

Citation:

Price, OJ and Darville, L and Allen, H and Hull, J (2017) P201 - Parkrun dyspnoea - isn't it all exercise-induced asthma? In: British Thoracic Society Winter Meeting, 2017, London.

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/5095/

Document Version: Conference or Workshop Item (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

PARKRUN DYSPNOEA - ISN'T IT ALL EXERCISE-INDUCED ASTHMA?

Oliver J. Price¹ PhD, Liam Darville¹ BSc, Hayden Allen¹ MSc, James H. Hull² FRCP, PhD

¹Carnegie School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, United Kingdom (UK). ²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK.

Introduction: A broad differential diagnosis exists for exercise-induced dyspnoea (EID) and wheeze in young athletic individuals, however these symptoms are often treated as presumed exercise-induced asthma (EIA). A key differential diagnosis for EIA is exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction (EILO); a condition characterised by transient closure of the larynx precipitating stridor during exercise. Recent studies reveal a prevalence of 5-7% in Scandinavian adolescents, however the prevalence of EILO in the UK is currently unclear.

Objectives: To assess the prevalence of stridor and EID in a cohort of recreationally active individuals.

Methods: Cross-sectional field-based evaluation of the prevalence of stridor and EID in a cohort of individuals completing a 5km Parkrun event in Northern England. Eighty-five adults (male: n = 43) (mean \pm SD) age: 39 ± 15 years) were enrolled. Pre-race, respiratory symptoms (Dyspnoea-12 [D12 score: $\geq 1-36$] in combination with an Allergy Questionnaire for Athletes [AQUA score: ≥ 5) and baseline spirometry were assessed. Immediately post-race, breathing was monitored continuously using an audio recording device for 15-min or until full recovery (i.e. resting tidal breathing had resumed). Recordings were analysed retrospectively and coded for signs of the predominant respiratory noise: 0 = nil; 1 = inspiratory stridor; 2 = expiratory wheeze; 3 = combined stridor + wheeze; 4 = cough.

Results: The majority of the cohort (93%) had normal resting lung function. Despite this, the prevalence of troublesome respiratory symptoms was 46% (D12 score: 6 ± 5 and AQUA score: 13 ± 6). Almost one third of the cohort (28%) had at least one respiratory sign: inspiratory stridor (n = 9;

11%), expiratory wheeze (n = 7; 8%), combined stridor + wheeze (n = 6; 7%); cough (n = 2; 2%). Of these, over one fifth (21%) had both a symptom and sign of respiratory dysfunction with sign of stridor and EID the most common (14%) (Figure 1).

Conclusion: The prevalence of stridor and EID was 14% in recreationally active individuals completing a Parkrun event. Further work is needed to determine if this relates to objective evidence of EILO however these findings indicate a prevalence in keeping with published series.



Figure 1. Prevalence of respiratory symptoms and signs of respiratory dysfunction in a Parkrun cohort.

Word count: 347.