



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Jankowska, M (2010) Concept mapping - a reflective tool in Personal Development Planning. Assessment, Teaching & Learning Journal, 10. pp. 14-17. ISSN 1756-8781

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/1179/>

Document Version:

Article (Published Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Concept mapping – a reflective tool in Personal Development Planning

Maja Jankowska

Research Fellow, University of Bedfordshire

Introduction

Concept mapping (CM) is a research and teaching tool which helps reveal learners' knowledge of a particular topic and has been used to capture students' representations of particular subjects, diagnose the gaps in knowledge, follow progression and measure the impact of specific interventions introduced (see Hay 2007, 2008; Hay & Kinchin, 2006, 2008; Kinchin & Hay, 2005; Kinchin, Cabot & Hay, 2008; Kinchin, Lygo-Baker & Hay, 2008; Jankowska, 2009, 2010). But it can also be a powerful reflective tool used for personal development planning (PDP) purposes, which makes the process of exposing personal development ideas explicit. In this article I would like to concentrate on a discussion of the usability of CM as a reflective vehicle for students in the context of PDP.

According to Novak (1998), Hay (2007, 2008) and others, concept maps have the value of 'making learning visible' as the teacher can actually 'see' what ideas the student has about a particular topic and can evaluate students' learning and acquisition of crucial concepts (threshold concepts in Meyer & Land's language, 2006). Novak (Novak, 1998; Novak & Canas, 2006) believes that the visual representation of the knowledge in the form of a concept map promotes the integration of new material with existing cognitive structures and in that way contributes to meaningful learning.

Novak (1998) states that the individual can learn meaningfully if the following conditions are met:

1. The learner's relevant prior knowledge: the learner must know some information that relates to the new information to be learned (it is

important to assess learners' prior knowledge)

2. Meaningful material: the information to be learned must be relevant to other knowledge and must contain significant concepts and propositions
3. The learner's motivation to learn meaningfully: the learner must consciously and deliberately choose to relate new knowledge to knowledge s/he already knows in some non-trivial way.

Based on the above conditions Novak proposed the following typology of learning (the points below correspond to the idea that a concept map should be done repetitively to reveal the outcomes of learning):

- Non-learning: the lack of cognitive change (manifesting as a lack of new concepts in a following map and an absence of new links in the student's prior knowledge)
- Rote learning: the addition of some new knowledge but with the absence of links between new and previously learned material (indicates lack of deeper understanding and assimilation of the new knowledge)
- Meaningful learning: a significant change in the structure of knowledge (manifested either by the addition of new concepts and/or links in the prior knowledge structure developed during learning, or the meaningful linkage of new concepts to prior knowledge).

The typology of learning corresponds with the basic structural typology of chains (non-learning), spokes (rote learning) and nets (meaningful learning) to describe the most commonly encountered concept map structures:

How can concept mapping be used in supporting PDP?

In my PhD research (Jankowska, 2009, 2010, unpublished data), I explored the use of CM technique in a much 'softer' area of PDP, not related to any particular subjects where CM has been traditionally used, such as science, medicine, accountancy, etc. The challenges and opportunities of using CM as a research tool as well as a critique of available approaches have been discussed elsewhere (Jankowska, 2009). Here, I highlight the usability of CM as a reflective tool for students in the context of PDP.

The task of CM can be useful, engaging and rewarding for the students, especially when the topic is related to their personal experiences. My research on personal development indicated that the task of concept mapping was a valuable experience which gave students an opportunity to stop and reflect over the things they rarely explicitly focus on and verbalise in their everyday life, such as their personal development, learning and future. Many students expressed the feeling of being so immensely submerged in the task that they forgot about the time and surrounding environment (which Csíkszentmihályi (2000) refers to as the state of 'flow'). In the light of a growing literature indicating the difficulties of reflection and the development of meta-cognitive skills (e.g. Clegg, 2004; Clegg & Bradley, 2006; Tomlinson, 1999; Bleakley, 2000; Eraut, 2000), there is more argument in favour of structuring reflection so that students actually know how and on what they should reflect. Many of the students with whom I worked expressed their confusion about reflection. In one participant's words:

"So it's really hard to reflect OK, they say: reflect on your learning and understanding but ... of, of what? Of what I've learned? Or what I gained for my future? Or how I learned it? Or should I say what will I do in the future? ... I don't know! I would like to learn how can I improve my skills but I don't know what are the tools to do it."

