MacNamara, 2012). Bell and colleagues (2013), for example, designed a mental toughness intervention training program for cricketers (aged 16 to 18) that systematically introduced punishment-conditioned stimuli (e.g., exclusion from training if not punctual) and transformational leadership training for the athletes. Cricketers in the intervention group showed higher improvements in mental toughness and performance in competitive situations than the control group. Not only did these findings provide support for the use of systematic mental toughness training for athlete talent development, but highlighted the need to understand how programmatic features, such as effectively designed punishment, can influence athlete development (Bell et al., 2013).

Meanwhile, researchers in sport psychology have also explored psychological qualities in young athletes through the lens of PYD, and have shown that sport participation for young people can contribute to the growth of qualities such as initiative, teamwork, control of emotions, and empathy (e.g., Gano-Overway, Newton, Magyar, Fry, Kim, & Guivernau, 2009; Larson et al., 2006). Much attention has centered on how programs can be designed and structured to influence development. Petitpas, Cornelius, Van Raalte, and Jones, (2005) stated that developmental youth sport programs should include caring mentors, have a focus on specific assets or skills for sport and life situations, and be structured in an appropriate context. Furthermore, the motivational climate (MacDonald, Côté, Eys, & Deakin, 2011), specific teaching strategies (Gould, Collins, Lauer, & Chung, 2007), and the quality of the coach-athlete relationship (Gould & Carson, 2010) can influence PYD and life skill development. Consequently, evaluations of life skill-focused youth sport programs, such as The First Tee golf
program, have produced promising findings to show that life skills can be developed in a systematically designed sport program and transferred to other life domains (Weiss et al., 2013).

While talent-focused and life skills-focused sport programs are conceptually different in their intended outcome, the conditions, techniques and processes (i.e., focus on developing specific qualities or assets, coach-athlete relationships, support systems, motivational climate) identified for developing psychological qualities across the two areas are similar. To this point, Gould, Griffes, and Carson (2013) have contended that the mental toughness skills have the potential to be life skills as they are attributes developed in sport and can be used in other areas of life. Further, Turnnidge and colleagues (2014) have recently posited that psychological qualities can become life skills as the result of both explicit approaches and implicit approaches. Most notably, the implicit approach implies that some programs focus on teaching psychosocial qualities for participants to use primarily in the sport setting, and no intentional strategies for transfer are needed because participants naturally transfer these qualities to other areas of life on their own.

The need has therefore arisen to question how youth sport programs can contribute to the development of psychological qualities to use both for enhancing sport performance and as life skills. This is especially relevant from a scientific perspective because: (1) there is a growing need to examine how sport programs and talent development education function to impact on psychological growth of young athletes (Collins & MacNamara, 2012); and, (2) the National Research Council and Institute of Medicine’s have called for researchers to examine the processes and contextual factors that foster developmental outcomes in sport-based PYD programs. (Eccles & Gootman, 2002; Gano-Overway et al., 2009).
This issue is also particularly relevant from a practical standpoint. At the youth sport level, the vast majority of programs have multiple goals including both performance enhancement and personal development of the athletes. Furthermore, summer sport camps are an increasingly popular experience for young athletes and a growing industry, estimated to produce $15 billion in revenue annually in the United States (American Camping Association, 2013).

Several studies have examined the effects of summer sport and physical activity camps specifically designed to enhance positive youth development (PYD) for underserved youth (e.g., Anderson-Butcher, Riley, Iachini, Wade-Mdivanian, Davis & Amorose, 2013), yet, investigators have not examined the ‘competitive’ sport camp experience that also places major importance on skills instruction for enhanced talent and youth development.

With this scientific understanding as a foundation, we as researchers, were provided with a unique opportunity to study an intensive youth wrestling camp that has the explicit goal to develop psychological qualities for wrestling and for life. The camp is designed and directed by a well-respected coach and leader in the United States wrestling community, and anecdotally in that community has the reputation of being successful in achieving its goals. The present study is a component of a wider project designed to examine if and how an intensive wrestling camp has long-term effects on the psychological development of the young athletes involved. In the first manuscript of the project, quantitative findings showed that 70 young athletes reported improvements occurring in goal setting/mental preparation, achievement motivation and confidence, coping with adversity, peaking under pressure, concentration, freedom from worry, as well as state hope scale constructs of agency and pathway, and trait sport confidence (Driska, Gould, Pierce & Cowburn, under review). The first study provided strong evidence that a number of psychological changes were evident in the young wrestlers and persisted over time,
yet did not explore the process of ‘how’ the camp functioned to stimulate the changes seen in the participants. The purpose of this study was to utilize a grounded theory approach to provide an in-depth understanding of if and how psychological development in youth athletes was facilitated by the camp experience.

**Method**

The **Intensive Wrestling Camp context**

Approval for this research project was gained from the university human research protection program prior to the study, and the camp director allowed the research team to use his name and the camp organization name in the presentation of the study findings.

The camp studied was a 14-day over-night camp *J Robinson Intensive Wrestling Camp* attended by high school wrestlers, aged 14-18. The structure for this camp involved a great deal of physical training and is loosely based on Army Ranger training model. A typical daily schedule included a one-hour morning workout, a two-hour wrestling technique session, a two-hour hard wrestling session, a one-hour evening workout, and a one-hour evening mental attitude session.

Throughout the camp, strict hydration and hygiene regulations were in place to manage the health risks specifically associated with intense training for wrestling. Along with the goals of improving wrestling ability and performance, the camp advertised that it would develop seven key virtues: hard work, dedication, discipline, sacrifice, accountability, responsibility, and service (labeled the *J7* by the camp director). The camp staff taught mental skills such as goal-setting and goal-based imagery, shared personal examples of their own athletic and personal development, and guided athletes in the completion of individual reflective activities using a camp journal. To additionally reinforce desired behaviors, the camp employed an effort-based reward system and a points system to determine who graduates from the camp. Participants
begin with 750 points, and need 525 points to graduate from the camp; camp graduates receive a
coveted I DID IT t-shirt as an award. All participants began camp with 750 points but lost points
for failing to follow camp rules or meet camp requirements.

