

## Citation:

Ellison, C and Philpot, U (2024) Confusion between avoidant restrictive food intake disorder, restricted intake self-harm, and anorexia nervosa: developing a primary care decision tree. British Journal of General Practice, 74 (749). p. 559. ISSN 0960-1643 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp24x740109

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/12050/

Document Version: Article (Accepted Version)

© British Journal of General Practice 2024

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

## <u>Confusion between ARFID, RISH and Anorexia Nervosa (AN): Developing a primary care decision tree</u>

Eating disorders are typically and appropriately managed in specialist services. However, despite national policy recommending self-referral as an access route (NHS-E, 2015), in most cases the front door is via a referral from primary care. Whilst GPs and Additional Role Reimbursement Scheme (ARRS) Mental Health staff remain in an excellent position to do this, they are of course over-burdened, short on time and in most cases, not expert in this area. Restriction of food intake can indicate a range of nuanced clinical presentations within the eating disorders spectrum, each needing a different treatment approach. This increases the unintentional likelihood of initially mislabelling restricted eating presentations as the most well-known eating disorder: anorexia nervosa. Doing so could lead to inappropriate signposting, frustrating bounced back referrals, assessments without treatment or treatments which are not best suited.

One such example of this knowledge gap was the inclusion of ARFID in psychiatric nomenclature. Although ARFID has been clinically included in diagnostic manuals since 2013 (DSM, 5), we identified an ongoing significant lack of knowledge and awareness of the condition and wrote an ARFID awareness article for the BJ-GP (link).

In terms of an evolving specialist clinical narrative to which primary care is likely not privy, there is also a non-diagnostic emergence of understanding some presentations of restricted eating known as Restricted Intake Self Harm (RISH). RISH is a formulation-driven term which aims to describe the subset of patients who present with restricted intake (both foods and fluids) as a method of indirect self-harm. In this way it is different from AN. Traditionally, those with a RISH presentation were categorised under either atypical AN, Other Specified Feeding and Eating Disorder (OSFED), or 'disordered eating'. However, public and patient engagement across our locality found such terminologies were experienced as belittling, dismissive and overly broad. The engagement group felt that the term Restricted Intake Self-Harm (RISH) was the most accurate at describing their difficulties, improving validation and understanding, and therefore improving treatment engagement and success. Consequently, the term RISH was coined, driven by child and adolescent psychiatrist Dr Clare Fenton, and further validated by a national working group exploring research and practice-based suggestions (due soon). As a result of this work locally, the primary care clinical leads in our area (across the North-East, North Cumbria and Yorkshire) began to hear of these developments, recognised a clinical need and asked how to work in partnership to support this need.

So, what do you do when faced with a knowledge and awareness gap, busy clinicians and in a context of time-pressured appointments coupled with the need for accurate onward referrals?

The step-by-step children and young peoples (CYP) eating disorder (ED) decision tree was developed.

The CYP ED Decision Tree tool was developed by the North-East and Yorkshire Regional Mental Health Team, in collaboration with our ED and Primary Care Clinical Leads, and the North-East and North Cumbria Provider Collaborative. The tool deliberately took a practical, logical and step by step approach for time-poor clinics. It explores what steps to take in primary care when a young person presents with restricted oral intake, crucially differentiating between those of body dysmorphia and those without. In doing so, it provides an educative opportunity around this difference which doesn't minimise concern as a result. The tool then provides further information, signposting and suggestive next steps. It includes a condensed version of

understanding the highest risk flags in eating disorders, and a blank page at the end to complete with your local services for onward referral.

You can access the tool by visiting <u>Professional support for services working with Avoidant Restrictive Food Intake Disorder (ARFID) - Cumbria, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust (cntw.nhs.uk) and clicking on the 'primary care' drop-down tab.</u>

## **Authors:**

Clare Ellison, Advanced Dietitian and North East and North Cumbria Provider Collaborative Project Lead

Ursula Philpot, Consultant Dietitian and North East and Yorkshire Regional Mental Health Team Clinical Lead for Eating Disorders

GP: Terry Hudson. North East and Yorkshire Regional Mental Health Team Clinical Lead for Primary Care

## References:

NHS England (2015). Access and waiting times standard for children and young people with an eating disorder: commissioning guide. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, July 2015. [Online] Available from: < NICE Guideline Template (england.nhs.uk) > [accessed September 2024].

American Psychiatric Association (2013). **Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders**. Fifth Edition. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association.