

Citation:

Fylan, F and King, M and Brough, D and Black, AA and King, N and Bentley, LA and Wood, JM (2020) Increasing conspicuity on night-time roads : Perspectives from cyclists and runners. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 68. pp. 161-170. ISSN 1369-8478 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2019.11.016

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/6485/

Document Version: Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

# Increasing conspicuity on night-time roads: perspectives from cyclists and runners

# <u>Fiona Fylan<sup>a</sup></u>, Mark King<sup>b</sup>, Dean Brough<sup>c</sup>, Alex A. Black<sup>d</sup>, Neil King<sup>e</sup>, Laura A. Bentley<sup>d</sup>, Joanne. M. Wood<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Brainbox Research, UK, fiona@brainboxresearch.com
<sup>b</sup>Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety-Queensland, Australia, mark.king@qut.edu.au
<sup>c</sup>QUT, School of Design, Australia, d.brough@qut.edu.au
<sup>d</sup>QUT, School of Optometry and Vision Science, Australia, aa.black@qut.edu.au
<sup>e</sup>QUT, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, QUT, Australia, n.king@qut.edu.au
<sup>d</sup>QUT, School of Optometry and Vision Science, Australia, bentleyl@qut.edu.au
<sup>d</sup>QUT, School of Optometry and Vision Science, Australia, bentleyl@qut.edu.au

# **Corresponding author:**

Dr Fiona Fylan Brainbox Research 46 Town Street Gildersome Leeds, LS27 7AA, UK (e-mail: fiona@brainboxresearch.com).

# 1 Abstract

Pedestrians and cyclists are at significant risk of being killed as a result of a collision with a vehicle at night-time because of their poor conspicuity. Retroreflective strips positioned on the moveable joints, in a biological motion configuration (biomotion), greatly enhances the night-time conspicuity of pedestrians and cyclists, but it is not clear how widely this strategy is adopted among those running and cycling under low light levels and at night. This study explored runners' and cyclists' beliefs about their own conspicuity, and the strategies they use to increase their conspicuity and safety under low light levels

9

Nine focus groups involving 50 participants (mean age  $=39.5 \pm 13.9$  years) were held with 10 individuals who ran and/or cycled under low light conditions or at night-time. Participants 11 explored the strategies they used to increase their perceived conspicuity and enhance their 12 personal safety, and the importance they placed on increased visibility to other road users at 13 night. Data were analysed thematically, with two main themes identified. Strategies describes 14 the different approaches used to increase their own conspicuity when running or cycling in 15 low light conditions, which include ineffective strategies. Importance describes how 16 conspicuity relates to other considerations that influence cyclists and runners. While they 17 18 may believe that conspicuity is essential for their safety, they may compromise their own conspicuity by prioritising style or comfort, or because they believe that being more 19 20 conspicuous is of limited value because it cannot compensate for the behaviour of other road 21 users. 22 In summary, cyclists and pedestrians are largely unaware of effective strategies to increase 23

their night-time conspicuity, particularly the use of biomotion reflective strips. Garment manufacturers should ensure that conspicuity features (with supporting educative product

- 26 information on labels) are incorporated into cyclists' and runners' clothing or accessories, to
- 27 improve wearer conspicuity and hence safety in low light conditions.
- 28
- 29 Keywords: night-time visibility, conspicuity, cyclists, runners, biomotion, clothing

# 30 1. Introduction

Pedestrians and cyclists are at significant risk of being injured or killed as a result of a 31 collision with a vehicle at night time (Kwan and Mapstone 2004), because of their poor 32 conspicuity (Owens and Sivak 1996). Crashes between vehicles and pedestrians are over-33 represented at night, with pedestrians being 3-7 times more likely to be involved in a fatal 34 collision at night than in the day (Sullivan and Flannagan 2002). Even though exposure rates 35 for cycling are much lower at night than in the day, data from a range of countries indicate 36 that cyclist fatality rates at night are high (Jaermark, Gregersen et al. 1991; Henley and 37 38 Harrison 2009). The risk of injuries at night is also two times higher at night than in the day, and for rural areas the injury risk is five times higher (Johansson, Wanvik et al. 2009; Twisk 39 and Reurings 2013). 40

41

During the daytime, fluorescent materials are effective in increasing conspicuity (Kwan and 42 Mapstone, 2009) and can reduce collisions (Lahrmann et al., 2018), while at night, lights and 43 retroreflective materials are more effective (Kwan and Mapstone, 2009). Retroreflective 44 material can enhance the nighttime conspicuity of cyclist, walkers and runners and there has 45 been some debate regarding where this retroreflective material should be placed in order to 46 47 achieve optimal conspicuity. When retroreflective materials are positioned on the major movable joints, this creates a sense of "biological motion" or "biomotion", i.e. the viewer 48 49 perceives the lights as moving body parts on a person or animal (Johansson 1975; Tyrrell, Wood et al. 2016). The visual system is extremely sensitive to biological motion and this 50 51 ability allows information, such as whether a moving person is present and the characteristics of their movements, to be extracted from the motion of tiny point lights located on the major 52 joints (Johansson 1975). When retroreflective strips are placed on the movable joints and are 53 lit up in the oncoming headlight beam they produce a sense of biological motion that 54 enhances drivers' ability to recognise pedestrians from a safe distance at nighttime, resulting 55 in a 20 times increase in the distance at which a pedestrian or cyclist is first recognised 56 (Wood, Tyrrell et al. 2005). 57

