



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Francis, P and Gray, K and Perrem, N (2018) The relationship between concentric hip abductor strength and the performance of the Y-balance test (YBT). International Journal of Athletic Therapy and Training. ISSN 2157-7285 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijatt.2017-0003>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/4066/>

Document Version:

Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

1 **The relationship between concentric hip abductor strength and the performance of the**
2 **Y-balance test (YBT)**

3

4 **Key Points**

5 • Hip abductor strength is moderately associated with single leg dynamic balance as
6 measured by the YBT.

7 • The association between hip strength and single leg dynamic balance is strongest
8 during the posterior reaches of the YBT.

9 • The requirement for greater hip flexion, during the posterior reaches may impose
10 greater demands on the hip extensors and abductors to control the movement.

11 • Targeting the hip abductor muscles as part of multi-level intervention is warranted
12 when attempting to improve dynamic single leg stability.

13

14 **Key words:** single leg, dynamic postural stability, gluteus

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 Side lying hip abduction is an action used during manual muscle testing and is also
24 prescribed as a rehabilitation exercise to improve dynamic single leg stability. Little is known
25 about the functional cross-over of this activity. The aims of this study was to investigate the
26 relationship between concentric hip abductor strength and performance of the Y-Balance test
27 (YBT). Forty-five recreational gym users (27 male age 26.2 (8.4) years, 18 female age 27.4
28 (7.5) years) had dynamic single leg stability and concentric hip abductor peak torque assessed
29 in the non-dominant limb using a YBT and isokinetic dynamometry, respectively. All
30 components of the YBT had a moderate association with concentric hip abductor torque
31 which were greater in the posteromedial ($r=0.574$, $P<0.001$) and posterolateral ($r=0.657$,
32 $P<0.001$) directions compared to the anterior direction ($r=0.402$, $P=0.006$). Greater
33 concentric hip abductor strength is associated with greater scores on components of the YBT,
34 particularly the posterior reaches.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 **Introduction**

45 In static conditions, balance is defined as the ability to maintain the centre of gravity over a
46 base of support.¹ Athletic activities such as running require the centre of gravity (position and
47 velocity) to be maintained in the upright position despite a changing and moving base of
48 support.² Hip abductor torque is thought to play an important role in stabilizing the trunk and
49 pelvis. The hip abductors maintain lower limb alignment through reducing accelerations of
50 the centre of mass in the sagittal and frontal plane in response to postural perturbations.^{3,4}
51 Compared to healthy controls, individuals with lower extremity injury such as chronic ankle
52 instability (CAI),¹ anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury⁵ and patellofemoral pain syndrome
53 (PFPS)^{6,7} have been reported to have reduced dynamic single leg stability. Hip abductor
54 dysfunction is thought to contribute to poor lower extremity control by allowing knee valgus
55 which occurs as a result of coupled adduction and internal rotation of the femur.⁸ Greater
56 knee valgus during dynamic tasks has been reported in those with acute (ACL)⁹ and chronic
57 (PFPS)¹⁰ injury, compared to healthy controls. Furthermore, individuals with hip abductor
58 dysfunction tend to lean towards the side of dysfunction to balance the centre of gravity on
59 the hip joint centre,⁸ further reducing the demand of the hip abductors on the stance leg. This
60 position likely contributes to increased knee valgus, altering of the centre of pressure relative
61 to the ankle joint and leading to increased demand on muscles of the lower leg.³

62 The hip abductors, most notably tensor fascia latae, gluteus minimus, medius and maximus,
63 concentrically abduct the hip, isometrically stabilise the pelvis and eccentrically control hip
64 adduction and internal rotation.¹¹ Increasing isometric hip abductor strength is associated
65 with greater dynamic single leg stability. Previously, both Hubbard et al. and Lee et al. have
66 demonstrated a moderate to strong association ($r=0.49 - 0.72$; $P<0.05$) between isometric hip
67 abduction strength and performance of the posterior reaches of the Y-balance test (YBT).^{12,13}

