

Citation:

Aldrich, L and Ispoglou, T and Prokopidis, K and Alqallaf, J and Wilson, O and Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou, A (2025) Comment on 'Acute Sarcopenia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on Its Incidence and Muscle Parameter Shifts During Hospitalisation' by Aldrich et al.: The Authors' Reply. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle, 16 (3). pp. 1-2. ISSN 2190-5991 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.13863

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/12286/

Document Version: Article (Published Version)

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

© 2025 The Author(s)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.





# Comment on 'Acute Sarcopenia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on Its Incidence and Muscle Parameter Shifts During Hospitalisation' by Aldrich et al.: The Authors' Reply

<sup>1</sup>Carnegie School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Headingley Campus, Leeds, UK | <sup>2</sup>Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Correspondence: Luke Aldrich (l.aldrich@leedsbeckett.ac.uk)

Received: 16 March 2025 | Accepted: 8 May 2025

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

We appreciate the opportunity to respond to the comments raised by Silva and Cipriano [1] regarding our recently published systematic review and meta-analysis on acute sarcopenia [2]. Constructive discussions such as this help clarify methodological considerations and enhance scientific understanding.

Firstly, regarding knee extensor strength measurement, Silva and Cipriano [1] note that our review stated their study [3] did not assess knee extensor strength. We acknowledge that they measured strength using neuromuscular electrical stimulation to evoke peak force. However, our review excluded studies using electrically evoked contractions, as voluntary contractions are the recognised standard for sarcopenia assessments. This exclusion criterion was applied consistently across all studies, ensuring methodological alignment. Although we did not explicitly state this in our inclusion/exclusion criteria, we appreciate the opportunity to clarify this point. To further justify our stance on excluding this, we would like to highlight conclusions from Jenkins et al. [4] who acknowledged that voluntary and evoked contractions offer unique information to each other and should not be used interchangeably.

Secondly, with reference to the sample size inclusion, we included only the control group from Silva et al.'s study, as per our inclusion criteria that specified that only control groups from intervention studies would be included. Although their total sample size was 60 participants, we reported only the control

group (n=30) as our review focused on muscle changes during hospitalisation independent of interventions. We acknowledge that this distinction could have been stated more clearly.

We respectfully disagree with the claim that our review contains inaccuracies that could compromise the integrity of the scientific record. Our methodological decisions were carefully considered, transparent, and aligned with standard sarcopenia assessment practices. The exclusion of electrically evoked contraction measures was a deliberate methodological choice, as voluntary contractions are the established standard. Although this criterion was not explicitly outlined in our inclusion/exclusion criteria, it reflects a methodological decision rather than an inaccuracy. We appreciate the opportunity to clarify this and believe our approach remains clear to researchers familiar with standard assessment methods.

We thank Silva et al. for their engagement and the opportunity to address these points.

## Acknowledgements

The authors have nothing to report.

## **Ethics Statement**

The authors have nothing to report.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2025 The Author(s). Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### **Data Availability Statement**

The authors have nothing to report.

#### References

- 1. P. E. Silva and G. Cipriano Jr, "Comment on "Acute Sarcopenia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on Its Incidence and Muscle Parameter Shifts During Hospitalisation" by Aldrich et al.," *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle* 16, no. 2 (2025): e13767.
- 2. L. Aldrich, T. Ispoglou, K. Prokopidis, J. Alqallaf, O. Wilson, and A. Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou, "Acute Sarcopenia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on Its Incidence and Muscle Parameter Shifts During Hospitalisation," *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle* 16, no. 1 (2025): e13662.
- 3. P. E. Silva, R. de Cássia Marqueti, K. Livino-de-Carvalho, et al., "Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation in Critically Ill Traumatic Brain Injury Patients Attenuates Muscle Atrophy," *Neurophysiological Disorders, and Weakness: A Randomized Controlled Trial, Journal of Intensive Care* 7, no. 59 (2019): 1–13.
- 4. N. D. Jenkins, T. B. Palmer, and J. T. Cramer, "Comparing the Reliability of Voluntary and Evoked Muscle Actions," *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging* 34, no. 6 (2014): 434–441.