58

This research on the benefits of biological motion has resulted in a change to the Australian and New Zealand standard for high-visibility clothing for night-time road workers (King and Wood 2013), yet there has been <u>no</u> translation to other groups that use road systems at night. This is despite there being a large number of people who walk, run or cycle on our roads at night-time, either for commuting or exercise. There are likely to be many more individuals

who do not undertake these activities because of concerns regarding their safety (Daley, 64 Rissel et al. 2007). Indeed, concerns about conspicuity mean that parents drive their children 65 to school rather than allow them to cycle (Ghekiere, Van Cauwenberg et al. 2014), with 66 parental constraints on physical activity extending beyond active transport to reducing the 67 amount of physical activity that children undertake outside of school (Carver, Timperio et al. 68 2010). This is of particular concern given the link between low levels of physical activity, 69 obesity and consequent morbidities. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that active 70 commuting such as walking, running or cycling can be associated with an 11% decrease in 71 72 risk of cardiovascular disease (Hamer and Chida 2008); other implications include reductions in traffic congestion and vehicle emissions. 73

74

Studies have also shown that there is a lack of clarity among vulnerable road users regarding 75 the conspicuity effects of different materials, such as florescent and retroreflective materials 76 (Wood, Tyrrell et al. 2013). Fluorescent materials convert invisible ultraviolet light in natural 77 daylight to visible light (Joint Technical Committee 1999) and so increase conspicuity only 78 under daytime conditions, whereas retroreflective materials reflect light such as headlights 79 back towards the light source so are more effective in low light conditions (Wood et al., 80 81 2013). People are also often resistant to wearing clothing that has a safety focus because it lacks aesthetic appeal or is perceived to be cumbersome or uncomfortable. Clear examples of 82 these concerns about style and comfort are reluctance to wear cycle helmets because of a 83 belief that they are unattractive (Lajunen 2016), or because they are uncomfortable 84 (Hollenberg 2018). Similar considerations are likely to affect willingness to wear other 85 clothing and accessories. In addition, research has demonstrated that despite cyclists being 86 generally well informed regarding the importance of wearing high-visibility clothing and the 87 benefits of conspicuity aids, they frequently do not use these aids (Hagel, Lamy et al. 2007). 88 89

This study aimed to better understand the strategies that cyclists and runners use to increase their conspicuity and safety at nighttime and the relative importance that they place on increased conspicuity to other road users at night, both in terms of perceptions, and their choices of exercise clothing and associated apparel accessories at night.

- 94
- 95
- 96
- 97

# 98 2. Methodology

A primarily qualitative methodology was employed, comprising a series of focus groups
 conducted in three countries. Participants were also asked to complete quantitative rating
 scales.

102

## 103 *2.1 Participants*

In total there were 50 participants (mean age  $=39.5 \pm 14.0$  years, 20 female, 30 male); 34 104 from Brisbane (Australia) and 16 from Leeds (United Kingdom). These cities provide 105 106 locations that differ in respect to their climate and the extent to which residents cycle for commuting and leisure purposes. Participants were adults who ran/cycled on the roads in low 107 light conditions, lived or worked locally in each city and were recruited through 108 advertisements through workplaces, social media groups and through emails to cycling and 109 running groups. Potential participants completed an online recruitment form and were booked 110 to attend a focus group based on their activity type (night-time cyclists or runners or those 111 who undertook both cycling and running at night (mixed)). Three groups were with those 112 who solely or mostly cycled, three with those who solely or mostly ran, and three with those 113 who both cycled and ran. All participants were offered an AU\$50 gift voucher for their 114 115 participation in the focus group.

116

## 117 *2.2 Procedure*

Nine focus groups were held: six in Brisbane, Australia (two cyclists, two runners, two 118 119 mixed) and three in Leeds, UK (one cyclist, one runners, one mixed). Focus groups provide a means of gaining an in-depth understanding of a topic or issue in a group setting, where the 120 dynamics of the group lead to participants disclosing and discussing their thoughts, feelings 121 and experiences in a way that they may not do in a one-to-one interview. A semi-structured 122 topic guide was used to initiate and steer the discussion. The term "visibility" was used 123 throughout rather than "conspicuity" as it is easier for participants to understand. Discussions 124 covered: 125

127 •	Clothing worn	when	running/	/cycling	under	nighttime	conditions;
-------	---------------	------	----------	----------	-------	-----------	-------------

- Choosing clothing for running/cycling;
- Perceptions of participants' own visibility to motorists at nighttime.
- 130

At the Brisbane site, participants in each group were asked to rate the relative importance of 131 visibility versus comfort, and visibility versus style when purchasing clothing, by placing a 132 mark on two separate visual analogue scales (VAS) of 250 mm in length. The first VAS 133 contrasted the importance of visibility versus comfort with three anchor points: visibility as 134 being of sole importance; visibility and comfort being of equal importance; and comfort 135 being of sole importance. The second scale contrasted the importance of visibility versus 136 style, scaled from visibility as being of sole importance; visibility and style being of equal 137 importance; and style being of sole importance. Participants' positions along the VAS were 138 139 converted to numbers by applying a conversion factor (scale position -125) x 0.08, such that the anchor points indicating equal importance were set at 0. 140

141

142 Focus groups were led by one of two facilitators (FF, LB), who were either highly

143 experienced in conducting focus groups (FF) or had undergone extensive training in

144 delivering focus groups (LB) and were aided by an assistant, lasted one hour and were audio

recorded and transcribed verbatim. The study followed the tenet of the Declaration of

146 Helsinki and ethics committee approval was obtained from Queensland University of

147 Technology. All participants were given a full explanation of the nature of the study, what

taking part would involve, and how to withdraw from the research. Written informed consentwas obtained.

150

# 151 *2.3 Data analysis*

152 Transcripts were analysed thematically using the methods of Braun and Clarke (2006).

153 Transcripts were coded using the research question: "What does conspicuity mean to

runners/cyclists?" and referred to the key points covered in the topic guide. An inductive

approach was taken in which the codes were generated from the data rather than by applying

a pre-determined framework (Braun and Clarke (2019). Two authors (FF, LB) independently

157 coded the transcripts and any differences in coding were discussed and resolved. Codes were

158 grouped together with others of similar meaning and sorted into a thematic structure that best

described the data. The criteria for a theme were that it was internally homogeneous, i.e. the

sub-themes it contained all shared a certain perspective, and that it was externally

161 heterogeneous, i.e. that the themes were fundamentally different from one another. This stage

162 was iterative, with sub-themes merging and moving between themes until a grouping was

identified that provided the most parsimonious data structure while capturing the full set of

164 codes.