68 Open kinetic chain side lying hip abduction has been shown to elicit levels of muscle
69 contraction (>70% of maximum voluntary contraction (MVC)) in line with that required to
70 achieve strength gains.^{14,15} However, to date, little is known about whether hip abductor
71 strength whilst side-lying in a non-weight bearing position is associated with enhanced single
72 leg stability in a weight-bearing position. Isokinetic dynamometry is a criterion method for
73 the assessment of a MVC as it is subject to less confounding variables than that of handheld
74 dynamometry such as examiner strength, the inability to correct for gravity and stabilisation
75 techniques used.¹⁶ Furthermore, peak torque from a voluntary muscle contraction can be
76 measured within a coefficient of variance of 5%.¹⁷ Many studies have assessed hip abductor
77 strength using handheld dynamometers,^{12,18-20} comparatively few have used isokinetic
78 dynamometry.^{6,21} Furthermore, variance in participant positioning, protocol for assessment as
79 well as the criteria for the acceptance of peak torque measured from a MVC has varied
80 widely among researchers. The Star Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) has emerged as a time
81 and cost effective method of quantifying single leg dynamic balance with established
82 reliability.²² An instrumented version of the modified SEBT is known as the Y-balance test
83 (YBT) and has been shown to measure balance in the anterior and posterior reach
84 directions.²³ Whilst Coughlan et al.²⁴ identified that participants could reach further in the
85 anterior direction of the SEBT, no difference in posterior reaches was found when compared
86 to the YBT, suggesting posterior reaches are comparable with existing literature.

87 To the authors knowledge there has yet to be a study which assesses the relationship between
88 concentric hip abductor strength and single leg dynamic balance as measured by isokinetic
89 dynamometry and the YBT test respectively. It is plausible that those with lower hip muscle
90 strength will have a lower capability of performing the YBT, particularly the posterior reach
91 directions, due to an inability to eccentrically control the required hip flexion.⁸ The aim of
92 this study was to assess whether there is an association between concentric hip abductor

93 strength and single leg dynamic balance in a convenience sample of healthy recreational gym
94 users aged 18 – 35 years. We hypothesize that greater hip abductor torque will be associated
95 with greater scores on the YBT, particularly in the posterior reach directions.

96 **Methods**

97 **Participants**

98 This study employed a cross-sectional study design in which participants reported to the
99 laboratory for a single data collection session. A convenience sample of forty five
100 participants (27 male, age 26.2 (8.4) years, height 173.3 (6.7) cm, weight 71.3 (9.9) kg and 18
101 female, age 27.4 (7.5) years, height 169.3 (5.9) cm, weight 65.3 (9.9) kg) all of whom were
102 recreationally active at a local health and wellbeing centre or the University sports centre
103 were recruited to the study. The definition of a recreational gym user was anyone who took
104 part in gym based or group exercise activities at least three times per week.²⁵ Participants
105 were required to be free from lower extremity injury for at least 6 months prior to testing,
106 have no history of hip, knee or ankle surgery and be free from illness, such as influenza.
107 These factors may influence strength and balance assessments and were excluded as potential
108 confounding variables. After receiving a complete explanation of the procedures, benefits and
109 risks of the study, all participants gave their written informed consent. Participants were
110 asked to refrain from strenuous exercise in the 24-hours before testing. All procedures were
111 performed in accordance with the most recent version of the Declaration of Helsinki and
112 approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of St. Mark and St. John.

113 **Instrumentation** Performance of the Y-balance test was conducted using a Y-Balance Test
114 Kit (Functional Movement Systems, Virginia, USA) as illustrated in Figure 1. Peak torque of
115 the non-dominant limb was determined from a MVC (30°/s) of the hip abductors using a
116 commercially available dynamometer (Figure2; Humac Norm, CSMI, Massachusetts, USA).

117 **Task**

118 All participants reported to the University sports science laboratory for testing wearing shorts
119 and a t-shirt. All measurements were recorded by the same clinician to avoid intertester
120 variability. Warm up consisted of 5 minutes on a bicycle ergometer (Wattbike Cycle
121 Ergometer, Wattbike Pro, Nottingham, UK) at a cadence of 60 RPM. Intensity was self-
122 selected at what they felt was their normal warm up pace. Performance of the Y-balance test
123 was conducted prior to isokinetic testing of hip abductor strength. The non-dominant limb
124 (stance leg when kicking a ball) was used in both cases.

125 To perform the Y-balance test, participants were required to move each of the indicators in
126 the anterior, posteromedial and posterolateral directions as far as possible, using the dominant
127 foot. Isokinetic hip abductor strength was assessed in the side lying position (Figure 2).

