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Creating an acute energy deficit without stimulating compensatory increases in appetite: is there an optimal exercise protocol?
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Abstract

Recent years have witnessed significant interest from both the scientific community and the media regarding the influence of exercise on subsequent appetite and energy intake responses. This review demonstrates a consensus among the majority of scientific investigations that an acute bout of land-based endurance exercise does not stimulate any compensatory increases in appetite and energy intake on the day of exercise. Alternatively, preliminary evidence suggests that low volume, supramaximal exercise may stimulate an increase in appetite perceptions during the subsequent hours. In accordance with the apparent insensitivity of energy intake to exercise in the short term; the daily energy balance response to exercise appears to be primarily determined by the energy cost of exercise. This finding supports the conclusions of recent training studies that the energy expenditure of exercise is the strongest predictor of fat loss during an exercise program.
**Introduction**

Overweight and obesity are defined by a body mass index (BMI) of 25 to 29.9 kg.m$^{-2}$ and 30 kg.m$^{-2}$ or greater, respectively, and characterised by an excess accumulation of body fat. Recent decades have witnessed a global increase in BMI to the extent that 22.5 % of adults worldwide were estimated to be overweight in 2008, with an additional 11.8 % qualifying as obese$^{(1)}$. These conditions are associated with an increased prevalence of several chronic diseases$^{(2)}$, which has resulted in the classification of overweight and obesity as one of the top five global risk factors for mortality and one of the top ten risk factors for morbidity$^{(3)}$. However, weight loss as little as 3 % has been associated with favourable changes in chronic disease risk factors and therefore represents a major public health priority$^{(4)}$.

For weight loss to occur, a sustained negative energy balance is required and is typically achieved by decreasing energy intake (i.e. dieting) and/or increasing energy expenditure (i.e. exercising). Interest in the appetite and energy intake responses to exercise stems from the acknowledgement that physical activity may enhance weight loss via an increase in energy expenditure$^{(4)}$ but is dependent upon subsequent food intake, as an increase in energy consumption may negate the energy deficit of exercise. Furthermore, any compensatory increases in appetite after exercise are likely to enhance the difficulty of maintaining a negative energy balance and increase psychological discomfort within participants.

The importance of this issue has recently been highlighted in two prominent media articles, which suggested that exercise stimulates compensatory increases in appetite and food intake that prevent weight loss$^{(5)}$ and actually increase body fat$^{(6)}$. However, the conclusions of these articles oppose findings from the scientific literature, which indicate that appetite and energy intake remain largely unchanged in the hours after an acute bout of exercise$^{(7-9)}$. Although this relationship cannot continue indefinitely, the insensitivity of the appetite-regulating system to exercise-induced energy deficits is in stark contrast with the powerful homeostatic responses to food restriction. In this regard, current evidence suggests that food restriction elicits rapid compensatory increases in appetite and food intake, which does not occur in response to an equivalent exercise-induced energy deficit$^{(10,11)}$.

This article aims to provide a comprehensive review of the scientific literature relating to the acute effects of exercise on appetite and energy intake responses. The final sections of this review subsequently address the question of whether there is an optimal exercise protocol for minimising compensatory increases in appetite after exercise.
Exercise-induced anorexia

Strenuous exercise (≥ 60 % of maximum oxygen uptake (VO₂ max)) has consistently been found to acutely suppress appetite during and shortly after the exercise bout. This is known as ‘exercise-induced anorexia’ and has been demonstrated during a variety of exercise modes including: running[12–14], cycling[15–21], swimming[22] and resistance exercise[23]. Although significant changes in appetite have been reported in these studies, values tend to return to control values within 30 min of the cessation of exercise. Such a transient effect is unlikely to influence energy intake in the hours after exercise but may delay the initiation of feeding when food is provided immediately after exercise[24]. It therefore remains most important to understand the influence of exercise on resting appetite and energy intake responses in the hours after exercise.

Appetite responses in the immediate post-exercise period (0 – 120 min)

The majority of studies that have investigated the appetite response to exercise have employed an observation period lasting up to 2 h after the exercise bout. The consensus among these studies is that, after the recovery from exercise-induced anorexia, appetite during the post-exercise period does not differ from a resting control trial[13,15,17–19,25–34]. However, although appetite perceptions do not appear to decrease during the post-exercise period, it must be acknowledged that some investigations have reported elevated appetite perceptions after exercise compared with a control trial[35–37].