# 165 **3. Results**

166 *3.1 Qualitative Results* 

167 Two main themes were identified in the data:

Strategies describes the different approaches that people use to try to increase their 168 169 own conspicuity when running or cycling in low light conditions; Importance describes how conspicuity relates to other considerations that 170 influence cyclists and runners: while they may believe conspicuity to be essential 171 for their safety, they may compromise their conspicuity by prioritising style or 172 comfort, or because they believe that being more visible is of limited value because 173 it cannot compensate sufficiently for the behaviour of other road users. 174 175 These themes are described in detail below and illustrated using quotes from each of the 176 focus groups that were selected on the basis that they best illustrated each sub-theme, and 177 were labelled with the city that the focus group took place (Brisbane or Leeds), the focus 178 group number and the gender of the participant. 179 180 1. Strategies 181 This theme comprises four subthemes that describe what participants do to increase their 182 conspicuity when cycling or running, and how that changes under low light conditions, i.e. at 183 dawn, dusk or at nighttime. 184 185

# 186 *Lights*

This sub-theme describes beliefs and experiences regarding using lights to increase 187 conspicuity at night. Cyclists in particular, relied heavily on lights, with many using lights 188 189 during the daytime as well as under low light conditions. They believed that lights, high lumen LEDs in particular, are the most effective strategy to increase their own conspicuity, 190 and accordingly, many were willing to pay more for higher lumen LED lights because they 191 are brighter. Many participants described using multiple lights, e.g. on the front and rear of 192 their bikes and also on their helmets, as more lights were considered to be superior for 193 gaining motorists' attention. 194

196 197 "I have a 600 lumen light on my handle bars, a 200 lumen tail light and a 300 lumen light on my helmet. If I'm really bright everyone is seeing me from ages away." (FG Brisbane 5, male)

"I have five lights on the back of my bike that I commute on: some flash, some are static.

- 198 199
- 200
- 201
- 202

Some participants (from both Brisbane and Leeds) noted that it is illegal to ride at night without lights, and this increased the perception that lights are the best way to increase conspicuity in low light and darkness. Discussion focused on the relative efficacy of flashing versus constant lights. Some believed that flashing lights decreased conspicuity and others that flashing would attract drivers' attention. Several participants had lights on their helmets and believed that this is useful to increase their conspicuity at a junction where they would look around and at cars as a strategy to increase conspicuity.

*I am literally glowing head to toe.*" (*FG Leeds 3, male*)

210

Very few participants used lights while running, although most were aware of them and several talked about how clip-on LED lights can be a useful way of increasing conspicuity when running on or near a road. A few talked about using head torches, although this was primarily to increase what they can see, rather increase their own conspicuity to other road users.

216

# 217 *Colour*

Many participants, including both cyclists and runners, used colour as a strategy to increase 218 their conspicuity and talked about brightly coloured clothing as being very effective at night. 219 Cyclists also talked about the colour of their bike and cycle helmet increasing their 220 conspicuity. While there was discussion around how bright colours are most effective in 221 daylight, some participants had strong beliefs that bright colours would increase conspicuity 222 even in low light and darkness. Light colours were highlighted as being more effective in low 223 light conditions and several participants talked about how they would try to avoid wearing all 224 black in low light. 225

226 227

"I go for bright colours normally because I run home on the roads and people are a bit crazy so I like to be seen especially in the dark." (FG Brisbane 4, male)

229

"I have a bright orange bike so if they can't see the bike then they're not going to see me." (FG Leeds 1, male)

231232

230

A few discussed how colour contrast is very important, so bright green would be a good way of increasing conspicuity in a city but not in a rural area with lots of vegetation. There was confusion between bright colours and fluorescent colours. Participants believed that fluorescent clothing is more visible at night but were often not sure whether a clothing item was fluorescent or simply bright.

238

## 239 *Retroreflective material*

Some participants talked about wearing retroreflective clothing in low light but many had not
previously considered this as an effective means of increasing conspicuity. Some suggested
that this was because it can be difficult to tell whether a garment is retroreflective.
Participants talked about how manufacturers or retailers often don't mention retroreflectivity
on garments, which suggests it is not important. Indeed, many talked about how they forget
or don't think to check about retroreflectivity when they are buying cycling or running
clothing, so that buying clothes with retroreflective material is not a deliberate decision.

- 247
- 248 249

"I've bought stuff online and not realised until I got it that it had retroreflective stuff on it." (FG Brisbane 5, female)

250

251 Some participants discussed how their running and cycling shoes have retroreflective material on the heels but few had considered the conspicuity benefits. Some talked about 252 retroreflective strips on shoes and clothes being too small to be seen at distance and so 253 therefore not an effective way of increasing conspicuity. There was discussion regarding how 254 some brands have retroreflective detailing on a garment's seams or on a logo and how this 255 suggests that retroreflective strips are a design feature rather than a safety feature. Some were 256 aware of jackets and rucksacks made entirely out of retroreflective material and most firmly 257 believed that increasing the amount of retroreflective material in a garment would increase its 258 conspicuity. Alongside this, some participants were aware of apparel accessories such as 259 socks, gloves and arm bands with retroreflective trimming but wore these items primarily for 260 protection from the weather. Only three participants suggested that retroreflective materials 261 "that move with you", such as ankle bands, are effective. No other participants were aware of 262

biomotion. Most considered retroreflective strips in clothing as "nice to have" rather than 263 essential. 264 265

"The shorts that I have actually have a reflective strip on the back; it's something that 266 just came with them. I didn't think about it when I bought them but I guess it is 267 probably good because I run on the roads a lot." (FG Brisbane 3, female) 268