Research approach

A grounded theory methodology was deemed appropriate for this study because there are
empirical and conceptual gaps (Corbin & Strauss, 2008) in the sport psychology literature about
how youth athletes develop psychological qualities from youth sport experiences for sport and
life success (Gould & Carson, 2008). Holt and Tamminen (2010) have advocated for the focus
on methodological coherence as a guiding principle in grounded theory research studies. For this
reason, a systematic process was followed to ensure congruence between philosophical
viewpoints, theoretical perspectives, and the research question/s of interest (Holt & Tamminen,
2010; Mayan, 2009; Morse, 1999). Further, a thorough description of the nuanced grounded
theory approach is provided to present an ecologically valid representation of psychological
development through the youth sport camp (Hutchinson, Johnston, & Breckon, 2013).

This study sought to understand youth athlete psychological development using an
interpretivist philosophical perspective. In particular, the goal was to understand the meanings,
purposes and interpretations that athletes and coaches gave to experiences, actions and
interactions with others at the wrestling camp, related to athlete psychological development
(Smith & Obsorn, 2008). Because this perspective asserts that there are multiple co-existing
realities among individuals (Guba & Lincoln, 1994), it was deemed appropriate that Corbin and
Strauss’ (2008) variant of grounded theory guided the research process.

This study began without a pre-defined theoretical framework for youth athlete
psychological development (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). However, knowledge from academic and
technical literature was recognized about what psychological qualities might be taught for athletic development (e.g., Gould & Maynard, 2009), and for positive youth development (e.g., Benson & Satio, 2000), and the influential role of the coach and support system (e.g., Gould & Carson, 2008). This existing knowledge was used to help guide the interview questions in the initial theoretical sampling (Corbin & Strauss, 2008; Tamminen & Holt, 2012).

**Sampling strategy**

Grounded theory research involves a spiral of cycles of data collection, coding, analysis, writing, design, theoretical categorization, and data collection (Bryant & Charmaz, 2007). Sampling procedures occurred over several phases, driven by the need for theoretical sampling, and involved the collection data from places, people, and events that maximized the development of concepts and theory (Corbin & Strauss, 2008), and is described below and shown in Figure 1.

The initial sample was purposively selected based on the research question, to understand if and how psychological development in youth athletes was facilitated by the camp experience. The camp director was identified as necessary for the initial sample to gain insight into how the camp was structured and designed to influence athlete psychological development. Additionally, from 15 assenting youth at the camp, 10 were identified as a suitable initial sample. This sample was selected to provide variation in age, camp experience and gender, and according to the camp staff, provided a fair representation of the 210 camp attendees. The director participated in a semi-structured interview to obtain his views of the broad youth athlete and contextual factors that may influence psychological development at the camp (e.g., how does the camp influence athlete development?), and semi-structured interviews were conducted with each of the 10 athlete participants in the first two days of the camp with the purpose identifying the broad
personal and contextual factors that may influence psychological development at the camp (e.g.,
what are your personal goals for the camp?).

Initial coding and analysis from the director and athlete interviews revealed concepts
related to the camp design (e.g., demanding camp schedule) and the director’s philosophy for
athlete development (e.g., need to understand consequences to learn), as well as concepts related
to similarities and variations in the athletes’ background, personal goals for the camp, changes in
confidence, engagement with coaches, identification of the challenging camp environment, and
perceived developmental outcomes. These concepts guided the theoretical sampling and
instigated continual mini-cycles of coach and athlete interviews and observations, coding and
analysis from interviews and observation memos, and subsequent coach and athlete interviews
and observations, throughout the two week camp. This helped to build an understanding of the
multiple interpretations of the psychological development of youth at the camp. The interviews
were conducted with the camp director to inquire about his interpretation of the emergent
concepts (e.g., how would you describe changes in athlete confidence over the camp?), and with
the athletes to gain their interpretation of these concepts identified in the open coding (e.g., you
have mentioned the tough camp schedule, how is that influencing you today?) (Corbin & Strauss,
2008).

The iterative process of initial coding and memos identified the next phase of sampling,
which logistically occurred simultaneously with the initial phase of sampling. Continued coding
during the camp revealed that coaches and the camp materials (e.g., journal, daily quotes) were
influential in providing behavioral feedback and reinforcement for the athletes to facilitate
psychological development. This phase of theoretical sampling involved interviews with four
coaches/trainers and the collection of all supplementary camp materials, to gain additional
interpretations and insight about the emerging concepts that influenced athlete development (e.g.,
describe the feedback and reinforcement you provide athletes and why you use this approach?).

Immediately following the camp, coding continued and early theoretical categories and
descriptions guided the need for a subsequent phase of sampling. Specifically, psychological
development was an identified as an on-going process influenced by athlete reflection and
contextual factors outside of the camp. Focused on these emergent concepts, this phase of
theoretical sampling involved eight youth athletes, who completed interviews in the week
following the camp (e.g., you described changes in confidence during the camp, how would you
describe your confidence now?). Two athletes chose not to participate in these interviews.

Continued analysis and theoretical development, as well as a guiding scientific
understanding of life skill development and transfer (e.g., Gould & Carson, 2008), revealed that
the camp was attempting to teach life skills, and that time, athlete post-camp experiences and
contextual factors outside of the camp would influence whether psychological development was
maintained and skills were transferred to other life domains. For this reason, the next phase of
sampling involved interviews with the same eight athletes approximately nine months following
the camp that focused on the emergent concepts and theoretical development (e.g., describe your
memories of the daily camp lectures and if you believe the talks have influenced your life outside
of the camp).

