

Citation:

Curtis, C and Arjomandkhah, N and Cooke, C and Ranchordas, MK and Russell, M (2022) Season-Long Changes in the Body Composition Profiles of Competitive Female Rugby Union Players Assessed via Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 93 (3). pp. 601-607. ISSN 0270-1367 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2021.1886226

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/10733/

Document Version: Article (Accepted Version)

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport on 03 July 2022, available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2021.1886226

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Section: Original Research

Article Title: Season-long changes in the body composition profiles of competitive female Rugby Union players

Authors: Curtis, C.^{a,b,*}, Arjomandkhah, N.^a, Cooke, C.^a, Ranchordas, M.K.^c, Russell, M.^a

Affiliations: ^aSchool of Social and Health Sciences, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, LS18 5HD, United Kingdom. ^bLondon Sports Institute, Middlesex University, London, NW4 4BT, United Kingdom. ^cAcademy of Sport & Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, S1 1WB, United Kingdom

Running Head: Body Composition Changes in Female Rugby Union

Journal: Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport

Corresponding Author: Christopher Curtis, London Sport Institute, Middlesex University, Hendon, London, NW4 4BT. Telephone: (+44) 20 8411 4491 Email: <u>c.curtis@mdx.ac.uk</u>

Abstract: 231 Word Count: 2,751 Number of Figures: 0 Number of Tables: 1

ABSTRACT:

Background: Reference data for the body composition values of female athletes are limited to very few sports, with female Rugby Union players having mostly been omitted from such analyses.

Methods: Using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans, this study assessed the body composition profiles (body mass, bone mineral content; BMC, fat mass; FM, lean mass; LM, bone mineral density; BMD) of 15 competitive female Rugby Union players before and after the 2018/19 competitive season. Total competitive match-play minutes were also recorded for each player.

Results: Body mass (73.7±9.6 kg vs 74.9±10.2 kg, p≤0.05, *d*=0.13) and BMC (3.2±0.4 kg vs 3.3±0.4 kg, p≤0.05, d=0.15) increased pre- to post-season for all players. Conversely, FM (21.0±8.8 kg), LM (50.7±3.9 kg), and BMD (1.31±0.06 g·cm⁻²) were similar between time-points (all p>0.05). Accounting for position, body mass ($r_{partial(12)} = 0.196$), FM ($r_{partial(12)} = -0.013$), LM ($r_{partial(12)} = 0.351$), BMD ($r_{partial(12)} = 0.168$) and BMC ($r_{partial(12)} = -0.204$) showed no correlation (all p>0.05) against match-play minutes.

Conclusion: The demands of the competitive season influenced specific body composition indices (i.e., body mass, BMC) in female Rugby Union players; a finding which was unrelated to the number of minutes played in matches. While the causes of such differences remain unclear, practitioners should be cognisant of the body composition changes occurring throughout a female Rugby Union competitive season and, where necessary, consider modifying variables associated with adaptation and recovery accordingly.

Key Words: Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry, female athlete, team sport, bone mineral density

1. INTRODUCTION:

Rugby Union (RU) is a field-based contact team sport characterized by repeated brief bouts of high-intensity exercise (i.e., running, sprinting, tackling, scrummaging, rucking, and mauling) interspersed with periods of low to moderate intensity activities (i.e., standing, walking, and jogging) ¹. In recent years, the popularity of female rugby has increased significantly ²; now being played in over 100 countries ¹. Both males and females (15-a-side) play for 80 min under the same rules and with the same equipment. However, in contrast to their male counterparts ^{3,4,5} data pertaining to the match-play demands of 15-a-side female RU is limited ^{1,6}.

Body composition is often assessed to provide an indication of an athlete's fitness and health status ⁷. Traditionally, body composition is estimated using two- (e.g., skinfolds; SF, bioelectrical impedance; BIA, air displacement plethysmography; ADP) and more recently, three- (e.g., BIA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; DXA) compartment models to calculate fat-free mass (FFM), lean mass (LM), fat mass (FM), and in the case of DXA, bone mineral content (BMC) ^{7,8,9}. Anthropometric measurement techniques provide an accurate measure of body composition, and tracking changes in such indices can be useful for evaluating the effectiveness of dietary and/or conditioning interventions. Furthermore, increases in LM within RU have benefitted performance ^{10,11}. Notably, increased LM may positively influence the power-to-weight ratios of players during match-play and improve key success predictors such as; momentum, strength, power, and speed ^{11,12}. It is therefore beneficial to have accurate information concerning measures of body composition in athletes for both health and performance purposes.