269

#### Route choice 270

271 Participants talked about how they usually choose routes that are brightly lit as a strategy to increase their conspicuity. For runners, however, this often means that they run alongside 272 main roads and so encounter more traffic. They believed this to be safer than running on quiet 273 roads, which might put their personal safety at risk. Some talked about choosing routes with 274 low levels of traffic when they know their conspicuity is low. 275

276

2

277	"As a lady I wouldn't be running while it's dark at night on my own so I'm always
278	running by the side of the road [under street lights]." (FG Leeds 1, female)
279	

"If I go for a ride at night I will try and like, I know I'm wearing dark clothes so like I'll try and use routes that aren't heavily trafficked." (FG Brisbane 1, male)

282

280

281

#### 2. Importance 283

284 This theme describes participants' perceptions of the importance of trying to increase their conspicuity in relation to other considerations. While they believed that conspicuity would 285 increase their safety on the roads, there are tensions, with other considerations that may mean 286 that conspicuity is considered as relatively less important, or that the behaviour of other road 287 users means that it is not as effective as it might be. 288

289

#### Safety 290

This sub-theme is about how being visible to other road users is essential to stay safe on the 291 roads. While all participants talked about the importance of conspicuity, those who had been 292 involved in a collision, either as a runner or a cyclist, were particularly keen to be 293 conspicuous. Cyclists believed conspicuity to be more important than did runners, although 294 the context of their ride influenced perceptions of the importance of conspicuity, with 295

conspicuity being described as less important when riding in a group. Indeed, participants 296

talked about the importance of wearing "club kit" on a group ride, which is rarely designed 297 for conspicuity. 298 299 "I've got dark cycling gear and I'll wear that if I'm in a big group but if I'm by myself 300 *I will pick out brighter colours." (FG Brisbane 5, male)* 301 302 In contrast, conspicuity during a commute ride was perceived as being especially important: 303 participants talked about how drivers are less likely to notice a single cyclist, and commuting 304 305 drivers may be tired or distracted so less likely to actively look out for cyclists. 306 "Commuting seems to be a more dangerous time because people are rushing about 307 trying to get to work in the car. It generally busier and you know people aren't always 308 taking as much time or driving as well as they might do." (FG Leeds 1, female) 309 310 Runners talked less about conspicuity being important, with many noting that most collisions 311 happen when runners cross the road without looking. However, more concerns about 312 conspicuity were raised by those who run on the road on routes without sidewalks (paved 313 314 paths for pedestrians at the side of the road). Parents who run or ride with their children were more concerned about their children's conspicuity than they were about their own. 315 316 "I just think he [my 10-year-old] needs to be so visible when we run just in case he 317 misses something or someone is flying around the corner. Because sometimes he will 318 just step out and look this way but then someone could just, you know what I mean. I 319 just think it's definitely really important to be really really visible." (FG Leeds 2, 320 male) 321 322 **Tensions** 323 This sub-theme describes factors that reduce the perceived importance of conspicuity. The 324 main issue discussed by cyclists was the attitudes and behaviours of drivers. Many cyclists 325 described drivers failing to notice them, often despite looking directly at them. All cyclists 326 talked about experiencing close passes, and many believed that drivers sometimes do this 327

328 deliberately.

330	"I've had so many experiences where I've had drivers looking directly at me and I've got
331	bright flashing lights on the front and they still don't see me." (FG Brisbane 2, female)
332	
333	"Never trust a motorist because they're not looking out for you. All they want to do is get
334	home after the end of their commute or whatever. They will purposefully cut cyclists up.
335	There are people who will literally park in the gutter to try to stop you from going up the
336	inside because you'll gain a metre." (FG Leeds 3, male)
337	
338	There was considerable discussion about how driver behaviour sometimes makes it feel that
339	it is pointless for cyclists to try to increase conspicuity. Some participants talked about how
340	they do not agree that the emphasis should be on cyclists or runners making themselves more
341	visible. Instead, it should be up to drivers to actively look for other road users and for
342	authorities to design safer junctions and install more bike paths.
343	
344	"I think are think there are two possibilities, one is the personal possibility for high visibility
345	I think on the other side there is the responsibility from the government that they are
346	responsible for good visibility, for good lit junctions and especially the point where we have
347	accidents." (FG Brisbane 6, male)
348	
349	There were several discussions about that drivers tend to be more considerate around cyclists
350	who look less experienced or less safety conscious, so that wearing high visibility clothing
351	that looks more professional could paradoxically put them at greater risk.
352	
353	"The more you look like a daggy commuter I think the more cars will avoid
354	you." (FG Brisbane 2, male)
355	
356	''I don't want to look like a cyclist. I want to look like a tradesman who's going
357	somewhere." (FG Brisbane 6, male)
358	
359	Some of the runners talked about how cyclists on shared paths represent a significant hazard,
360	and how cyclists often have little regard for runners. In the Brisbane groups, electric scooters
361	were also identified as a hazard.

363	"Cyclists can be a bit more aggressive on shared paths because they're the bigger
364	thing. They'll yell at you to get out of the way and I'm literally like: I've got nowhere
365	to go, so you can literally slow down a bit, wait for a point to go around me." (FG
366	Brisbane 3, female)
367	
368	"The amount of scooters where I've yelled at people, nearly got taken out and watch
369	them nearly take out me." (Brisbane, 3, Female)
370	
371	Practicality and Style
372	Another tension, discussed by both cyclists and runners, was that comfort and durability are
373	more important than conspicuity when buying clothing. Participants discussed how clothing
374	should be appropriately warm or cool, sweat wicking, with a good fit and style. Black was
375	thought to be a practical colour as it does not show dirt or sweat. There were concerns that
376	retroreflective strips would cause chaffing, compromise the fit, would make them overheat,
377	would require the garment to have long sleeves or pants, or mean that the garment can't be
378	washed as often. All of these disadvantages were perceived as being more important than a
379	potential increase in conspicuity.
380	
381	"When you're buying you don't really think of visibility. It's more the look and the
382	comfort of it." (FG Brisbane 4, male)
383	
384	Cost was also a consideration, where few participants would be prepared to pay significantly
385	more for clothing that increases their conspicuity. Some participants did not have specific
386	clothes for cycling and wear the clothes they will be working or socialising in, which are not
387	optimised for conspicuity, thus any safety elements would need to be subtle.
388	$(1, \dots, 1) = (1, \dots, n) = (1, \dots, n) = (1, \dots, 1) = (1, \dots, 1) = (1, \dots, n) = (1, \dots, n)$
389	1 woulan i pay more for reflective but 1 ao inink il s'à good laed. (FG Brisbane 4)
390	If I then all the set it was that it with a set way fill ways faw a issue of it's wat a latte
391	If I thought about it was that it might cost you \$40 more for a jersey, it's not a lot to
392	pay to potentially reduce the chance of getting htt. (FG Brisbane 2, male)
394	Personal Safety
395	Some runners, particularly female, talked about how they prefer <i>not</i> to be visible when they
396	are wearing running clothing. For some, this is because they are embarrassed about their
570	are meaning ranning croaning. I or some, and is coolade they are embarrassed about them

