

Citation:

Cardoso-Castro, P (2016) The efficient governance of sport management: The sui-generis case study of INDER (Medellin – Colombia): top-down and Bottom-up design of viable and efficient structures for sport management based on grassroots initiatives, co-design and co-management. In: Metaphorum Conference 2016 - Governance and Complexity, 05 October 2016 - 07 October 2016, Leeds Beckett University.

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/3528/

Document Version: Conference or Workshop Item (Presentation)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Governance of sport management: design of viable initiatives based on grassroots governance, co-design and co-management.

OR

The (Unexpected) Virtue of Ignorance





Dr. Pedro Pablo Cardoso – Leeds Beckett University p.p.Cardoso-castro@leedsbeckett.ac.uk



Things to keep in mind in during this presentation (1):

This presentation is (or will ASK you to be):









Friendly

... (now you got it!!! ... is all about being friendly) ...




Things to keep in mind during this presentation 2:



















The broad context: MEDELLIN

History - sephardi

Culture - Patriarchal - VERY hierarchical society - Conservative

Plus.. what you know (or have been told) about Medellin


... but:


2003 - Sergio Fajardo Agenda of sustainability (sustainability) - Framework plan Surf the wave:

- Sustainable city
- Smart city (0.1 & 0.2)
- The internet of everything
- Big data



Somehow trying to be flat...







Org.: Culture Diagnostic

Top down

Hierarchical

Patriarchal

Power centred

Functional Management (public)

Theory X

Strong Identity







Values:

Inclusiveness
Equality
Efficiency
Tolerance

INDER



THE CHALLENGE:

Design Indicators to measure the (social) impact of the NEW infrastructure (UVAs)

however... is not here where the magic happens..





Lower level of recursion


UVAs





The past of Medellin: South-american Games 2010





Distribution of the 20 UVAs in Medellin, around the city giving priority to Districts with vulnerable population –not free of conflict



The Concept UVA: Articulate Living Units


The UVAs are a new urban typology designed to be hubs and open spaces where dynamic of the local communities can be expressed.


The UVAs are (top-end) multipurpose scenarios for sport and cultural expression.

The UVAs are enabling spaces for the development of citizenship and social cohesion and entrepreneurship

Are the tangible expression of an innovative management model with foundations on the development of social cohesion and the respect and value of life.

Each UVA is a different experience - from the definition of the concept, design, community involvement, appropriation and delivery of the portfolio of activities.








Building the UVA community

City-School









Imaginary Workshop














































UVAs - Governance and cybernetic view

Result of co-evolution and co-creation (in their design and construction dialog/narratives)

UVAs are an organic response to cope with variety (both at local and higher levels of recursion - different offer of services/facilities through community focused design

Self-Organising units (but not entirely)

Self-governing units (but no totally)

Autonomous (but not completely)

.. and yet, they are happy, and they are a GOOD ORGANISATION - the cat catch the mice!





Emerging questions:

- Can the 2 models coexist in harmony? ... is that what we are observing here? - is the evidence of a natural transition taking place?

(... so far "we - they - don't care about the colour of the cat as long as it catch the mice!")

 Could it be valid to affirm that different organisational models and paradigms can apply - without conflict at different levels of recursion - echelons? (e.g. traditional structures at the top and non-liner and adaptive at the bottom)

If so... which would be the implications? (possible link with complex and complicated)

- Can under these circumstances the bottom-up dynamic change the governance dynamic and the forms at the higher echelons/recursions levels?

... if so... what should be the nature of a cybernetic intervention (REMEMBER: USERS JUST CAN SEE AND UNDERSTAND THE OMOTE FORM!!)

- What should be the URA form of the intervention to affect the higher recursions/echelons from strengthening the non-linear dynamics at the bottom?



bipolar Friendl



Friendly