Following the athlete interviews, a core category was identified, along with a working
grounded theory, to best explain how psychological development occurred for the athletes. To
refine the theoretical categories and gain multiple viewpoints to build the emergent process, the
next phase of sampling involved interviews with parents of the youth athletes. Parents were
individuals with regular interactions with the youth participants and provided alternative
interpretations the emergent concepts (e.g., change in confidence) and of development during and after the camp (e.g., do you think the camp had an influence on your child outside of wrestling? If so, how?). Finally, the seventh phase of sampling focused on confirming and detailing the categories related to systematic approach and coaching approaches used at the camp. The camp director was therefore involved in additional interviews approximately one year following the camp.

**Participants**

In total, 24 participants volunteered to take part in interviews. The initial sample included the camp director, a 65 year old male, who was in his 35th year of leading the camp and 10 youth camp participants (nine male and one female), aged between 14 and 17 years, who participated in multiple interviews before, during and after the camp. To facilitate theoretical sampling, three male camp coaches, one female athletic trainer, and nine camp participant parents (four mothers and five fathers) participated in interviews during and after the camp.

**Data collection**

A flexible approach taken to the data collection process to facilitate and allow for the interaction of collection and analysis of data (Holt & Tamminen, 2010). Interviews, observations, and document analysis were utilized as methods of data collection to maximize the theoretical sampling.

**Interviews.**

Initial semi-structured interviews were conducted in person with each of the 10 athlete participants in the first two days of the camp, with an average duration of 20 minutes. Throughout the camp, a total of 38 semi-structured interviews were conducted in person during the camp across the 10 participants (ranging between three and eight interviews per athlete), with
Observations

Throughout the 14 day duration of the camp, two researchers were embedded in the camp in order to observe the wrestling camp activities and the athlete participants. Observations were made from a holistic viewpoint, generally attuned to the broader context of the camp. Attention was also given to specific coaching actions and behavior, as well as participants and their experiences and interactions in the context. The observations served to enhance the researchers understanding of the camp context and athlete psychological development, which served as the sensitizing concept, or key issue of interest (Patton, 2002). The two researchers participated in regular informal reflective discussions and memo writing, which produced approximately 30 single spaced pages of detailed field notes related to objective observations and subjective
reflections of the actions, behaviors, and interactions observed at the camp (Patton, 2002).

Throughout the camp, it was not possible for the researchers to transcribe each interview, thus, the researcher discussions and memos from observations were critical in informing the open coding and subsequent the theoretical sampling (Corbin & Strauss, 2008).

Camp materials

Corbin and Strauss (1998) suggest that data collection should be flexible to assist the development of theory. To understand how the camp influenced athlete psychological development, the researchers accessed and obtained all of the information given to the young athletes at the camp. This material included: a reflective journal that each athlete received which included exercises to be completed by the athletes; the camp DVD that included similar material to the journal; the thought of the day, quote of the day, story of the day, and cartoon of the day that were presented to athletes in a poster in the common camp areas each day; and a historical fiction novel that was promoted to the athletes as being important to read to facilitate psychological growth.

Data analysis

Data analysis was initiated immediately following the initial sampling phase to ensure that both data collection and analysis were integrated (Corbin & Strauss, 2008), and to recognize that insights and interpretations emerged to shape the understanding and perspectives of the researchers. This process is integrated into Figure 1.

In the theoretical sampling phases during the camp, initial open coding was used to identify any concept that was relevant to the process psychological development at the wrestling camp (Corbin & Strauss, 1998). These concepts were noted in researcher memos and guided the questions used in subsequent in-camp interviews. Following the camp, all interviews were
transcribed and observation notes were reviewed and refined. This allowed for further open

coding and the introduction of axial coding of interviews, observations and camp materials

which focused on developing the meaning and description of each concept and its relevance to

youth athlete psychological development. This iterative process allowed for the identification of

emergent categories, subcategories (Corbin & Strauss, 1998), and indicated the need for post-
camp interviews with athletes. Axial coding continued following these interviews to help refine

categories and to begin theoretical development. This process included using constant

comparative analysis to identify possible relationships between concepts and categories (Bryant

& Sharmaz, 2007; Corbin & Strauss, 2008). As such, these categories (e.g., outside distractions

removed), represented the multiple interpretations of the athletes, coaches, and researcher

observations, and were reduced into, and depicted by more conceptual terms about athlete

psychological development (e.g., immersive camp environment) (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). The

theoretical formulations that emerged had general applicability to all the cases in a study, with

the specific categories and concepts providing the details that helped to bring out the differences

and variations in each case (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). After the continued sampling, nine-months

following the camp, a core category emerged to encapsulate and explain the grounded theory as a

whole, and was further defined and explained by smaller concepts and categories (Birks & Mills,

2010).

Idiographic profiles were created for each athlete immediately following the axial coding

and initial theoretical development to help to gain a complete and holistic understanding of each

individual’s unique experience. This was a four- to seven-page summary of each individual’s

narrative (Gould, Udry, Tuffey, & Loehr, 1996) of psychological development through and

following the camp experience. Each profile referenced the concepts and categories that emerged
through the coding processes and helped to explain the developing theoretical model. Following
the completion of the idiographic profiles, parents and the camp director interviews provided
additional viewpoints and interpretations of athlete development. Coding of these interviews
helped to refine and describe categories and facilitated the use of diagramming the conceptual
relationships between the concepts in the emerging theory, and outline the process of
psychological development (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). This process included constant review and
discussion between researchers on the analysis to identify the relationships between concepts,
provide depth in the categories, determine the fit between the data in each individual case, and
ultimately, identify how categories were interrelated into a larger theoretical scheme (Corbin &
Strauss, 2008). Theoretical saturation was determined as respondents did not provide new
findings that differed from those given by previous respondents, and provided a thorough
depiction of the process of psychological development for young athletes from the camp.