appearance and they would rather not attract attention to themselves. Others, were concernedthat they would be a target for crime when running alone.

"I run in busy areas, so well lit like main roads. I don't run on back roads in the dark

- so during the day I'll do like suburbs but like at night I'll do main roads." (FG 401 Brisbane 4, female) 402 403 "I'd prefer to be low visibility in that I'm not noticeable because I'm slow and I do 404 like listening to music when I run so I think that's also a safety thing. So I think 405 actually I'm all in black no one is going to see me." (FG Brisbane, 1, female) 406 407 408 3.2 Quantitative Results 409 Our small group of participants rated both comfort (M = -3.47, SD = 3.51) and style (M = -410 411 2.03, SD = 4.52) as relatively more important than visibility. A one-way between subjects ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of group membership (cyclist, runner or 412 mixed) on the importance of visibility when compared with comfort and style. There was a 413 significant effect of group membership for the comfort vs. visibility ratings (F(2,32) = 5.88, p)414 = .007) (Figure 1). Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test revealed no significant 415 differences between the mixed groups (M = -3.16, SD = 3.89) and the runners and cyclists 416 groups. However, the mean score for runners (M = -5.79, SD = 3.26) was significantly lower 417 than cyclists (M = -1.58, SD = 2.10); p = .005. While all groups were more concerned about 418 comfort, runners are more concerned about comfort and less concerned about style than 419 cyclists. 420
- 421

399





Figure 1. Boxplots of participants' ranking of the importance of visibility compared to
comfort and style, when purchasing sports clothing for the Cyclists, Runners and Mixed (both
a runner and cyclist) groups.

427

There was also a significant effect of group membership for visibility vs. style (F(42,32) =4.19, p = .024) (Figure 1). Post hoc comparisons revealed no significant differences between the mixed groups (M = -3.51, SD = 4.83) and the runners and cyclists groups. However, the mean score for runners (M = -3.67, SD = 3.18) was significantly lower than for cyclists (M =0.60, SD = 4.36); p = .039, indicating that cyclists rate visibility as more important than style relative to the runners.

434

# 435 **4. Discussion**

We explored perceptions of conspicuity under low light conditions for cyclists and runners. 436 Two main themes were identified in the focus groups: Strategies and Importance. The first 437 theme, Strategies, describes what cyclists and runners do to increase their conspicuity under 438 low light conditions i.e. dawn, dusk or nighttime. This theme incorporated four subthemes of 439 Lights, Colour, Retroreflective Material and Route Choice. The second theme, Importance, 440 revealed participant's perceptions of the importance of trying to increase their conspicuity in 441 relation to other considerations. This theme also incorporated four subthemes of Safety, 442 Tensions, Practicality and Style and Personal Safety. 443

Overall, the results demonstrate that there was a belief that lights are the most effective way 444 to increase conspicuity, with cyclists relying heavily on bicycle lights in order to be seen. 445 There was considerable discussion about the relative efficacy of flashing versus constant or 446 static bicycle lights. Some participants believed that flashing lights decreased conspicuity, 447 whereas others thought that flashing increased conspicuity through attracting drivers' 448 attention. Survey-based research indicates that bicycle lights are rated as being more visible 449 to drivers by participants who are cyclists, than by participants who are drivers themselves, 450 particularly at night (Wood, Lacherez et al. 2009). Indeed, a bicycle light, whether static or 451 452 flashing, did not improve drivers' ability to recognise that a cyclist was present on the road ahead in studies undertaken on a closed road circuit (that is free of other traffic) at night-time 453 (Wood, Tyrrell et al. 2012). There was also no discussion in the focus groups of the fact that 454 lights provide drivers with only limited distance cues, so they cannot identify how far away a 455 cyclist or runner is. Indeed, research has demonstrated that a tri-light formation can provide 456 cues regarding approach speeds under low light conditions (Gould et al., 2012). Thus while 457 bicycle lights may alert drivers that there is something on the road ahead, it does not allow 458 459 them to recognise that it is a cyclist or runner, nor their distance away.

460

461 Many participants discussed how they relied on colour to increase their conspicuity at night and believed that brightly coloured clothing and fluorescent clothing is effective, even under 462 low light conditions. This finding is consistent with previous survey results (Wood, Lacherez 463 et al. 2009), and quantitative research on a driving circuit (Wood, Tyrrell et al. 2013), that 464 also found that cyclists overestimate the effectiveness of fluorescent clothing at night. 465 Additionally, participants favoured having colour on their bike or helmet to increase 466 conspicuity. It has been suggested that one potential reason for this preference might be the 467 Helmholtz-Kohlraush effect, where intense saturation of the spectral hue is perceived as part 468 of the colour's luminance, hence people believe that bright colours will increase conspicuity. 469 However, research has demonstrated that the Helmholtz effect diminishes when ambient 470 illumination is low (Ikeda and Ashizawa 1991; Stalmeier and de Weert 1994; Sayer, Mefford 471 et al. 1998; Sayer, Mefford et al. 1999). 472

473

474 One of the most important elements identified from the focus groups was that few

475 participants acknowledged the importance of retroreflective clothing in low light conditions.