128

129 **Procedures**

130 Participants had their limb length measured from the anterior superior iliac spine to the distal
131 tip of the medial malleolus using an anthropometric tape measure. The YBT was described as
132 a test of balance to participants. A member of the research team demonstrated the test before
133 instructing the participant. Participants were asked to place the foot of the non-dominant leg
134 (support leg when kicking a ball; used for standardization) on the stance block with the hallux
135 perpendicular to the red line and with the dominant leg in contact with the ground for support
136 prior to testing. Participants were then asked to move each of the indicators in the anterior,
137 posteromedial and posterolateral directions as far as possible, using the dominant foot and
138 without losing contact with the indicators. Participants returned to the starting position prior
139 to completing each movement. Any loss of balance or repetitive movement was excluded and
140 a new trial performed. Four trial attempts were carried out, to exclude any learning effect,

141 prior to three test attempts as in Munro et al.²². The highest attempt in each direction was
142 accepted as a value for anterior, postero-medial and posterolateral reach directions.
143 Participants performed each trial when they were ready after the previous trial and without a
144 defined rest period.

145 After completion of the YBT, participants had the non-dominant limb assessed for peak
146 concentric torque of the hip abductors. The contraction speed (30°/s) was chosen due to the
147 descending force associated with increasing speed of contraction.²⁶ Participants were side
148 lying with a seat angle of 0°. The hip and knee of the dominant limb were flexed to 90°. The
149 pelvis was in neutral to try to ensure the head of the femur of the non-dominant limb was
150 aligned over that of the dominant limb. From this position and with the use of a goniometer
151 the non-dominant hip (hip to be tested) was placed into 10° of extension in order to best
152 isolate the hip abductors and limit torque generation from anterior muscles such as the
153 quadriceps femoris muscle group, the iliopsoas and tensor fasciae latae.²⁷ This decision was
154 made after it became noticeable during pilot testing that the hip of the leg being tested, when
155 started in 0°, tended to move forwards. Beginning in 10° of hip extension meant the hip did
156 not move past 0° during hip abduction. To secure this position, a velcro strap was fastened
157 from either side of the seat above the iliac crest of the participant. As in Gordon et al.²⁸, a
158 circular cushion was inserted under and parallel to the non-dominant limb to allow the limb
159 to rest between contractions and also to reduce potential for over-activity in the adductor
160 muscles. The dynamometer rotational axis was aligned with the greater trochanter (hip joint
161 axis of rotation). The pad, into which participants exerted force into was placed 5cm above
162 the base of the patella along the iliotibial band as in De Marche Baldon et al.²¹. Once secured,
163 the mass of the limb was weighed in order to perform a gravitational correction. After
164 familiarization with procedures, participants were given three trial attempts in which they
165 were asked to perform at 25%, 50% and 75% of their perceived maximum as in Lepley et

166 al.²⁹. This was to ensure adequate warm up and reduce the potential for a learning effect¹⁷ due
167 to unfamiliarity with exerting force in a side lying position. A minimum of 3 and a maximum
168 of 5 MVC's were undertaken by participants in order to ensure repeated measures within a
169 coefficient of variance (CV) of 5%. If after 3 attempts there was not 2 contractions which
170 satisfied the criteria (see below) for MVC and resided within a CV of 5%, a 4th trial was
171 performed and if necessary a 5th. All participants generated repeated measures within 5 trials.
172 Each contraction was separated by two minutes of stationary rest in order to ensure sufficient
173 replenishment of the phosphor-creatine energy system.³⁰ The participant was instructed to
174 consistently produce their maximal force rapidly, through their maximum range of motion
175 (ROM) (as hard and as fast as possible in the frontal plane) and to maintain that force for 3-4
176 seconds. Participants received a 5 second count down with a distinct emphasis on "Go". No
177 overt verbal encouragement was provided due to the difficulty in standardizing it for all
178 participants.³¹ Attempts not sustained for MVC (identified by an impact spike), containing an
179 initial countermovement (identified by a visible drop/rise in the torque signal) >5 N·m or with
180 a non-linear time-torque trace (identified by a double movement) were disqualified and
181 excluded from further analysis. The remaining measures, which met the above criteria for a
182 MVC and had repeated measures of peak torque within a CV of 5%, were accepted for
183 correlation analysis with those of the YBT.