Prior to the study, a review of literature was conducted to understand what was known in
sport psychology and youth development, related to the process of developing psychological
qualities in young people. Through the analysis process in this study, concepts emerged that were
not identified in the existing literature. For this reason, a delayed literature review was conducted
to explore the relevance of important concepts and their connection to psychological
development in youth sport. This was conducted to ensure that concepts and terminology used in
this study, matched that from existing research (Corbin & Strauss, 2008; Tamminen & Holt,
2012). For example, research and terminology was examined that related to stress-inoculation
training in general psychology, and learning transfer in educational and cognitive psychology.
This provided a necessary connection to facilitate theoretical integration and outline the process
of how psychological development occurred from the wrestling camp (Corbin & Strauss, 2008).
Finally, the diagrammed model that emerged from the analysis process was presented to a group of researchers and practitioners in sport psychology and youth sport. In addition, the camp director was sent a technical report that included the proposed model. This final step served as a means of peer debriefing, with researchers and practitioners asked to comment and critique the coherence of the model, relevant to the psychological development of youth athletes. The focus of this process was not on the accuracy of the model to the data, but rather on whether the model effectively explained how youth athletes may experience psychological development as a result of participating in the youth sport camp (Corbin & Strauss, 2008).

**Results**

Multiple interpretations were captured to explain a process of youth athlete psychological development through the camp experience, and are presented in Figure 2 as an interrelated theoretical model (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). The findings are explained by the core category that psychological development was facilitated by “coach-created challenges and adversity” that were systematically designed to facilitate psychological changes.

The model outlines key concepts and categories that explain the process of psychological development, and when taken together, shows similarities and variations in how individuals interpreted and experienced the process of psychological development through coach-created challenges and adversity in the camp. Psychological changes occurred as each individual athlete interacted with the camp environment, and then interacted with life outside of the camp environment. *Individual psychological antecedents* influenced the developmental experience of the camp participants. Athletes experienced *psychological growth* through the on-going, reciprocal development of *self-confidence* and a range of specific *psychological qualities*. This growth was facilitated by foundational pillars of the *challenging camp learning environment*. 
The three pillars included the *immersive environment, strategic program design,* and *stress inoculation based approach* that guided the individuals’ experiences. A *systematic approach to teaching and learning* psychological skills was also identified to have an important influence on what and how athletes’ learned. Finally, *learning transfer* was identified in the *immediate* or *latent* transfer of skills to *sport-specific* or *life-general* domains. What follows is a detailed explanation of each factor influencing psychological development with evidence highlighting athlete similarities and variations, and supporting its inclusion.

**Individual psychological antecedents**

Athlete psychological development was influenced by the individual’s characteristics entering into the camp, and their personal interpretation and expectations of the camp. Each individual athlete had their own unique dispositions and orientations that influenced their psychological development throughout and following the camp. It was found that all of the participants entered the camp with a general awareness of the highly intense nature of the camp, were nervous about the challenges ahead, yet, were motivated to participate in, and complete this camp. Three common psychological antecedents emerged as important factors influencing one’s perception of the camp and the resultant psychosocial outcomes. These antecedents were an individual’s physical and psychological *readiness, openness* to learning about psychological skills for wrestling and for life, and *reflection* on the meaning of the camp experiences and their psychological development.

Collectively, the findings suggested that the more ready for, open to, and reflective about psychological development an athlete was, the greater range and depth of growth in psychological skills and characteristics as a result of the camp experience. For example, at the beginning of the camp, one athlete indicated a readiness to learning by stating that the camp
would help him gain ‘a better understanding of what you want to do….try to get to know my
inner self, who I am’ (Athlete 3). Other athletes were open to learning, with the focus that ‘I’m
just trying to learn from everybody’ (Athlete 2), or with an initial goal to ‘get started on the
achievement process schedule’ (Athlete 8). During the camp, these athletes actively sought
opportunities to reflect on their experiences and development, as noted in the following quote,
Right before its lights out, if I have a little bit of extra time, I walk around campus just to
clear my thoughts, get focused on what I wanna do. And in my diary I put things like,
like what I’ve been thinking (Athlete 8)

Conversely, some athletes entered the camp with few goals and little initial awareness
and reflection on the potential for psychological growth from the camp. For example, when
asked if he hoped to gain any mental skills from the camp, one athlete answered, ‘nah not
really…I already have a really good mental attitude’ (Athlete 10). The researchers observed this
athlete to be inattentive during camp talks, late to attend practices, and unreliable in the
completion of journal activities early in the camp. This indicated an initial lack of openness to
learning from the camp activities, and this athlete took a longer time to identify and interpret
psychological growth from the camp.

**The challenging camp learning environment**

The camp learning environment was systematically designed by the camp director and
implemented with a focus on using coach-created challenges and adversity to facilitate
psychological changes. The immersive environment, strategic program design, and stress
inoculation-based approach emerged as the key foundations of the camp that explained the
adverse environment.

**Immersive environment**
The camp director indicated that the camp environment was deliberately created and maintained so that the youth participants were immersed in the wrestling camp and forced to take responsibility for their own development. While youths were able to communicate via phone with family and friends outside of camp, specific restrictions were in place to eliminate outside distractions that were not focused on the development on the ‘J7’ set of psychological characteristics. The director stated that ‘we deal with parents by not dealing with parents;’ parents were not permitted to be present at camp throughout the 14 days. Further, participants were not permitted to leave the camp at any point. Additionally, the intensive camp schedule meant that youths had minimal time or energy to be participating in activities outside of the camp requirements. This immersive, closed environment removed outside distractions and demanded near total focus on wrestling and self-improvement. This was interpreted by athletes as a psychological challenge, but was recognized as something that assisted in focusing their attention on succeeding in the camp environment. ‘I think it actually is worse you know, communicating with the outside world, cause then you just wanna go back [home] more...it feels like you’re isolated...but I think anybody would go through that if they want to learn’ (Athlete 3).