When compared to other methodologies of measuring body composition, DXA is widely regarded as the gold standard non-invasive method of measuring FM, FFM and separating FFM in to LM and bone ¹³. Likewise, the method has also shown greater accuracy when ascertaining body composition measures relative to ADP ¹⁴, BIA ¹⁵ and SF ¹⁶ analyses, with DXA being highly correlated with both magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography when measuring muscle mass ¹⁷. By accounting for the variability in bone density that often exists in this population, DXA may also be a superior methodology for use with athletic females ⁷. However, current reference values for the body composition of females when assessed by DXA are

limited to a small number of sports such as soccer ¹⁸, track and field ^{19, 20}, basketball ²¹ and softball ²².

While physical and body composition data exists for elite female Rugby League (RL) players ²³, with significant differences in body mass, LM, FM and body fat percentage existing between forwards and backs, body composition values assessed by DXA for the 15-a-side format of female RU are scarce. Santos et al., ²⁴ collated body composition data from both SF and DXA scans in 21 different sports (including both males and females), but no information was presented for female RU players ²⁴. Notably, Harty et al. 25 published body composition data of female collegiate RU players via DXA and reported significant differences between forwards and backs in all measured variables; findings which disagree with those of elite Scottish female RU players when measured via ADP²⁶. Such contrasts may be associated with ADP being a two-component model of body composition which demonstrates a higher error (up to 13%) compared to DXA ¹⁴. Acknowledging such equivocal findings, the aim of this study was to assess seasonal changes in the body composition of female RU players. Furthermore, to provide novel insight, we sought to also investigate whether changes in such values occurred as a result of involvement in a competitive playing season.

2. METHODS:

2.1 Experimental Approach to the Problem:

Using an observational approach, body composition was measured via DXA scan within competitive female RU players in both the pre-season (i.e., August 2018) and immediately post-season (i.e., March 2019) periods of the 2018/19 competitive calendar. Body composition variables of body mass, FM, LM, bone mineral density (BMD) and BMC were collected via DXA scans during pre-season. Measurements were repeated at the end of the same competitive season. Notably, DXA technology offers high precision and reliability when compared to other body composition methods such as BIA and anthropometry ^{17,27}, and all scans were performed by the same qualified technician on each occasion. Throughout the duration of the competitive season, dietary intake was administered as per the club nutritionist's direction. Players were recommended to adopt diets in a periodized manner adhering to 1.2 - 2.0 g·kg⁻¹·BM·d⁻¹ protein, ~5 g·kg⁻¹·BM·d⁻¹ carbohydrate and 20-35% of daily energy intake from fats.

2.2 Participants:

All participants (n=15, age: 27±5 years, height: 169±5 cm, weight: 73.7±9.6 kg) were recruited from a team competing in the highest tier of female RU in the United Kingdom (i.e., Women's Premiership League). Six of the participants were professional, international players (International caps: 26±23). The study obtained institutional ethical approval and informed consent was sought from participants prior to study involvement.

2.3 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA):

For each measurement, participants were asked to attend the laboratory in a rested state and having fasted overnight. This was to eliminate changes in lean and total mass that corresponded to a volume of food/drink consumed prior to scanning ²⁸. Before measurements were taken, participants were screened for any existing injuries and/or pregnancy that may have precluded them from the scan. Stature was

measured via portable stadiometer (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 1 mm and body mass was measured via calibrated weighing scales (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 0.1 kg. These data were inputted into the DXA computer for initial participant characteristics. DXA scans (DPX-L Lunar Prodigy, GE Medical Systems, Lunar Madison, Wisconsin, USA) assessed FM, LM, BMD and BMC through tissue X-ray absorption from two X-ray energy peaks ¹⁷ (enCORE 2008, version 12.30.008 software). Within the DXA procedure, participants were exposed to low levels of ionizing radiation (0.4 µGy per 1 full-body scan); thus posing minimal risk to health with exposures being comparable to that of everyday activity over a 24 h period at sea level ^{19,28}. During the scans, participants were required to lay supine on the DXA bed, with their hands in a pronated position by their sides (as per manufacturer instructions) and to wear minimal clothing to improve the accuracy of scan results as per the methods of Nana *et al.* ²⁷. These processes were repeated for the follow-up measures during the post-season period taken within four days of final playing encounter.