The majority had not considered retroreflective material as being an effective means of

477 increasing conspicuity. Furthermore, there was a clear consensus among participants that a

larger surface area of retroreflective material increases conspicuity and there was discussion 478 of the benefits of jackets and rucksacks made entirely out of retroreflective material. This 479 finding is consistent with previous research which identified that cyclists rated wearing a 480 retroreflective vest as being more effective for increasing conspicuity over and above the use 481 of retroreflective strips worn on the moveable joints (Wood, Lacherez et al. 2009). However, 482 retroreflective vests have been demonstrated to be significantly less effective for increasing 483 conspicuity, as a high concentration of retroreflective material is limited to the torso, 484 subsequently delivering less motion information to approaching motorists (Wood, Tyrrell et 485 486 al. 2013). In contrast, wearing retroreflective strips on the moveable joints creates the effect of biomotion, where a driver can actually recognise that a human is present, rather than 487 misinterpreting the illuminance for a sign or a boulder. In one closed road study conducted 488 under low-beam headlight conditions, drivers recognised the presence of a pedestrian at a 489 distance that was more than 20 times further away when the pedestrians wore clothing 490 incorporating retroreflective material in a biomotion configuration, as compared to wearing 491 black clothing (148 m vs 6 m respectively) (Wood, Tyrrell et al. 2005). 492

493

Other interesting findings included that the selection of more brightly lit running routes in
order to try and increase their conspicuity for a few participants. However, this often meant
that they run alongside main roads, which exposes them to more traffic.

497

All participants talked about the importance of conspicuity, however, those who had been 498 involved in a collision, either as a runner or cyclist, were more motivated to be conspicuous. 499 While these perceptions of the importance of conspicuity are encouraging, individuals should 500 not have to experience a potentially fatal crash in order to recognise these concepts. 501 Moreover, cyclists believed that conspicuity whilst commuting is essential, as drivers may be 502 tired or distracted and less likely to actively look out for other road users. However, a number 503 of cyclists noted that when riding in a group, the emphasis on the importance of conspicuity 504 decreases. This finding supports previous research that investigated the differences in safety 505 perceptions between cyclists and drivers. Indeed, research has indicated that cyclists rate 506 riding in a pack to be significantly safer than drivers' perceptions of cyclists safety when 507 riding in a pack (King, Wood et al. 2012). These authors concluded that one's self-508 identification as a cyclist is associated with interpreting one's cycling behaviour as being 509 safer than drivers consider it to be. This can be linked to the idea of a 'pack mentality' and 510 the misperception of 'safety in numbers' when cyclists ride in groups. When riding in a 511

512 group, cyclists may become less aware of their surroundings and less concerned for safety 513 compared to when cycling alone, where they are solely responsible for looking out for 514 motorists. This can be linked to the social psychology phenomenon of Social Loafing, where 515 there is a tendency for individuals to expend less effort when working collectively compared 516 to when working individually (Karau and Williams 1993).

517

Additionally, runners commented that the majority of collisions occur when runners cross the 518 road without looking. Therefore, runners who had to interact with roads or motorists at some 519 520 point in their run were more concerned about conspicuity than runners who solely run on offroad paths. In terms of research evidence, there are no available statistics regarding the 521 number of pedestrian casualties that occur while undertaking exercise such as running at the 522 time of their collision with a vehicle. However, there are numerous anecdotal accounts in the 523 media regarding the number of runners killed or injured at night-time and the fact that that 524 these incidents commonly occur when runners are crossing the road. 525

526

Numerous discussions explored the tensions between cyclists and drivers, with cyclists noting 527 that drivers often fail to notice them, even when directly looking at them. This phenomenon 528 529 has been termed "looked-but-failed-to-see" (Herslund and Jorgensen 2003), where drivers fail to detect a cyclist in time to prevent the crash, even though they report that they had 530 correctly looked in the direction of the cyclist. This late (or non) detection of cyclists 531 highlights that lack of conspicuity may be a critical contributing factor to their crash 532 533 involvement (Lacherez, Wood et al. 2013), however, it also confirms cyclists' beliefs that regardless of what they wear, drivers may fail to see them. Indeed, many of the cyclists that 534 participated in the focus groups believed that drivers deliberately pass close to cyclists to 535 unnerve them and this antisocial behaviour leads cyclists to believe that increasing 536 conspicuity is pointless. Interestingly, some cyclists believed that motorists give more room 537 to cyclists who look more 'inexperienced' when overtaking than those who dress in sports 538 clothing, although there is evidence that this does not occur in practice (Walker, Garrard et al. 539 2014; Debnath, Haworth et al. 2018). Furthermore, many participants suggested that 540 emphasis should not be placed on cyclists and runners to make themselves more visible, but 541 that drivers should actively look out for other road users and government authorities should 542 design safer junctions and increase the amount of bike paths. 543

It was clear across all groups, that the practicalities of the garment outweigh the importance 545 of conspicuity. The consensus was that it does not matter how visible the garment is: if it is 546 not comfortable, no one will wear it. Conspicuity was almost unanimously considered to be 547 an added benefit rather than a core criterion when choosing exercise clothing. A quantitative 548 approach allowed us to identify which group of road users (runners, cyclists or mixed) are 549 more likely to prefer clothing that offers comfort or style over visibility. While these results 550 are based only on a small sample they demonstrate that both cyclists and runners believe 551 comfort to be more important than visibility. Runners also rated style as more important than 552 553 visibility. Overall, cyclists rated visibility as slightly more important than style, although there was a wide variation in responses. This is perhaps because cyclists, by nature of 554 spending more time on roads and in traffic than runners, have more exposure to drivers and 555 therefore are more aware of their vulnerability. However, there are many more pedestrians 556 than cyclists, with the World Health Organisation (WHO) estimating that pedestrians account 557 of 22% of all road deaths internationally, with more than 270,000 pedestrian's fatalities per 558 annum (World Health Organisation 2013). Therefore, it is imperative that while advertising 559 560 needs to be aimed at both cyclists and runners, it is the latter, as well as pedestrians who commonly walk on roads under low light conditions, that need most convincing. 561 562 Additionally, aspects such as cost and durability were raised at being important factors that play into purchasing behaviour. Concerns regarding whether retroreflective strips would 563 decrease the durability of a garment were also raised. 564