Strategic program design

The camp was purposefully and strategically designed for psychological development. The psychosocial goals of the camp were repeatedly addressed by the camp director and staff in the physical training sessions and in the mental attitude lecture sessions. These goals were also reinforced through events, activities, the camp journal, the thoughts, quotes, cartoons of the day, and rules the participants experienced at the camp. Psychological skills were constantly and intentionally being taught and reinforced by camp staff in this challenging environment, and this
was understood by athletes. ‘He puts everything on our own shoulders...like getting our journals done, it feels like I have a lot more responsibility here’ (Athlete 2). Youths were ‘learning by doing’ (Camp director), through the continual programmatic challenges. The camp director discussed the importance of ‘laying in’ specific messages at specific times to match the experiential learning of psychological skills through the physical challenges, stating, ‘you can only give them certain talks at certain points in camp when they’re dealing with the lessons that you want them to learn because now they’re receptive to it’. Additionally, he informed the participants that he was ‘giving them the answers to the test,’ with the points system being based on the premise that if a participant was to do everything right, follow the rules, and overcome the structured challenges, he or she would graduate and develop the psychological qualities taught by the camp.

While this strategic program design was interpreted by the camp director and coaches as a critical program feature to facilitate psychological development, the athletes’ were not initially aware that the camp was designed to teach them skills for wrestling as well as life outside of sport. At the beginning of the camp, one athlete’s reason for attending the camp was to ‘get physically stronger, mentally stronger and become a better wrestlers, that’s about it’ (Athlete 2). However, during the first week of camp, he stated that ‘I definitely thought it would just be about wrestling....less about life, but wrestling teaches you lessons about life, so it’s all relevant.’ Other athletes took time to realize the purpose of the tasks and program structure during the camp. In the first week, one athlete stated, ‘filling out the journal entry part ...I don’t know why that’s helpful,’ but after the camp reflected, and stated ‘I’ve actually started writing down a checklist of what I want to finish everyday’ (Athlete 7). While it took time, developing an awareness of utility of the skills for sport and life helped the athletes believe in and use the skills.
Stress inoculation-based approach

The camp director and camp staff discussed the process whereby the coaches strategically orchestrated and timed physical and psychological challenges to gradually increase the amount of stress placed on the participants over the two weeks. This was based on a stress inoculation-based model and the notion that exposing young athletes to milder forms of stress can bolster one’s coping mechanisms (Meichenbaum, 2007). In overcoming the demands of the camp, the young athletes all identified that they physically worked harder during the camp than they had at any point in their lives, and this was viewed as an important factor contributing to psychological growth.

You can’t describe how tough it is but it’s like you have this level of hard work and then once you go to J Rob you realize how much harder that level can be…you think you’re tough and then you go J Rob and you realize you’re not as tough as you thought you were (Athlete 4).

A major theme reinforced in the camp was for each individual athlete to create a new definition of hard work for themselves. The achievement of working harder and harder and overcoming stress every day was interpreted by athletes as an important catalyst for their development of important psychological qualities to succeed at the camp. This was reinforced by one athlete who stated during the second week of the camp that, I think they’re trying to get people to quit, that’s, and that’s where you build the mental attitude… y’know, that’s kinda what sets the camp apart, you have to work, like you can’t just go through the motions… there’s, like, the constant hours, there’s no like, rest period, or days off or anything like that. You just have to keep going (Athlete 9)
While the young wrestlers were asked to cope with increased amounts of stress, they were simultaneously provided educational about ways to cope with stress. Coaches were observed providing regular motivational and informational feedback and educational sessions on self-talk and visualization that was directly focused on overcoming stress.

Systematic approach to teaching psychological skills

Alongside creating a challenging camp environment, the director and staffs’ actions were guided by foundational beliefs about how the youth participants’ best learn and adopt new behaviors. An intentional and systematic approach to teaching these qualities for sport and life emerged as a seven stage method for psychological change. Observations revealed that the key to the implementation of this approach was the repetition of the stages of change, the use of key words, the systematic integration of this message in the physical requirements of the camp, and the continual and varied connection of the messages to life relevant life situations.

To explain this process, the sixth day of the 14 day camp will be used as an example to outline how the psychological skill of goal setting was taught and reinforced. Throughout this day, the camp director reinforced the seven key factors or stages to psychological change (see Figure 3): (1) Individuals must understand that all behavior has consequences. He told the participants that ‘goals are like a rudder in a boat, they steer your life, they allow you to decide what you want to do, they guide all aspects of your life’. (2) Individuals must take responsibility for their behavior. He emphasized that ‘people don’t plan to fail, they fail to plan.’ (3) Individuals need to perceive that they are in control of their behavior and can change their behavior. He explained the goals he has personally achieved, such as climbing a mountain, and that he achieved the goals because he perceived that he was in control of achieving them. (4) Individuals need to make choice to change their behavior. He challenged the participants, stating
that ‘you either decide where you want to go or will you let the river of life take you anywhere?’

(5) Individuals must produce the change in their behavior. He gave them an assignment of

setting sport and life goals in their journals and ranking these goals in importance. (6) Individuals

need to continue this new behavior to create a new habit. He discussed how his own daily

schedule is driven by goals and urged the participants to set goals each day for the camp. (7)

Habitual change that will lead to positive behavioral consequences. He shared an example of a

former camper who became a Navy Seal because he learned how to set goals and made setting

goals a habit.

Rather than fully comprehending the cyclical teaching process during the camp, the

athletes remembered key words and interpreted these messages as key skills that they needed to

put into action every day to succeed at the camp and overcome the adversity. During the camp,

one athlete emphatically stated, ‘you’ve got to be real responsible, all the consequences fall on

you, you hold yourself accountable…holding yourself accountable and responsible are the two

biggest things I’ve learned’ (Athlete 4). After the camp, one athlete recalled that, ‘they [camp

coaches] said life is a choice and if you want to get some things, you have to change so like

change what you want to do for what you should do in order to get what you want’ (Athlete 7).