2.4 Match-Play Analysis:

Total match-play minutes were recorded over the 2018/19 competitive season from official match reports. A total of twenty competitive regular season women's RU matches were recorded; an average of one match played per week between September 2018 – March 2019, plus two additional play-off fixtures played between March 2019 – April 2019 (a maximum total of 160 min match-play minutes).

2.5 Statistical Analysis:

All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. In the case of whole group changes between pre and post-season values, data were analyzed via SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Normality was assessed via Shapiro-Wilks test. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was conducted to identify interaction and main effects. Where significant main effects were identified, Tukey's post hoc analysis and within-player paired t-tests were performed. Delta values of differences between pre- and post-

season measures of body mass, FM, LM, BMD and BMC were calculated, and controlling for position, a Pearson's partial correlation was used to determine total playing minutes against these variables. Effect sizes (ES) were calculated in accordance with Cohen's *d* ES principles (0 < ES < 0.2 = trivial, 0.2 < ES < 0.5 = small, 0.5 < ES < 0.8 = medium, > 0.8 = large)²⁹. An alpha level of p≤0.05 denoted significance. For the purposes of benchmarking positional data, and in the absence of inferential statistics due to insufficient statistical power, descriptive statistics are presented for each variable according to playing position (i.e., forwards vs backs).

3. RESULTS:

Body composition data can be seen in Table 1. Interaction effects were nonsignificant for all variables (all p>0.05). Significant time effects were observed across variables ($F_{(4,10)}$ = 4.734, p≤0.05, partial η^2 = 0.654). Specifically, body mass (Body mass_{Pre}: 73.7±9.6 kg, Body mass_{Post}: 74.9±10.2 kg, p≤0.05, *d*=0.13) and BMC (BMC_{Pre}: 3.23±0.35 kg, BMC_{Post}: 3.28±0.36 kg, p≤0.05, *d*=0.15) increased from preto post-season. Mean FM (20.1±8.3 vs 21.0±8.8 kg, *d*=0.11), LM (50.2±3.6 vs 50.7±3.9 kg, *d*=0.14) and BMD (1.30±0.07 g·cm⁻² vs 1.31±0.06 g·cm⁻², *d*=0.16) showed no differences between pre- and post-season measures (all p>0.05).

Mean average match-play durations for all participants (n=15) across the competitive season was 902±330 min. No differences were observed between positions for total match-play durations (Forwards: 790±298 min, Backs: 1030±338 min, p>0.05, d=0.81) or total full-game equivalents (Forwards: 10±4, Backs: 13±4, p>0.05, d=0.81). The relationships between match-play minutes and all DXA variables were not significant (Body mass: $r_{partial(12)} = 0.196$, p≥0.05, FM: $r_{partial(12)} = -0.013$, p≥0.05, LM: $r_{partial(12)} = 0.351$, p≥0.05, BMD: $r_{partial(12)} = 0.168$, p≥0.05 and BMC: $r_{partial(12)} = -0.204$, p≥0.05).

*****INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE*****

4. DISCUSSION:

The primary objective of this study was to profile the body composition profiles of female RU players before and after a competitive season. Secondly, via correlational analyses, we sought to also investigate whether changes in body composition values were related to the number of match-play minutes played. Although significant changes in body mass and BMC occurred over the course of a competitive season, ES analyses deemed these trivial. To the author's knowledge, this is the first study to investigate DXA-derived body composition changes of competitive female RU players throughout the course of a domestic season. Therefore, insight is offered into the benchmarks of body composition data of female RU players; findings which may have application to practitioners working with this specific sporting population.