184 **Statistical Analyses**

185 Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistics 22 for windows (SPSS, Inc.,
186 Chicago, IL). YBT test scores normalised for leg length were calculated as: (reach distance
187 (cm)/leg length (cm)) *100. A Shapiro-wilk test was used to assess whether parameters for
188 single leg dynamic balance and hip abductor strength were normally distributed. Mean,
189 standard deviation (SD) and ranges are reported. The predictor variable (peak concentric

190 torque) and criterion variable (anterior, poster lateral and posteromedial reaches) were
191 normally distributed and therefore a Pearson's correlation analysis was used to assess the
192 strength of the associations. The strength of association and 95% confidence intervals were
193 classified based on that most recently suggested by the British Medical Journal: 0-0.19 very
194 weak, 0.2-0.39, weak, 0.40-0.59 moderate, 0.6-0.79 as strong and 0.8-1 very strong. Simple
195 linear regression analysis was used to quantify the variance in reach distance (normalized for
196 limb length) explained by concentric peak torque (normalized for body mass). YBT distance
197 (anterior, posterior-lateral or posterior-medial) was entered as the criterion variable and
198 concentric hip abductor torque was entered as the predictor variable. Significance (2-tailed)
199 was set at $P < 0.05$ for all analyses.

200 **Results**

201 Participant limb length, concentric peak torque and YBT reach distances are displayed in
202 Table 1. Concentric hip abductor peak torque was moderately correlated with all reach
203 distances ($P < 0.05$; Table 2). The posterior reach scores (normalized for limb length) of the
204 YBT had the greatest association with peak concentric torque of the hip abductors
205 (normalized for body mass). The posterolateral direction had the strongest association
206 ($r = 0.657$, $P < 0.001$) with concentric peak torque, followed by the posteromedial ($r = 0.574$,
207 $P < 0.001$) and anterior ($r = 0.402$, $P = 0.006$) direction respectively (Table 2). Hip abductor
208 torque corrected for body mass explained 43% of the variance in posterolateral reach distance
209 corrected for limb length (Table 2; Figure 3).

210 **Discussion**

211 This study sought to investigate the relationship between concentric hip strength torque and
212 components (anterior, posteromedial, posterolateral) of the YBT. All balance components
213 had a moderate association with concentric hip abductor strength and in accordance with our

214 hypothesis were greater in the posteromedial and posterolateral directions compared to the
215 anterior direction. Compared to the anterior reach, performance of the posterior reaches
216 require a greater degree of hip flexion on the side of the stance leg.³² This movement pattern
217 is accomplished to a large extent by an anterior movement of the pelvis, a motion which
218 requires greater eccentric hip muscle torque.⁸

219 The anterior reach of the YBT tends to cause participants to assume a more erect trunk
220 posture which requires less hip flexion, and subsequently less anterior movement of the
221 pelvis.³² It is possible that this alteration in movement strategy requires participants to rely
222 more on knee extensor muscle performance to accomplish the anterior reach task. This may
223 explain the weaker association between hip abductor strength and anterior reach performance
224 relative to the posterior reaches in the current study. These differences are likely due to the
225 test constraints which require the foot to be extended out in front of the body during the
226 anterior reach. Without a more upright posture, as the leg moves further forward there is a
227 greater risk of loss of balance due to the centre of mass moving further away from its base of
228 support. If the aim of the test was not to reach as far forward as possible, then a single leg
229 squat (with the leg out in front) may be performed with a similar contribution from the hip
230 extensors and abductors. Hubbard et al.¹² reported similar associations between isometric hip
231 abduction, as measured by handheld dynamometry and posteromedial ($r=0.51$, $P=0.004$) and
232 posterolateral ($r=0.49$, $P=0.006$) reach distances in thirty participants with chronic ankle
233 instability (CAI). The slightly stronger associations in our study are perhaps due to the use of
234 participants without CAI. Dynamic single limb stability is reportedly lower in patients
235 suffering with CAI.¹ As healthy active young adults were observed in this study, direct
236 comparison of results between cohorts cannot be made. Furthermore, peak torque has been
237 shown to be angle specific,²⁶ meaning isometric assessment may not identify maximum
238 strength in all participants.³³ This study utilised a predefined criteria for accepting a MVC as

239 valid prior to accepting a measure of peak torque. Subsequently, only repeated measures
240 which met this criteria and were within a CV of 5% were used for analysis. In addition, our
241 protocol began with participants in 10° of hip extension to avoid the hip joint moving past 0°
242 during side lying hip abduction which appeared to happen during pilot testing. These
243 differences in protocol may give our measures greater criterion validity,²⁷ although as of yet,
244 it is unknown whether there is a difference in torque output between test positions used in the
245 literature.