(S3, 2007)

The task of CM seems to offer an opportunity for the student to get involved with the structured reflection. Whatever the subject of reflection might be, when sketching a concept map the learner needs to draw on all the concepts that are available to him/her at this particular point in time and make some connections between the ideas in order to create a map of his/her current understanding. I encouraged my students to use small Post-it® notes for the concepts, which

would allow re-structuring of the map by shifting the concepts around. This proved to be useful and invited further reflection on where particular concepts fitted and how they related to other concepts in the student's vision of personal development. Ideally the task of concept mapping should be followed with a discussion (either in a group, with a tutor, as a presentation or in a written reflective essay) where the student would have an opportunity to explain the ideas and therefore engage in further meaning-making by working through the subject. If CM is repeated over time (e.g. at the beginning and end of an academic year or a module) the process of working out the knowledge can be made visible. Moreover, CM can be used as a diagnostic tool to locate the gaps or misconceptions in the student's understanding, which can then be addressed during the course of study and evaluated again at the end of the process, allowing for cyclical reflection.

Conclusions

PDP by its nature should be cyclical and involve learning, doing, recording and reflection (Higher Education Academy, 2009). Therefore CM that involves both reflection and recording of its outcomes (which can also be used for future tasks related to the topic) can be a useful and engaging PDP tool.

I argue that CM can be useful in PDP as it helps students focus on their PDP, elicit the concepts that are currently of importance and begin reflecting on various aspects of personal development (for example focusing on what concepts are missing from students' maps and why, what needs to be developed, which goals are most important and how to work on them, etc). In my further work I propose a socially mediated structured tool for reflection in PDP (Jankowska, 2010).

References

- Bleakley, A. (2000) Writing with invisible ink: narrative confessionalism and reflective practice. *Reflective Practice* 1(1), 11-24.
- Clegg, S. (2004) Critical readings: progress files and the production of the autonomous learner. *Teaching in Higher Education* 9(3), 287-298.
- Clegg, S. & Bradley, S. (2006) The implementation of progress files in higher education: reflection as national policy. *Higher Education* 51, 465-486.
- Csikszentmihályi, M. (1975/2000) *Beyond boredom and anxiety: experiencing flow in work and play*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Eraut, M. (2000) Non-formal learning and tacit knowledge in professional work. *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 70(1), 113-136.
- Hay, D.B. (2007) Using concept maps to measure deep, surface and non-learning outcomes. *Studies in Higher Education* 32(1), 39-57.
- Hay, D.B. (2008) Developing dialogical concept mapping as an e-learning technology. *British Journal of Educational Technology* 39(6), 1057-1060.
- Hay, D.B. & Kinchin, I.M. (2006) Using concept maps to reveal conceptual typologies. *Emerald Education + Training* 48(2-3), 127-142.
- Hay, D.B., Kinchin, I.M. (2008) Using concept mapping to measure learning quality. *Education and Training* 50(2), 167-182.
- Higher Education Academy (2009) *Personal Development Planning*. Available at: <http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/ourwork/learning/pdp>.
- Jankowska, M. (2009) Concept mapping technique as a vehicle for gaining insight into students' understanding of personal development. Presentation at International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation (ICERI), Madrid, 16-18 November 2009.
- Jankowska, M. (2010) Enabling student development: with socially mediated concept mapping technique. *Journal for Learner Development in Higher Education* (forthcoming).
- Jankowska, M. (unpublished PhD research) *Personal Development Planning – what has culture to say? Representations and Experiences of Personal Development Planning among students at a multi-cultural university*.
- Kinchin, I.M., Cabot, L.B., & Hay, D.B. (2008) Visualising expertise: towards an authentic pedagogy for higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education* 13(3), 31-326.
- Kinchin, I.M. & Hay, D.B. (2005) Using concept maps to optimize the composition of collaborative student groups: a pilot study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 51(2), 182-187.
- Kinchin, I.M., Lygo-Baker, S. & Hay, D.B. (2008) Universities as centres of non-learning. *Studies in Higher Education* 33(1), 89-103.
- Meyer, J. & Land, R. (2006) *Overcoming barriers to student understanding: threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Novak, J.D. (1998) *Learning, creating and using knowledge: concept maps as facilitative tools in schools and corporations*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Novak, J.D. & Canas, A.J. (2006) *The theory underlying maps and how to construct them*. Technical Report IHMC CmapTools 2006-01 Rev 01-2008. Florida Institute for Human and Machine Cognition. Available at: <http://cmap.ihmc.us/Publications/ResearchPapers/TheoryUnderlyingConceptMaps.pdf>.
- Tomlinson, P. (1999) Conscious reflection and implicit learning in teacher preparation, part 1: recent light on an old issue. *Oxford Review of Education* 25(4), 533-544.