Body mass increased by ~1.2 kg post-season relative to pre-season values; findings which despite being statistically significant were trivial as per ES analyses. Notably, the significant changes in body mass seen here support those observed previously in female Rugby Sevens ³⁰ and female 15-a-side RU players ^{25,31}. The congruent changes in BMC also reported at post-season are unlikely to explain such responses given the differences in magnitude (i.e., Δ body mass: ~1.2 kg, ES: 0.13; Δ BMC: ~0.05 kg, ES: 0.15). Although non-significant, contributions from LM and FM are therefore more likely. In support of this, despite a lack of statistical significance, increased FM and LM occurred between pre- and post-season (equivalent to trivial ES of 0.11 and 0.14, respectively). While it is unclear as to the practical significance of, and the exact reasoning underpinning such observations, reductions in training loads have previously been attributed to increases in FM towards the end of a season in male, elite RU players ³² and may offer insight to explain such changes in female RU players. Likewise, increased LM occurred in both male elite RU and Australian football which was attributed to exposure to resistance training throughout the pre- and competitive season respectively ^{11,32}, and may help explain the LM findings in the present study. Speculatively, and acknowledging that correlations to match-play minutes were non-significant, differences in body mass over the season may be attributed to specific match-play characteristics that RU players undertake ³⁰. For example, as body mass is correlated with increased scrum force ³³ and larger force magnitudes ³⁴, it may be suggested that larger athletes are either talentidentified or self-select to play in forward positions during their development, or that specific interventions have led to larger body masses versus backs ³⁰. Nevertheless, irrespective of the origins of such differences, the findings in this present study highlight that practitioners may also need to consider the effects of a competitive season on specific indices of body composition.

Increased BMC was also observed throughout the domestic season, but it must be noted that ES analyses deemed these trivial changes. These observations, both in terms of magnitude and direction, are supported by findings from female basketball (Baseline: 3.25±0.04 kg, Follow-Up: 3.30±0.04 kg; ¹⁹). Training that consists of weight-bearing exercise, high-impact exercises ³⁴ and associated RU-specific training and match-play, have previously been found to stimulate bone accretion ^{12,35}. Notably, bone mineral accrual mechanisms have been attributable to excess loading above accustomed levels during weight-bearing exercises ^{36,37}. Similarly, several modes of resistance exercise have been investigated in relation to BMD, with training involving high-intensity actions increasing BMD ³⁵. Such training modalities are commonplace in RU ³⁸, and reflect the activities undertaken by the players in the present study.

Our BMD findings were comparable with that of female basketball players ¹⁹ and higher than observed in female soccer ¹⁹. However, while specific body composition indices may need consideration when seeking to optimize performance in female RU, differences between pre- and post-season measures did not correlate against total match-play minutes. Collectively, these findings indicate that total match-play minutes alone have limited relationship to body composition when assessed in competitive female RU players. That said, ascertaining the potential role of other factors (e.g., training volume, match-play characteristics, dietary intake etc.) on markers of body composition remains to be investigated and presents itself as a future research opportunity.

Whilst a lack of statistical power precluded an inferential statistical approach to position-specific data analyses, the dearth of literature presently available presents an opportunity to provide practitioners with descriptive statistics regarding position-specific body composition findings (Table 1). Using the method of ADP, Nyberg & Penpraze ²⁶ observed no between-position differences in anthropometric data in elite Scottish female RU players. More recently, reporting of position-specific DXA-

derived anthropometric data in female collegiate RU players observed greater body mass, FM, FFM, BMD and BMC in forwards compared to backs ²⁵. In the case of the latter, and despite comparable assessment methodologies to those reported in the present study (i.e., DXA), the length of the assessment period (i.e., one season in the present study versus a more prolonged 3-year period) and its influence on the realization of adaptive responses resulting from accumulated training volumes should be considered. That said, despite a lack of statistical analysis for between-position differences, meaningful benchmark data is presented from a high-level competitive cohort of female RU players. Future research opportunities therefore exist to substantiate such findings in comparable populations.