Another athlete talked about how he now planned to put these messages into action after the

camp,

usually [I’d] just be like, ‘well, you know, forget them, if they think I’m a bad kid,’ but

you know, the camp made me say, ‘I wanna change their mind, I can change their mind

(about people viewing me as a bad kid), I have the ability to change their mind… through

my choices’ (Athlete 3)

Psychological development process of the camp.
A range of psychological qualities were identified by participants as being developed through the camp experience (outlined in Driska et al., under review). The psychological qualities emerged in five categories: (a) enhanced confidence; (b) work ethic; (c) personal empowerment; (d) enhanced thought processes; (e) interpersonal skills. From a developmental perspective, a process of psychological growth emerged over time. Specifically, a reciprocal relationship emerged between the enhanced confidence and the development of the four categories of psychological qualities. For all athletes, an increase belief in one’s ability to overcome structured adversity was seen to facilitate the development of psychological skills and characteristics. Subsequently, when the athletes’ interpreted that they were developing and using specific psychological qualities to help overcome the adversity, they indicated an increasing sense of self-confidence as a wrestler and person. Temporal differences in increases in confidence were observed identified, with athletes who were physically and psychologically prepared for the camp challenges indicating an increase in confidence earlier in the camp. At the beginning of the camp, athletes’ discussed self-doubt and a lack of confidence, ‘[I’m] constantly self-conscious, you know, you’re not really tough enough for this…it really starts to get to your head’ (Athlete 3). However, as the camp progressed, confidence grew. Athlete four stated, the first day, I was like tired, homesick and stuff, and now it’s like ‘getting through it, next workout’s going to be okay’…the practices are definitely getting harder but it’s easier to mentally prepare for them…I mean it’s getting easier but uh, it’s still hard (Athlete 2).

Athletes believed that the camp challenges were becoming easier and more manageable and in the second week, were observed to be more attentive, open to learning, and interactive with wrestlers and staff. This indicated an increased self-belief to complete the difficult tasks at the
camp, and a sense he was developing the skills to help him prepare for and overcome the adversity that was placed upon him. This is highlighted by the group chant immediately after completing the camp, ‘I did it, I did it, I did it - I’m going to be somebody!’

The work ethic and personal empowerment skills were the qualities most commonly identified by the athletes. All 10 athletes discussed learning these attributes from the direct teaching approaches and the use of them to work through the camp adversity. For example, goal setting was directly addressed in mental attitude lectures, journal exercises and coaching communication in physical training sessions. Goal setting was connected to the need to hold oneself accountable, as stated by one athlete nine months after the camp,

that’s another thing we were taught there, you know you’re on your way, you set the direction for yourself, he [Camp Director] isn’t there to help you along…you’ve got to hold yourself accountable for the goals you set, for the things you want to do (Athlete 4)

The psychological qualities of enhanced self- and social-awareness, and interpersonal skills were also identified as being important attributes developed by the athletes. These skills were not as frequently mentioned by the athletes, and were not addressed as regularly or directly by the camp director, camp counselors or in the teaching curriculum. However, those athletes who entered the camp with goals of psychological development, who were reflective of their experience during and following the camp, and who were open to learning from the experience were more likely to indicate they learned awareness and interpersonal skills from navigating the challenges at the camp. For example, nine months following the camp, one athlete described the change he experienced in communicating with his mother,
[I] was having a little miscommunication with my Mom...and we kinda, we sat down and we talked about it... and we came to a solution...before the camp we would have just been like, “ehh...we gonna do what we wanna do.” (Athlete 8)

Transfer of psychological skills for sport and for life

The culmination of the process of psychological development was the transfer of the qualities to life outside of the camp as sport skills and life skills. Based on perceptions of athlete and their parents nine months following the camp, all athletes experienced learning transfer and it was interpreted as positive. This transfer was individualized based on individual interpretation and experiences outside of the camp, and emerged in dimensions based on timing (immediate and latent) and directionality (sport-specific and life general).

Immediate sport-specific transfer

Participants immediately transferred skills learned in the camp to their sporting endeavors outside of camp. Examples of immediate sport specific transfer include the direct transfer of the ability to be relaxed and calm in adverse situations during the camp to wrestling matches following the camp; the transfer of the foundational belief of hard work from the coach created challenges, and improving every day, as explained by one athlete, ‘I’ve been trying to like get a little better each practice, like that 1% thing, like get 1% better every day’ (Athlete 7), and the transfer of dedication and responsibility as guiding skills to embrace leadership roles in high school sport.

Immediate life-general transfer

Participants immediately transferred skills from the camp to life domains outside of sport. Hard work and personal empowerment psychological skills were described as skills reinforced by camp staff and structured within the camp, and transferred directly to life outside
the camp. The following quote outlines one athlete’s ability to immediately transfer his
prioritization and time management skills learned at the camp, directly to his school work and
studying. ‘I've gotten more routine in my studying so I'm not as scattered out all over the place,
because that [routines] was one of the big things he was stressing to us the whole camp.’ (Athlete
6)

*Latent sport-specific transfer*

Latent or delayed transfer of skills from the camp to the sport context occurred when
athletes had an experience outside of the camp environment, related it to an experience inside,
and identified the need to utilize the psychological skill as a means to succeed in the sport
situation. For some participants, latent transfer occurred due to the participant going through a
difficult experience outside of the camp that they could relate to the challenges set in the camp.
For example, the transfer of persistence and dedication was experienced by two athletes who
incurred serious injuries in their high school wrestling season. These experiences caused
participants to reflect on the challenges at the camp, and utilize the skills of persistence and
dedication to help the recovery from the injuries. This is emphasized by the mother of one of the
injured athletes,

he was like, “you know mom if I can get through that [camp], I can get through
anything.” So he reflected back on the camp and you know being able to get through that.
So getting through this knee thing [injury] is really a lot easier than going through that
camp. (Athlete 2 - Mother)

