

Citation:

Stewart, J and Harte, VA (2010) Learning spaces: evaluation. In: Institute for Enterprise (CETL).

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/1299/

Document Version:
Conference or Workshop Item (Presentation)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Prof Jim Stewart

Professor of HRD
Faculty of Business & Law
Leeds Metropolitan University

Vicky Harte

Research Officer

Institute for Enterprise

Centre for Excellence in Teaching & Learning (CETL

- Evaluation of 'new' learning spaces on Leeds Met campuses
- By 'new' we mean a £2m capital investment from HEFCE not a new 'concept'
- Commenced November 2006
- Will continue into late 2008



- Exploratory research so far exploring the perceptions and terminology
- What people have done in the spaces
- What can be done in the spaces?
- How are the spaces being received i.e. positive or negative?
- Is it purely enterprise education activities that are taking place in the spaces?



- 1-1 interviews with teaching staff
- Interactive workshops
- Student questionnaires perceptions and feedback
- Literature search and review
- Research-informed evaluation
- Leads onto results:



- Promotes active learning and teaching
- Affects students' engagement in a positive way
- Valuable and integral support to classical learning and teaching methods
- Adaptable to diverse learning modes
- Offers blended learning opportunities
- Opportunity for student's to experience a wider range of assessment, learning and teaching modes

- Potentially provide the impetus for the design of evolving pedagogy
- Concerns about under-utilisation i.e. 'flexible ambiguity' non-conventional space is a challenge for some individuals' delivery/approach
- Concerns re physical layout one size doesn't fit all i.e. class/group sizes
- Concerns re technological equipment too advanced, no training given/available
- Is the space for informal or formal learning?
- Do students own the space i.e. social area?
- Staff development concerns which led top

- Teaching Quality Enhancement Fund (TQEF) Bid
- TQEF bid aim was to address under-utilisation of spaces
- Identify what activities worked well in learning spaces and what didn't do so well
- Small group of UK ALT educator's invited to a 'development day' to work through best-fit scenarios
- Compare and contrast with other institutions learning spaces i.e. what they did in theirs



- Could we learn anything from other institutions
- Further research is still to be done on the evaluation with comparisons drawn from the use of existing conventional learning spaces, in the context of enterprise education.



- In the context of enterprise education spaces are proving to be fit for purpose i.e.
- Enables student-centred learning
- Enables group work and activities
- Real world business scenarios i.e. role play interviews; the apprentice etc
- Business simulations
- Enterprise summer schools; and
- The application and study of the theory of enterprise and entrepreneurial behavior

 What others are saying about 'new' learning spaces

VH to put in a few references to back up what I am saying....