246 The importance of the hip abductor muscles in facilitating single leg stability is perhaps
247 underscored by the fact that concentric hip abductor strength explained 43% of the variance
248 in the posterolateral reach direction. It may be that a semi-static balance test in which the
249 base of support is fixed depends more on absolute strength than more dynamic balance tasks
250 in which neuromuscular control may play a greater role. Furthermore, the moment arm of the
251 proximal gluteal muscles is longer than the other distal lower extremity muscles that act
252 directly on the ankle joint and as such, may be better at controlling the centre of mass during
253 the lowering phase of the YBT. This suggestion is supported by Miller and Bird³⁴ who
254 reported fatigue of the muscles of the hip and knee to have greater negative impact on single
255 leg stability relative to fatigue of distal lower extremity muscles. More recently, Gribble and
256 Hertel^{35,36} demonstrated greater postural control deficits when fatiguing the hip abductors and
257 adductors compared to the ankle invertors and evertors. The muscles acting on the hip and
258 knee have a greater cross-sectional area and therefore greater force output than those at the
259 ankle. Conversely, larger muscles may have less ability to rapidly adjust to perturbations in
260 comparison to the smaller muscles around the ankle. It may be that that slower movement
261 strategy allowed in the YBT, in addition to the repeated practice trials undertaken before a
262 measurement is taken, does not require rapid adjustment from the ankle musculature but
263 instead depends on the torque of the muscles acting on the hip and knee.

264

265

266 **Limitations**

267 Although the discussion of our data is plausible, it should be interpreted cognisant that
268 although the association between hip strength and the posterior reaches is considered
269 moderate to strong; the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval suggests the association
270 may only be weak to moderate (Table 2). This study investigated a convenience sample of
271 healthy active adults (18-35 years) and therefore sheds some light on the relationship between
272 concentric hip strength and single leg stability but is not generalizable to all active
273 populations. In addition, we did not control for previous history of concussion and cannot be
274 sure that minor respiratory tract infections were not present which could affect the outcome
275 of the balance tests.

276 **Clinical Implications**

277 Dynamic single leg stability is influenced by a multitude of factors including flexibility,
278 neuromuscular control and strength. These data suggest that hip abductor strength may be an
279 important contributor to single leg stability, particularly over a fixed base of support. Our
280 findings, acknowledging the limitation of the cross-sectional study design, suggest that
281 clinicians who wish to assess changes in single-leg balance, using the YBT, as a result of
282 change in side-lying hip strength should focus on the posterior reaches.

283 **Future Research**

284 The authors implemented several measures to maximize the criterion validity of the hip
285 abductor strength measures. However, this protocol of assessment can only be deemed more
286 valid by a study design which compares muscle activity from this protocol to those in

287 existing literature. Furthermore, the authors in the present study decided to use concentric hip
288 abduction, a movement used to assess hip abductor strength and prescribed as a rehabilitation
289 exercise in clinical practice, when performance of the YBT depends primarily on isometric
290 and eccentric control of the hip abductors. Future research should attempt to describe the
291 association between eccentric hip abductor strength and performance of the YBT to add to
292 those who have used isometric strength and the concentric measures described in this study.
293 Future research should also aim to quantify muscle activation for each of the YBT reach
294 directions to enable better understanding of the muscular demands of the test. Finally, future
295 research should screen for previous history of concussion.

296 **Conclusion**

297 The data presented in this study suggest that concentric hip abductor strength is moderately
298 associated with dynamic single leg stability when measured using the YBT. In contrast to the
299 anterior reach, the associations between strength and balance are greater when using the
300 posterior reaches of the YBT.