565

An interesting and unexpected finding was the perception of personal safety and conspicuity 566 in low light conditions. Some runners, particularly female runners, expressed the desire to be 567 invisible at night when running alone because of the threat of being attacked and so preferred 568 to wear black. Moreover, they talked about feeling safer running next to a busy main road 569 than a road with less traffic. This concept is particularly concerning, as women identified that 570 they wore black to be invisible to potential attackers yet also run next to a busy main road. 571 While main roads may provide the illusion of safety, this is paradoxical, as motorists 572 typically fail to see runners wearing black, thus increasing the risk of a collision on busy 573 roads (Tyrrell et al., 2016). 574

575

576 While the risk of actually being attacked when running is relatively low, a survey of 2,533 577 women revealed that 58% of women under 30 were subjected to harassment whilst running 578 (Kita and Smith 2017). It seems that for many female runners, being invisible for personal safety reasons outweighs the importance of being visible to oncoming traffic. This is a
relevant and pertinent finding that must be explored in future research, in order to evaluate
how women can increase their visibility to motorists without compromising their personal
safety, and also the role of road lighting in enhancing perceptions of personal safety (Fotios,

583 Unwin et al. 2015). This, however, would be a short-term strategy as in the long term,

interventions need to be directed towards the perpetrators in order to change their behaviour

and prevent harassment and crime against women in general.

- 586
- 587

# 588 4.1. Strengths and limitations

The strength of the study was in recruiting participants with a wide range of running and 589 cycling experiences under low light levels and at nighttime from two cities that differ in both 590 climate and cycling uptake. We also included cyclists who commute and also those who 591 cycle only for leisure. However, as with all qualitative studies, there are limitations based on 592 the number of participants. Although nine focus groups is relatively large for a qualitative 593 study, our results are nevertheless based only on 50 people. While the discussions reached 594 saturation (i.e. no further new findings) before the final group, which provided confidence 595 596 that the results were based on a sufficiently diverse range of experiences, the study is limited in the extent to which it can be generalised to other cities. The small sample size also affects 597 the generalisability of the quantitative findings, and further research with larger, population-598 based sampling would be useful to further examine the trade-offs between comfort, style, and 599 600 conspicuity.

601

# 602 *4.2 Conclusions*

In conclusion, we found that cyclists and runners are largely unaware of effective strategies to increase their night-time conspicuity. Importantly, few participants acknowledged the importance of retroreflective clothing in low light conditions, particularly the use of retroreflective strips in the biomotion configuration. In addition, despite being aware of the importance of conspicuity for their safety under low light levels and at night, participants tended to prioritise style or comfort over conspicuity.

- 609
- 610

611 *4.3. Future Directions* 

- The lack of recognition of the biomotion effect suggests that future research needs to explore 612 cyclists' and runners' attitudes towards garments which incorporate the biomotion 613 configuration and what would motivate them to wear these garments. Additionally, this study 614 highlighted that more research is needed regarding women's safety at night and how the 615 balance between being visible to motorists and being invisible to potential threats needs to be 616 navigated. Further research is also warranted around climatic variations, where the use of 617 retroreflective biomotion features are restricted for short-sleeved tops and short pants which 618 are often preferred in warm weather. In addition, further research is needed on supporting 619 620 garment labelling or product information to better enhance consumer knowledge, with the consequential likelihood of increased uptake (purchase) of exercise clothing that increases 621 safety in low light conditions. 622
- 623

# 624 **5. Acknowledgements**

- 625 This study was supported by an Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation and HASS
- 626 Collaborative Incentive Grant Scheme.