*Latent life-general transfer*

Participants’ also experienced the latent transfer of skills from the camp to various life
domains. Athletes who were self-reflective during and following the camp identified learning
experiences that occurred during the camp and understood how the skills being taught could be
used in other areas of life. It took time and opportunities to use the skills before participants were
fully aware and confident that some skills were transferrable, as highlighted by one athlete
discussing time management as a life skill.

my grades improved this year too, quite a bit and ah I just ah know that, like the time
management part of the camp, it’s something you don’t really realize until afterwards and
ah it just sort of taught me to manage when I can do my homework and when I can train
for wrestling (Athlete 2)

Discussion

This study produced a grounded theory depiction of how youth athlete psychological
development can be facilitated by systematically designed, coach-created challenges and
adversity. In doing so, this study highlighted how the development of psychological qualities for
performance enhancement and talent development, and for positive youth development can occur
simultaneously. Furthermore, it underlined that youth athlete psychological development is an
ongoing individualized process, and showed the value of studying athlete development from
multiple viewpoints over a period of time.

The growth of psychological qualities for talent and positive youth development.

Through the physically and psychologically challenging wrestling experience, youth
developed a range of psychological qualities that have been previously identified as being
valuable psychological skills for performance enhancement and life skills for various life
domains. Athletes identified the appraisal of stressful encounters as experiences of
psychological growth (Fletcher & Sarkar, 2012), and learned and utilize cognitive-behavioral
strategies (e.g., visualization) to overcome the structured challenges (Gould & Maynard, 2009;
Holland et al., 2013). Further, athletes developed a sense of personal responsibility (Hellison, 2003), experienced individual success, developed confidence, and grew a sense of initiative which prompted them to persist in the pursuit of achievement challenging goals (Larson, 2000). Many of the recognized core mental toughness skills (e.g., dealing with adversity, confidence, self-awareness) were developed as performance enhancement skills and life skills (Gould et al., 2012).

Addressing the need to understand how qualities were developed (Collins & MacNamara, 2012; Eccles & Gootman, 2002), the coach-created adverse environment emerged as being critical in the development of psychological qualities for both talent development and life skill development. Developmental opportunities were be created by providing participants with relevant physical and psychological challenges with embedded, repetitive messages explaining the importance of, and need to use taught psychological skills to overcome these challenges. These findings provide support the conclusion of PYD researchers’ Larson and Brown (2007), who found that young people learn to deal with emotions from ‘hot’ emotional episodes and drawing from the culture around them. Similarly, support is provided for the contentions of researchers examining the psychological development of talented, elite athletes, such as Fletcher and Sarkar (2012) and Bell et al., (2013) who suggested that experiential and structured stressful encounters, and learning how to cope with those encounters, are valuable for psychological growth. Further, Collins and MacNamara (2012) have referred the idea of building ‘trauma’ into athlete development programs and the need to provide athletes with the skills and support to overcome the challenges. This study provides a detailed of the coach-created adverse environment in action, its effectiveness developing youth athletes, and shows that PYD doesn’t always need to be 100% positive. With planning and structure, psychological qualities can be
simultaneously developed to enhance athletic talent and performance as well as be used in multiple life domains.

Research in youth sports has shown that psychological skills can be developed for sport and life through approaches of direct teaching (Gould et al., 2007), and experiential learning of life skills (Jones & Lavallee, 2009). In fact, Turnnidge and colleagues (2014) posited that positive youth development occurs explicitly or implicitly through youth sport programming. Few studies have, however, examined and provided a detailed and rigorous understanding of this integration. The current study outlined a clear unification of the explicit and implicit processes that influenced the development of transferrable psychological qualities in the youth athletes.

The grounded theory shows how the camp created a controlled environment for athlete development and systematically employed both direct teaching and experientially learning of psychological qualities, and adds depth to our understanding the processes and contextual factors in youth sport that influence development (Eccles & Gootman, 2002; Gano-Overway et al., 2009). Direct teaching was seen through the repetitive definition, explanation and examples of when and why to use the psychological qualities in the wrestling camp, and the use of examples and colorful stories to explain how these skills connect to other life domains. Experiential learning, or ‘learning by doing’ (Schön, 1987), was seen through the multitude of ‘stress inoculation’ based activities and experiences (Meichenbaum, 2007) that reinforced the messages shared in the direct teaching, such as the challenging physical experiences and specific, strict camp rules and behavioral guidelines that required dedication and accountability. To expand on Jones and Lavallee’s (2009) promotion of experiential life skill development, the findings of this study show that experiential learning was vital in encouraging the utilization of the messages being directly taught and lectured, which ultimately served as reinforcement and repetition of
explicit approaches to facilitate psychological development. Finally, the immersive closed camp environment must be recognized as an optimal opportunity to foster the learning of psychological qualities as it created an intense focus on athlete and personal development. A youth sport camp offers a unique environment for the development of psychological skills and characteristics. An organizer can control the majority of sport, social, and educational experiences of the youth athletes, and limit outside influences and opinions, such as peers or parents.

Finally, it must be recognized that the camp environment was systematically designed and lead by an experienced, caring but tough director and coaching staff. Successful coaches, such as John Wooden (Gallimore & Tharp, 2004), as well as respected youth American football coaches (Gould et al., 2007), have been shown to have strategic approaches and rationales for teaching psychological skills for sport and life. The camp design and use of adversity to influence development was inextricably linked with the camp director’s belief that young people need to be challenged and need to learn a set of responsibility and dedication based skills to be successful in sport and life.