301 **References**

- 302 **1.** Plisky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, Underwood FB. Star Excursion Balance Test as a predictor
303 of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. *The Journal of orthopaedic and*
304 *sports physical therapy*. Dec 2006;36(12):911-919.
- 305 **2.** Patla AE. Strategies for dynamic stability during adaptive human locomotion. *IEEE*
306 *engineering in medicine and biology magazine : the quarterly magazine of the Engineering in*
307 *Medicine & Biology Society*. Mar-Apr 2003;22(2):48-52.
- 308 **3.** Lee SP, Powers CM. Individuals with diminished hip abductor muscle strength exhibit altered
309 ankle biomechanics and neuromuscular activation during unipedal balance tasks. *Gait &*
310 *posture*. Mar 2014;39(3):933-938.
- 311 **4.** Klemetti R, Steele KM, Moilanen P, Avela J, Timonen J. Contributions of individual muscles to
312 the sagittal- and frontal-plane angular accelerations of the trunk in walking. *Journal of*
313 *biomechanics*. Jul 18 2014;47(10):2263-2268.
- 314 **5.** Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes: Part 1,
315 mechanisms and risk factors. *The American journal of sports medicine*. Feb 2006;34(2):299-
316 311.
- 317 **6.** Souza RB, Powers CM. Differences in hip kinematics, muscle strength, and muscle activation
318 between subjects with and without patellofemoral pain. *The Journal of orthopaedic and*
319 *sports physical therapy*. Jan 2009;39(1):12-19.

- 320 7. McKenzie K, Galea V, Wessel J, Pierrynowski M. Lower extremity kinematics of females with
321 patellofemoral pain syndrome while stair stepping. *The Journal of orthopaedic and sports*
322 *physical therapy*. Oct 2010;40(10):625-632.
- 323 8. Powers CM. The influence of abnormal hip mechanics on knee injury: a biomechanical
324 perspective. *The Journal of orthopaedic and sports physical therapy*. Feb 2010;40(2):42-51.
- 325 9. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, et al. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and
326 valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a
327 prospective study. *The American journal of sports medicine*. Apr 2005;33(4):492-501.
- 328 10. Dierks TA, Manal KT, Hamill J, Davis IS. Proximal and distal influences on hip and knee
329 kinematics in runners with patellofemoral pain during a prolonged run. *The Journal of*
330 *orthopaedic and sports physical therapy*. Aug 2008;38(8):448-456.
- 331 11. Dostal WF, Soderberg GL, Andrews JG. Actions of hip muscles. *Physical therapy*. Mar
332 1986;66(3):351-361.
- 333 12. Hubbard TJ, Kramer LC, Denegar CR, Hertel J. Correlations among multiple measures of
334 functional and mechanical instability in subjects with chronic ankle instability. *Journal of*
335 *athletic training*. Jul-Sep 2007;42(3):361-366.
- 336 13. Lee DK, Kim GM, Ha SM, Oh JS. Correlation of the Y-Balance Test with Lower-limb Strength
337 of Adult Women. *Journal of physical therapy science*. May 2014;26(5):641-643.
- 338 14. Boren K, Conrey C, Le Coguic J, Paprocki L, Voight M, Robinson TK. Electromyographic
339 analysis of gluteus medius and gluteus maximus during rehabilitation exercises. *International*
340 *journal of sports physical therapy*. Sep 2011;6(3):206-223.
- 341 15. Distefano LJ, Blackburn JT, Marshall SW, Padua DA. Gluteal muscle activation during
342 common therapeutic exercises. *The Journal of orthopaedic and sports physical therapy*. Jul
343 2009;39(7):532-540.
- 344 16. Deones VL, Wiley SC, Worrell T. Assessment of quadriceps muscle performance by a hand-
345 held dynamometer and an isokinetic dynamometer. *The Journal of orthopaedic and sports*
346 *physical therapy*. Dec 1994;20(6):296-301.
- 347 17. Francis P, Toomey C, Mc Cormack W, Lyons M, Jakeman P. Measurement of maximal
348 isometric torque and muscle quality of the knee extensors and flexors in healthy 50- to 70-
349 year-old women. *Clinical physiology and functional imaging*. Jan 07 2016.
- 350 18. Ireland ML, Willson JD, Ballantyne BT, Davis IM. Hip strength in females with and without
351 patellofemoral pain. *The Journal of orthopaedic and sports physical therapy*. Nov
352 2003;33(11):671-676.
- 353 19. DiMattia MA, Livengood AL, Uhl TL, Mattacola CG, Malone TR. What are the validity of the
354 single-leg-squat test and its relationship to hip-abduction strength? *Journal of sport*
355 *rehabilitation*. 2005;14(2):108-123.
- 356 20. Boling MC, Padua DA, Alexander Creighton R. Concentric and eccentric torque of the hip
357 musculature in individuals with and without patellofemoral pain. *Journal of athletic training*.
358 Jan-Feb 2009;44(1):7-13.
- 359 21. Baldon Rde M, Nakagawa TH, Muniz TB, Amorim CF, Maciel CD, Serrao FV. Eccentric hip
360 muscle function in females with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Journal of*
361 *athletic training*. Sep-Oct 2009;44(5):490-496.
- 362 22. Munro AG, Herrington LC. Between-session reliability of the star excursion balance test.
363 *Physical therapy in sport : official journal of the Association of Chartered Physiotherapists in*
364 *Sports Medicine*. Nov 2010;11(4):128-132.
- 365 23. Hertel J, Miller SJ, Denegar CR. Intratester and intertester reliability during the Star Excursion
366 Balance Tests. *Journal of sport rehabilitation*. 2000;9(2):104-116.
- 367 24. Coughlan GF, Fullam K, Delahunt E, Gissane C, Caulfield BM. A comparison between
368 performance on selected directions of the star excursion balance test and the Y balance test.
369 *Journal of athletic training*. Jul-Aug 2012;47(4):366-371.

