



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Stansfield, J and South, J (2024) Outputs from the Healthy Communities programme. Perspectives in Public Health, 144 (6). pp. 331-332. ISSN 1757-9139 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/17579139241251740>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/11575/>

Document Version:

Article (Published Version)

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

© Royal Society for Public Health 2024

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.


The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Outputs from the Healthy Communities programme

This paper brings together all the PHE outputs from a 10 year collaboration on Healthy Communities. This will help to retain the knowledge during organisational and government change.

J Stansfield 

Leeds Beckett University, Calverley Building, Leeds, LS13HE, UK
Email: j.stansfield@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

J South 

Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, LS13HE

Corresponding author:

Dr Jude Stansfield, as above

Community-centred approaches for health and wellbeing have gained increasing interest over recent years within public health, wider health and social care systems. Despite a long history of UK practice in community development for health, communities can still be on the receiving end of public health rather than in the driving seat.

Ten years ago, as Public Health England (PHE) was forming, there was a fragmented evidence base for community development and involvement in health. Authors formed a partnership to address this, which led to a 10-year collaboration between PHE and Leeds Beckett University. A small Healthy Communities programme, based in PHE with academic support, produced government guidance and supported its implementation into policy and practice through developing leadership and partnerships with national and regional bodies and local organisations working with communities. This sustained focus on knowledge translation of the best and most relevant evidence has created a significant body of knowledge and resources. It has been brought together for the first time into a descriptive bibliography available online <https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/10585/>. This aims to support

ongoing learning and mobilisation of evidence into policy and practice to meet future public health challenges.

Over this time, there have been other noteworthy contributions to advance evidence into practice and provide advocacy and system leadership for strengthening communities and coproduction approaches in public health, such as those included in this journal.¹ Maintaining this agenda has been essential and challenging within the contexts of austerity, COVID-19, individualised health approaches, and social polarisation. Community factors – such as connectedness, cohesion,

belonging, trust, and empowerment – are important influences on our mental and physical health, and potentially becoming increasingly significant as inequalities widen. Community-centred approaches can help address these factors and increase the control that communities have over the things that matter to their health, build trust and relationships between communities and with services, and lead to more effective and efficient mobilisation of public resources and community assets.²

We have learnt that the taxonomy of a ‘family of community-centred approaches’ has been useful to



guide practice, especially in planning, commissioning, and scaling. The practice examples bring the ‘family’ to life and are a good source of learning on the mechanisms for success. While there is a range of approaches, different community health roles share many characteristics and require similar skills. The COVID-19 pandemic response included a growth in community health

Community factors – such as connectedness, cohesion, belonging, trust, and empowerment – are important influences on our mental and physical health

champion roles and a spotlight on the importance of communities and community-centred approaches to public health.³ The pandemic strengthened our learning on how systemic change requires more than

scaling commissioned health inequalities’ interventions. Listening to communities, building trust, coproducing solutions, and

Listening to communities, building trust, coproducing solutions, and measuring what matters to communities are important elements for change that address gaps

measuring what matters to communities are important elements for change that address gaps.⁴

Tackling social disconnection is increasingly being seen as an urgent public health issue.⁵

Outputs from the Healthy Communities programme

It is not a discrete programme of work but a central pillar of action to reduce inequalities across all health outcomes and conditions, nor is it a euphemism for 'targeting local populations' or 'engaging them' in service design. It requires addressing those community-level factors, such as trust, as part of a social determinants of health approach and understanding the psychosocial pathways to health equity. It requires putting communities at the heart of everything we do in public health. We believe that a more community-centred public health system will help us meet the public health challenges of the next decade.

We continue to work with localities who are taking a whole system approach and embedding community-centred ways of working across all public health. Please get in touch if you would like to know more or share your learning.

Outputs from the Healthy Communities programme Leeds Beckett University and Public Health England: <https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/10585/>.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST


The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

FUNDING

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Authors held funded honorary academic contracts with Public Health England and the Office for Health Improvement and Disparities, DHSC, until March 2023.

ORCID IDS

Jude Stansfield  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7989-5630>

Jane South  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1462-7632>

References

1. South J. Guest editorial. *Perspect Public Health* 2022;**142**(4):186.
2. South J, Bagnall AM, Stansfield JA *et al*. An evidence-based framework on community-centred approaches for health: England, UK. *Health Promot Int* 2019;**34**(2):356–66.
3. South J, Stansfield J, Amlôt R *et al*. Sustaining and strengthening community resilience throughout the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. *Perspect Public Health* 2020;**140**(6):305–8.
4. Stansfield J, South J, Mapplethorpe T. What are the elements of a whole system approach to community-centred public health? A qualitative study with public health leaders in England's local authority areas. *BMJ Open* 2020;**10**(8):e036044.
5. Office of the US Surgeon General (OSG). *Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation: The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory on the Healing Effects of Social Connection and Community*. Washington, DC: Office of the US Surgeon General; 2023.