*The individualistic process of psychological development and the transfer of life skills*

This methodological approach allowed for a range of young athlete developmental journeys to be understood and provided a collective understanding of the individual characteristics influencing psychological growth, how the individual experienced the sport environment, and how he/she attempted to apply skills in other life domains (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). Individual similarities and differences did, however, provide critical insight into the process of psychological development, where ultimately, the learning and transfer of psychological qualities resides in the learner (Berieter, 1995). The findings suggested that a
youth athlete’s openness to learning or a growth mindset, their psychological readiness, and their ability to self-reflect influenced the way the camp was interpreted, the psychological qualities that were developed through the camp, and the ability and tendency to transfer these skills to sport or life outside of the camp. Some athletes thrived in this developmental context and were able to maintain those changes over time, while others experienced change, but at a much less impressive and maintainable level. These findings support literature focused on sport-based psychological development that suggests reflection is important for the growth of resilience (Fletcher & Sarkar, 2012), and in the development of leadership skills (Martinek, Schilling, & Hellison, 2006). Further, it emphasizes that an individual’s personal characteristics influence their development of psychological skills in sport (Jones & Lavallee, 2009), and their ability to transfer of skills to different domains (Furman & Sibthorp, 2013). This study provides evidence to support the promotion of self-reflection, a growth mindset, and encourage physical and psychological readiness as athletes enter a sporting context to help athletes learn psychological qualities as sport skills and life skills. Further research on the psychological antecedents simultaneously influencing athlete talent and youth development in sport is needed.

While research in sport has shown that many of life skills can be transferred (e.g. Jones & Lavelle, 2009; Holt, Tamminen, Tink, & Black, 2009), the individuals’ perspectives and experiences of transferring life skills has received minimal attention. This study highlighted the need to understand the learning of psychological qualities, and their subsequent transfer as life skills, as one continual, intertwined developmental process. The transfer of life skills was dependent on the specific psychological make-up of each individual athlete entering the camp, their interpretation of their experiences in the sport camp, and their experiences outside of the camp. For example, youth who were open to learning goal setting and discussed setting goals at
the camp provided detailed examples of transferring the goal setting skill to school and other life
domains outside of camp. Additionally, some of the participants experienced critical incidents
outside of the camp, such as sporting injuries or changing schools, which were identified as
challenging or adverse experiences. This triggered the recollection and the coping skills learned
at the camp and elicited the latent transfer of coping skills to overcome the challenges. These
findings align with research on transfer in cognitive psychology which outlines an interactive
influence of the individual learner, the learning context (e.g., wrestling camp), and the transfer
contexts (e.g., academic classroom) (Burke & Hutchins, 2007); as well as adventure-based
education research, that suggests that transfer is highly individualistic, difficult and complex
(Furman & Sibthorp, 2013).

Furthermore, this study has helped to identify how youth athletes transferred life skills on
dimensions of similarity (sport-specific to life-general) and timing (immediate or latent). Similar
dimensions have been identified in learning transfer research across adult-based learning
disciplines, such as near transfer refers to transferring learning between very similar contexts
(e.g., learning in the camp transferred to high school wrestling) (Perkins & Salomon, 1992)
versus far transfer refers to transferring learning to contexts that appear to be remote and
unfamiliar to one another (e.g., learning in the camp transferred to the classroom) (Perkins &
Salomon, 1992). There are many unanswered questions related to the transfer of life skills from
sport. This study highlights a need to understand the individualistic ongoing process of sport
skills development and life skills transfer, and prompts further examination of the dimensions of
timing and directionality to expand our understanding of how and why psychological qualities
can be transferred from sport to other life domains.

**Implications for research and professional practice**
Youth athlete psychological development can be facilitated through systematically designed, coach-created challenges and adversity. However, this implication must be taken with caution. In many ways, the findings from this study support the approach that is often taken in Army Ranger ‘special forces’ type training where physically challenging tasks and environments are used to mentally train soldiers. This is not an approach that should be disseminated widely without knowing the coaches who will employ it and the context the coach is using. It takes a special coach with good emotional and contextual intelligence to use this approach, and it takes athletes who are willing to work through the challenges. In fact, Coach Robinson, the architect of this intensive camp, said in his interviews that it would be a mistake to use this approach with all youth. Participants must be motivated to improve and be at an appropriate physical and psychological development age to reap the benefits.

Youth sport programs can develop talent and life skills by integrating both explicit (e.g., lectures about responsibility) and implicit approaches (e.g., rules rewarding responsibility) to develop psychological qualities. This approach is not easy, however, it takes time and dedication to systematically structure a sport program, and the coach’s philosophy and actions should align to maximize the opportunities for development. Finally, athletes interpret learning in a variety of ways and coaches must recognize that transfer is not guaranteed. From a research standpoint, we need to do more to study when, under what conditions and within whom such approaches might work, as individual differences were found in this study.

Like all studies, this project had limitations. First, each camp participant interpreted their experience differently. As only 10 athletes were involved in this study, this analysis may not provide a full range of experiences of all camp participants who participated in the camp. Approximately 10% of the participants dropped out of the camp being studied for various
reasons, including one participant who was involved in the early phases of this study. His choice
not to continue participating in the study did not allow us to explore the long-term psychological
development of this ‘drop-out’ participant. Future research should seek to understand the sport-
based developmental experience of youth athletes who choose to drop-out or cease their
involvement in sport. Second, the camp was designed and implemented with the researchers
having no control. The specific timing and teaching of psychological skills was controlled by the
camp director and may be different in each different camp contexts with different youth
participants. For this reason, the camp was unable to be studied with the same controls that a
scientifically designed and evaluated intervention program would be. The grounded theory
approach effectively built an understanding of how this specific camp functioned and was
experienced, and future research should build on this approach with the use of specific program
evaluation theory and logic modeling to understand the detail the learning environments in
existing, non-intervention youth sport programs. Youth sport programmers and coaches should
also be encouraged to utilize program evaluation to understand how their programs are, and can
be, structured to facilitate psychological development.
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Figure 1. Theoretical sampling process
Figure 2. The process of youth athlete psychological development from coach-created challenges and adversity in an intensive wrestling camp.
Figure 3. The systematic approach to teaching and learning psychological skills.