Despite DXA being widely deemed a gold standard method for body composition analyses due to its accuracy and repeatability ^{39,40}, the method is not without its limitations. Firstly, our findings suggest the possible role that increased FM may have had over the course of the competitive season. Research indicates that with increasing fat mass comes increased risk of error via DXA ^{39,41}. Also, the potential effects of the menstrual cycle on indices of body composition were not considered within this study. Although the effects of such changes on the accuracy of body composition measures via DXA scan are not fully understood ²⁸, the influence of menses on the reliability of body composition estimates appears minimal in a cohort of pre-menopausal females ⁴²; whether this is true for female RU players remains to be investigated. Within these limitations, is the fact that DXA manufacturers' body composition estimation algorithms are not developed from athletic populations – meaning that reference values are compared against 'general' cohorts ²⁷. Therefore, refining algorithms to better reflect the characteristics of athletic populations (both male and female) may increase the resolution and accuracy of future research.

In summary, although trivial from an ES perspective, changes in specific indices of body composition were observed in competitive female RU players and such responses occurred throughout a domestic season (i.e., increased body mass and BMC). No significant changes were observed across the season in FM, LM and BMD, findings which were supported with trivial ES analyses. Therefore, given the limited literature available, further research into the body composition changes (both regional and total), involving longitudinal studies with a larger sample size of intermittent female team sports players within applied settings, are warranted. Additionally, these findings provide insight into position-specific benchmark data and thus also highlight future opportunities for research in this respect.

This novel study provides data from a sample of competitive female RU players competing in the highest playing standard in the United Kingdom. Both body mass and BMC differed across the course of a competitive season. Because of the position-specific demands of RU, body composition changes need to be considered by sports science practitioners and where appropriate, an appropriate intervention implemented. Such considerations can help practitioners maximize performance over the course of a competitive season. Practitioners should therefore consider strength and conditioning and nutritional strategies to optimize changes in body composition for the sake of enhanced performance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The authors would like to thank the participants for their involvement in the study and to the club support staff for their cooperation throughout.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:

The study was designed by CCu and MR; data was collected and analyzed by CCu; data interpretation and manuscript preparation were undertaken by CCu, MR, MKR, NA and CCo. All authors approved the final version of the paper.

FUNDING DETAILS:

The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

COMPETING INTERESTS:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES:

- Suarez-Arrones L, Portillo J, Pareja-Blanco F, de Villereal ES, Sanchez-Medina L, Munguia-Izquierdo D. Match-play activity profile in elite women's rugby union players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014:28(2): 452–458
- 2. Gabbett TJ. Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of elite women rugby league players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2007:21: 875–881
- Campbell PG, Peake JM, Minett GM. (2018) The specificity of rugby union training sessions in preparation for match demands. *Int J Sport* Physiol. 2018:13(4): 496–503
- Pollard BT, Turner AN, Eager R, Cunningham DJ, Cook CJ, Hogben P, Kilduff, LP. The ball in play demands of international rugby union. *J Sci Sport Med.* 2018:21(10): 1090–1094
- Quarrie KL, Hopkins WG, Anthony MJ, Gill ND. Positional demands of international rugby union: evaluation of player actions and movements. *J Sci Sport Med*. 2013:16(4): 353–359
- Virr JL, Game A, Bell GJ, Syrotuik D. (2014). Physiological demands of women's rugby union: time-motion analysis and heart rate response. *J Sport Sci.* 2014:32(3): 239–247
- 7. Warner ER, Fornetti WC, Jallo JJ, Pivarnik, JM (2004) A skinfold model to predict fat-free mass in female athletes. *J Athl Train.* 2004:39(3): 259–262
- Marks P, Van Meel M., Robinson J, Robinson C. Body composition differences by assessment methods such as DEXA, hydrostatic, bio-impedance and skin fold. *Int J Exerc Sci Conf Proc.* 2015:8(3): 39.
- von Hurst PR, Walsh DCI, Conlon CA, Ingram M, Kruger R, Stonehouse W. Validity and reliability of bioelectrical impedance analysis to estimate body fat percentage against air displacement plethysmography and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. *Nutr Diet*. 2016:73(2): 197–204
- 10. Bilsborough JC, Greenway K, Livingstone S, Cordy J, Coutts, A. Changes in anthropometry, upper-body strength, and nutrient intake in professional Aus-

tralian football players during a season. *Int J Sport* Physiol. 2016:11(3): 290–300. doi: 10.1123/ijspp. 2014-0447

