

Citation:

Pringle, AR and Zwolinsky, S (2018) An investigation into the adoption and use of Public Health guidance by professional football club community schemes. In: International Society of Physical Activity and Public Health, 2018, 15 October 2018 - 17 October 2018, London. (Unpublished)

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/4956/

Document Version:
Conference or Workshop Item (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Authors:

Andy Pringle, Stephen Zwolinsky

Institute of Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure at Leeds Beckett University

Introduction:

Research confirms the impact of health improvement delivered for communities in professional Football Club Community Trusts (FCCT), yet no study has investigated the adoption and use Public Health (PH) guidance.

Method:

This study investigates the use of PH guidance in 72 FCCT. Data were collected using (i) an online survey completed by FCCT managers (n=34/47.2%) and (ii) semi-structured interviews with a subsample of these managers, (n=11/32.3%).

Results:

Most FCCT managers were male (n=23/67.7%) & white British (n=30/88.2%) and from Championship (n=12/35.2%), League 1 (n=13/38.2%) and League 2 clubs (n=9/26.5%). All FCCT (n=34/100%) provided physical activity and most provided diet (n=31/91.2%), smoking (n=20/58.8%) and alcohol (n=19/55.9%) interventions. Regarding awareness of PH guidance, 58.8% (n=20/34) were aware of Public Health England/Local PH guidance, 41.2% (n=14/34) were aware British Heart Foundation, 38.2% (n=13/34) NICE guidance and 29.4% (n=10/34) football-charity PH guidance. Most, 76.5% (n=26/34) of managers' reported using PH guidance. Regarding motives, 84.6% (n=22/26) said that it 'was good practice', 65.4% (n=17/26) said that 'it helped with planning', 34.6% (n=9/26) said it 'was a requirement of funding' and 23.1% (n=6/26) said it was because they 'had used it before'. 80.8% (n=21/26) used PH guidance for programme design, 69.2% (n=18/26) delivery, 57.7% (n=15/26) needs assessment and 50% (n=13/26) evaluation. Interviews with managers identified barriers to the adoption of PH guidance, including accessibility, awareness and relevance.

Conclusion:

This study provides novel insights into the use of PH guidance within FCCT.

DOI

External funding was provided to Leeds Beckett University to support the original research.

The authors acknowledge the contribution of colleagues from the Institute of Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure at Leeds Beckett University who were involved in the original research, but not directly involved in co-authoring this abstract.