- 370 25. Heinert BL, Kernozek TW, Greany JF, Fater DC. Hip abductor weakness and lower extremity
371 kinematics during running. *Journal of sport rehabilitation*. Aug 2008;17(3):243-256.
- 372 26. Thorstensson A, Grimby G, Karlsson J. Force-velocity relations and fiber composition in
373 human knee extensor muscles. *Journal of applied physiology*. Jan 1976;40(1):12-16.
- 374 27. McBeth JM, Earl-Boehm JE, Cobb SC, Huddleston WE. Hip muscle activity during 3 side-lying
375 hip-strengthening exercises in distance runners. *Journal of athletic training*. Jan-Feb
376 2012;47(1):15-23.
- 377 28. Gordon AT, Ambegaonkar JP, Caswell SV. Relationships between core strength, hip external
378 rotator muscle strength, and star excursion balance test performance in female lacrosse
379 players. *International journal of sports physical therapy*. Apr 2013;8(2):97-104.
- 380 29. Lepley AS, Strouse AM, Ericksen HM, Pfile KR, Gribble PA, Pietrosimone BG. Relationship
381 between gluteal muscle strength, corticospinal excitability, and jump-landing biomechanics
382 in healthy women. *Journal of sport rehabilitation*. Nov 2013;22(4):239-247.
- 383 30. Baker JS, McCormick MC, Robergs RA. Interaction among Skeletal Muscle Metabolic Energy
384 Systems during Intense Exercise. *Journal of nutrition and metabolism*. 2010;2010:905612.
- 385 31. Perrin DH. *Isokinetic exercise and assessment*. Human Kinetics; 1993.
- 386 32. Kang MH, Kim GM, Kwon OY, Weon JH, Oh JS, An DH. Relationship Between the Kinematics
387 of the Trunk and Lower Extremity and Performance on the Y-Balance Test. *PM & R : the
388 journal of injury, function, and rehabilitation*. Nov 2015;7(11):1152-1158.
- 389 33. Noorkoiv M, Nosaka K, Blazevich AJ. Effects of isometric quadriceps strength training at
390 different muscle lengths on dynamic torque production. *Journal of sports sciences*.
391 2015;33(18):1952-1961.
- 392 34. Miller PK, Bird AM. Localized muscle fatigue and dynamic balance. *Perceptual and motor
393 skills*. Feb 1976;42(1):135-138.
- 394 35. Gribble PA, Hertel J. Effect of hip and ankle muscle fatigue on unipedal postural control.
395 *Journal of electromyography and kinesiology : official journal of the International Society of
396 Electrophysiological Kinesiology*. Dec 2004;14(6):641-646.
- 397 36. Gribble PA, Hertel J. Effect of lower-extremity muscle fatigue on postural control. *Archives of
398 physical medicine and rehabilitation*. Apr 2004;85(4):589-592.

399

400

401