- 11. Zemski AJ, Keating SE, Broad EM, Marsh DJ, Hind K, Slater GJ. Preseason body composition adaptations in elite white and Polynesian rugby union athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe.* 2019:4: 1-9. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0059
- 12. Bell W, Evans WD, Cobner DM, Eston RG. Regional placement of bone mineral mass, fat mass, and lean soft tissue mass in young adult rugby union players. *Ergonomics*. 2005:48: 1462–1472. doi: 10.1080/00140130500101007
- Tewari N, Awad S, Macdonald IA, Lobo DN. A comparison of three methods to assess body composition. *Nutrition*. 2018:47: 1-5. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2017.09.005
- 14. Lowry DA, Tomiyama JA. Air displacement plethysmography versus dualenergy x-ray absorptiometry in underweight, normal-weight, and overweight/obese individuals. *PLoS ONE.* 2015:10(1):e011508
- 15. Rockamann RA, Dalton EK, Arabas JL, Jorn L, Mayhew JL. Validity of arm-toarm BIA devices compared to DXA for estimating % fat in college men and women. *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2017:10(7): 977-988
- 16. Zemski AJ, Broad EM, Slater GJ. Skinfold prediction equations fail to provide an accurate estimate of body composition in elite rugby union athletes of Caucasian and Polynesian ethnicity. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe*. 2018:28(1): 90-99. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2017-0251
- Erlandson MC, Lorbergs AL, Mathur S, Cheung AM. Muscle analysis using pQCT, DXA and MRI. *Eur J Radiol*. 2016:85(8): 1505-1511. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2016.03.001
- 18. Minett MM, Binkley TB, Weidauer LA, Specker BL. Changes in body composition and bone of female collegiate soccer players through the competitive season and off-season. *J Musculoskel Neuron*. 2017: 17(1): 386-398
- 19. Stanforth D, Lu T, Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Crim BN, Stanforth PR. Bone mineral content and density among female NCAA Division I athletes across the

competitive season and over a multi-year time frame. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2016:30(10): 2828 – 2838. *doi: 10.1519/JSC.000000000000785*

- 20. Stanforth PR, Crim BN, Stanforth D, Stults-Kolehmainen MA. Body composition changes among female NCAA division 1 athletes across the competitive season and over a multiyear time frame. J Strength Cond Res. 2014; 28(2): 300-307.
- 21. Raymond-Pope CJ, Solfest AL, Carbuhn A, Stanforth PR, Oliver JM, Ransone JW, Bosch TA, Dengel DR. Total and regional body composition of NCAA Division I collegiate basketball athletes. Int J Sports Med. 2020; 41(4): 242-247.
- 22. Czeck MA, Raymond-Pope CJ, Stanforth PR, Carbuhn A, Bosch TA, Bach CW, Oliver JM, Dengel DR. Total and regional body composition of NCAA Division I collegiate female softball athletes. Int J Sports Med. 2019; 40(7): 645-649.
- 23. Jones B, Emmonds S, Hind K., Nicholson G, Rutherford Z., Till K. Physical qualities of international female rugby league players by playing position. J Strength Cond Res. 2016:30 (5): 1333-1340
- 24. Santos DA, Dawson JA, Matias CN, et al. (2014) Reference values for body composition and anthropometric measurements in athletes. *PLoS ONE*. 2014:9(5): e97846
- 25. Harty PS, Zabriskie HA, Stecker RA. Position-specific body composition values in female collegiate rugby union athletes. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019:[Epub ahead of print]
- 26.Nyberg CC, Penpraze V. Determination of anthropometric and physiological performance measures in elite Scottish female rugby union players. *Int J Res Ex Phys*. 2016:12(1): 10–16
- 27. Nana A., Slater GJ, Stewart AD, Burke LM. Methodology Review: Using dualenergy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) for the assessment of body composition in athletes and active people. *Int J Sport Nutr Exe*. 2015:25(2): 198–215