### 6. References 627

- Braun, V. and V. Clarke (2006). "Using thematic analysis in psychology." Qualitative 628
- Research in Psychology 3(2): 77-101. 629
- Braun, V and Clarke V. (2019) Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. Qualitative 630
- Research in Sport, Exercise and Health 11:4, 589-597. 631
- Carver, A., A. Timperio, et al. (2010). "Are children and adolescents less active if parents 632
- restrict their physical activity and active transport due to perceived risk?" Soc Sci Med 633 70(11): 1799-1805. 634
- Daley, M., C. Rissel, et al. (2007). "All dressed up and nowhere to go? A qualitative research 635
- study of the barriers and enablers to cycling in inner Sydney." Road & Transport Research 636 **16**: 42-52. 637
- Debnath, A. K., N. Haworth, et al. (2018). "Factors influencing noncompliance with bicycle 638 passing distance laws." Accid Anal Prev 115: 137-142. 639
- Fotios, S., J. Unwin, et al. (2015). "Road lighting and pedestrian reassurance after dark: A 640
- review." Lighting Research Technology 47: 448-469. 641
- Ghekiere, A., J. Van Cauwenberg, et al. (2014). "Critical environmental factors for 642
- transportation cycling in children: a qualitative study using bike-along interviews." PLoS One 643 **9**(9): e106696. 644
- Hagel, B. E., A. Lamy, et al. (2007). "The prevalence and reliability of visibility aid and other 645
- risk factor data for uninjured cyclists and pedestrians in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada." 646
- Accident Analysis & Prevention 39: 284-289. 647
- Hamer, M. and Y. Chida (2008). "Active commuting and cardiovascular risk: A meta-648
- analytic review." Preventive Medicine 46: 9-13. 649
- Henley, G. and J. Harrison (2009). Serious injury due to land transport accidents, Australia 650
- 2006-07. No. 53. Cat. No. INJCAT 129., Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 651
- 652 Canberra, Australia.
- Herslund, M. B. and N. O. Jorgensen (2003). "Looked-but-failed-to-see-errors in traffic." 653
- Accident Analysis & Prevention 35: 885-891. 654
- Hollenberg, D., Ferguson, T., Borean, M., Anpalagan, T., Rzepka, A., Viehweger, J., Chow, 655
- R. (2018). "Helmet use of young adults in Halifax, Canada." International Journal of Child 656
- Health and Human Development 11: 159-167. 657
- Ikeda, M. and S. Ashizawa (1991). "Equivalent lightness of colored objects of equal munsell 658
- chroma and of equal munsell value at various illuminances." 16(2): 72-80. 659
- Jaermark, S., N. P. Gregersen, et al. (1991). The use of bicycle lights, TFB & VTI 660
- Forskning/Research. 661
- Johansson, G. (1973). "Visual perception of biological motion and a model for its analysis." 662
- Perception & Psychophysics 14(2): 201-211. 663
- Johansson, G. (1975). "Visual motion perception." Scientific American 232: 78-89. 664
- Johansson, O., P. O. Wanvik, et al. (2009). "A new method for assessing the risk of accident 665 associated with darkness." Accident Analysis & Prevention 41(4): 809-815.
- 666
- Joint Technical Committee, S. (1999). Australian/New Zealand Standard: High 20 Visibility 667 Safety Garments. AS/NZS 4602:1999. Homebush, Australia, Standards 21 Australia.
- 668 Karau, S. J. and K. D. Williams (1993). "Social loafing: A meta-analytic review and 669
- theoretical integration." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 65(4): 681-706. 670
- 671 King, M. J. and J. M. Wood (2013). "Translating vision research into policy and practice to
- improve the visibility, and hence safety, of road workers at night." Road & Transport 672
- Research 22: 62-71. 673
- King, M. J., J. M. Wood, et al. (2012). "Optimism about safety and group-serving 674
- interpretations of safety among pedestrians and cyclists in relation to road use in general and 675
- under low light conditions." Accident; analysis and prevention 44(1): 154-159. 676

- 677 Kita, M. and P. Smith. (2017). "Running while female." Hearst Magazine Media.
- 678 Kwan, I. and J. Mapstone (2004). "Visibility aids for pedestrians and cyclists: a systematic
- 679 review of randomised controlled trials." <u>Accident Analysis & Prevention</u> **36**: 305-312.
- Lacherez, P., J. M. Wood, et al. (2013). "Visibility-related characteristics of crashes
- 681 involving bicyclists and motor vehicles Responses from an online questionnaire study."
- 682 <u>Transportation Research Part F-Traffic Psychology and Behaviour</u> 20: 52-58.
- Lahrmann, H., Madsen, T. K. O., Olesen, A. V., Madsen, J. C. O., & Hels, T. (2018). The effect of a yellow bicycle jacket on cyclist accidents. Safety science, 108, 209-217.
- Lajunen, T. (2016). "Barriers and facilitators of bicycle helmet use among children and their
- 686 parents." <u>Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour</u> **41**: 294-301.
- Owens, D. A. and M. Sivak (1996). "Differentiation of visibility and alcohol as contributors
   to twilight road fatalities." <u>Hum Factors</u> 38(4): 680-689.
- 689 Sayer, J. R., M. L. Mefford, et al. (1999). Effects of color on the detection of retroreflective
- 690 pedestrian markings by normal and color deficient drivers., The University of Michigan691 Transportation Research Institute: 28.
- 692 Sayer, J. R., M. L. Mefford, et al. (1998). Effects of retroreflective marking color on
- pedestrian detection distance, The University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute:15.
- 695 Stalmeier, P. F. M. and C. M. M. de Weert (1994). "On the conditions affecting the
- 696 contribution of color to brightness perception." **19**(3): 192-201.
- 697 Sullivan, J. M. and M. J. Flannagan (2002). "The role of ambient light level in fatal crashes:
- inferences from daylight saving time transitions." <u>Accident Analysis and Prevention</u> 34(4):
   487-498.
- Twisk, D. A. and M. Reurings (2013). "An epidemiological study of the risk of cycling in the
- dark: the role of visual perception, conspicuity and alcohol use." <u>Accident Analysis &</u>
  Prevention **60**: 134-140.
- Tyrrell, R. A., J. M. Wood, et al. (2016). "The conspicuity of pedestrians at night: a review."
   Clinical & Experimental Optometry 99(5): 425-434.
- 705 Walker, I., I. Garrard, et al. (2014). "The influence of a bicycle commuter's appearance on
- drivers' overtaking proximities: an on-road test of bicyclist stereotypes, high-visibility
- clothing and safety aids in the United Kingdom." <u>Accid Anal Prev</u> 64: 69-77.
- Wood, J. M., P. F. Lacherez, et al. (2009). "Drivers' and cyclists' experiences of sharing the
- road: incidents, attitudes and perceptions of visibility." <u>Accident Analysis & Prevention</u> **41**(4): 772-776.
- Wood, J. M., R. A. Tyrrell, et al. (2005). "Limitations in drivers' ability to recognize
- pedestrians at night." <u>Human Factors</u> **47**(3): 644-653.
- 713 Wood, J. M., R. A. Tyrrell, et al. (2013). "Bicyclists overestimate their own night-time
- conspicuity and underestimate the benefits of retroreflective markers on the moveable joints."
   A coident A polysis & Provention 55: 48: 53
- 715 <u>Accident Analysis & Prevention</u> **55**: 48-53.
- 716 Wood, J. M., R. A. Tyrrell, et al. (2012). "Using reflective clothing to enhance the
- 717 conspicuity of bicyclists at night." <u>Accident Analysis & Prevention</u> **45**: 726-730.
- 718 World Health Organisation (2013). "Make walking safe: A brief overview of pedestrian
- 719 safety around the world."
- 720
- 721
- 722
- 723
- 724
- 725