- 28. Nana A, Slater GJ, Hopkins WG, Burke LM. Effects of daily activities on DXA measurements of body composition in active people. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2012:44(1): 180–189. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318228b60e
- Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009: 41(1): 3–13. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278
- 30. Agar-Newman DJ, Goodale TL, Klimstra MD. Anthropometric and physical qualities of international level female rugby sevens athletes based on playing position. J Strength Cond Res. 2017:31(5): 1346–1352. doi: 10.1519/JSC.000000000001167
- Hene NM, Bassett SH, Andrews BS. Physical fitness profiles of elite women's rugby union players. *Afr. J. Phys. Health Edu. Recreat. Dance*. 2011:(Suppl): 1–8
- Johnston RD, Black GM, Harrison PW, Murray NB, Austin DJ. (2018) Applied sport science of Australian football: A systematic review. *Sports Med*. 2018:48(7): 1673–1694. doi: 10.1007/s40279-018-0919-z
- 33. Quarrie K, Wilson B. Force production in the rugby union scrum. *J Sport Sci*.2000:18(4): 237–246
- 34. Green A, Dafkin C, Kerr S, McKinon W. Combined individual scrummaging kinetics and muscular power predict competitive team scrum success. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2017:17(8): 994–1003. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2017.1343387
- 35. Guadalupe-Grau, A., Fuentes, T., Guerra, B., Calbet, J.A. Exercise and bone mass in adults. *Sports Med.* 2009:39(6): 439–468
- 36. Frost HM. Skeletal structural adaptations to mechanical usage (SATMU): 1.
 Redefining Wolff's law: the bone modelling problem. *Anat Rec.* 1990:226(4): 403–413
- 37. Ha AS, Ng JYY. Rope skipping increases bone mineral density at calcanei of pubertal girls in Hong Kong: A quasi-experimental investigation. *PLoS ONE*. 2017:2(12): e0189085. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0189085.

- 38. Jones TW, Smith A, Macnaughton LS, French DN. Strength and Conditioning and concurrent training practices in elite rugby union. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2016:30(12): 3354 – 3366
- 39. Demmer DL, Beilin LJ, Hands B, et al. Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry compared with anthropometry in relation to cardio-metabolic risk factors in a young adult population: Is the "gold standard" tarnished? *PLoS ONE*. 2016:11(9): e0162164.
- 40. Toombs RJ, Ducher G, Shepherd JA, De Souza MJ. The impact of recent technological advances on the trueness and precision of DXA to assess body composition. *Obesity*. 201:20(1): 30–39
- 41. Buckinx F, Landi F, Cesari M. et al. Pitfalls in the measurement of muscle mass: a need for a reference standard. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2018:9(2): 269–278
- 42. Hicks CS, McLester CN, Esmat TA, McLester JR. A comparison of body composition across two phases of the menstrual cycle utilizing dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, air displacement plethysmography, and bioelectrical impedance analysis. *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2017:10(8): 1235–1249

LEGENDS:

Table 1: Comparisons between pre- and post-season Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry scan measures of body mass (BM), fat mass (FM), lean mass (LM) bone mineral content (BMC) (all kg) and bone mineral density (BMD) ($g \cdot cm^{-2}$) of competitive female rugby union players. * within-variable difference relative to the pre-season value at p≤0.05 level. Position-specific data is not subject to inferential statistical analyses but is presented for descriptive purposes.

Table 1: Comparisons between pre- and post-season Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry scan measures of body mass (BM), fat mass (FM), lean mass (LM) bone mineral content (BMC) (all kg) and bone mineral density (BMD) ($g \cdot cm^{-2}$) of competitive female rugby union players. * within-variable difference relative to the pre-season value at p≤0.05 level. Position-specific data is not subject to inferential statistical analyses but is presented for descriptive purposes.

Time	Group	DXA Variable				
		BM (kg)	FM (kg)	LM (kg)	BMD (g⋅cm⁻²)	BMC (kg)
Pre-season	All (n=15)	73.7±9.6	20.1±8.3	50.2±3.6	1.30±0.07	3.24±0.40
	Forwards (n=8)	77.2±12.1	23.1±10.1	50.5±4.7	1.32±0.04	3.40±0.30
	Backs (n=7)	69.9±3.0	16.6±3.9	49.7±2.0	1.28±0.08	3.04±0.30
Post-Season	All (n=15)	74.9±10.2*	21.0±8.8	50.7±3.9	1.31±0.06	3.28±0.36*
	Forwards (n=8)	78.9±12.8	24.0±10.8	51.5±4.9	1.33±0.04	3.50±0.40
	Backs (n=7)	70.4±2.9	17.6±4.32	49.7±2.34	1.28±0.08	3.10±0.30