The majority of the studies listed above have investigated the appetite response to exercise in healthy non-obese men and demonstrated no differences in appetite between exercise and control trials during the 2 h after a range of exercise modes including: walking[26], cycling[15,17–19,35] and running[13,28,30]. The use of male participants in the majority of investigations has led some authors to postulate that sex-based differences may occur in the appetite response to exercise due to the critical relationship between energy balance and reproductive function in females[38]. However, current research suggests that females do not exhibit increased appetite perceptions during the 2 h period after exercise[18,19,29,31–33]. Furthermore, a recent study by Hagobian et al.[29] directly compared the appetite response to exercise in male and female participants and concluded that 80 min of cycling at 70 % of VO₂ max did not stimulate increases in appetite in either sex during the 40 min after exercise.

As obesity is the result of a chronic excess of energy intake over energy expenditure, it is also logical to consider that appetite regulation in response to exercise may differ between lean and obese individuals. However, current research suggests that exercise also fails to stimulate
immediate compensatory increases in appetite in overweight and obese populations. In this regard, Unick and colleagues\(^{(33)}\) reported that walking at 70 - 75 % of maximum heart rate to expend 3 kcal.kg\(^{-1}\) body mass did not stimulate any compensatory increases in appetite during the 60 min after exercise in overweight and obese women. Similarly, Tsofliou et al.\(^{(32)}\) did not observe any increases in appetite during the 60 min after 20 min of brisk walking in ten obese healthy women. Furthermore, in a direct comparison between obese and normal weight young men, Ueda and colleagues\(^{(34)}\) demonstrated that 60 min of cycling at 50 % of VO\(_2\) max did not stimulate any increases in appetite during the 60 min after exercise in either group.

**Energy intake responses in the immediate post-exercise period (0 – 120 min)**

The majority of studies detailed in the previous section also assessed the food intake response to exercise by providing participants with an ad libitum meal \(\leq 2\) h after the exercise bout. In accordance with the appetite responses detailed in the previous section, the majority of these studies demonstrated that energy intake was unaffected by exercise\(^{(27-30,32,33,39-41)}\). However, some studies have demonstrated increases\(^{(18,19,37,42)}\) or decreases\(^{(34,43,44)}\) in energy intake after exercise.

An early study to investigate the effect of exercise on subsequent food intake responses was performed by King and colleagues in 1994\(^{(40)}\). In this study, cycling at 30 % or 70 % of VO\(_2\) max to expend \(\sim 1460\) kJ did not affect energy intake at an ad libitum buffet meal 15 min after exercise. Furthermore, in a second group of healthy young men, energy intake was unaffected by either 26 or 52 min of cycling at 75 % of VO\(_2\) max. Many of these findings were replicated by Erdmann and colleagues\(^{(25)}\) in a combined sample of normal-weight men and women, as energy intake was unaffected by 30 min of cycling at either 50 or 100 W. However, although energy intake was unchanged in response to 60 min of cycling at a fixed work rate of 50 W, increasing the duration to 120 min stimulated an increase in energy intake. Although these findings suggest that the energy intake response to exercise is dependent upon exercise duration, all trials commenced after a 12 h overnight fast with the ad libitum meal provided 15 min after exercise. Therefore the observed increases in energy intake may have been a result of the extended overnight fast rather than exercise duration *per se*.

Although these studies may be criticised for the provision of a buffet meal so close to the cessation of exercise, other authors have also demonstrated no change in energy intake after exercise when the ad libitum meal was provided up to 1 h after exercise. This relationship has been demonstrated in young men and women\(^{(28,30,39,41)}\), overweight and obese women\(^{(32,33)}\) and in response to a variety of exercise modes. Furthermore, Hagobian et al.\(^{(29)}\) reported no change in energy intake in response to exercise in men and women matched for age and VO\(_2\) max.
The potential influence of exercise mode on the energy intake response to exercise has been demonstrated by Larson-Meyer et al.\(^{(31)}\). In this study, energy intake from an ad libitum meal that was provided two hours after exercise was not affected by 60 min of running at 70 % of VO\(_2\) max but increased in response to 60 min of walking exercise at the same relative intensity in a separate group of participants. Although this study suggests that exercise mode may influence energy intake responses, it is plausible that the higher percentage body fat and lower VO\(_2\) max in the walking group may have confounded the results.

In this regard, Finlayson and colleagues\(^{(45)}\) demonstrated that body fat and physical activity levels may influence the energy intake response to exercise in females. This novel study analysed the energy intake response to 50 min of cycling exercise and separated the participants into two groups: compensators and non-compensators. Compensators were defined as the participants that increased energy intake beyond the energy cost of exercise, whereas non-compensators consumed less energy than that expended during exercise. Analysis of between group differences revealed a significantly higher BMI and percentage body fat and a lower habitual exercise frequency in the compensators. Alternatively, recent evidence suggests that this relationship may not occur in men as Jokisch and colleagues\(^{(35)}\) demonstrated that 45 min of cycling at 65 – 75 % of maximum heart rate decreased energy intake in a sample of inactive normal weight young men but not active normal weight young men.

Other studies have also demonstrated a decrease in energy intake but are confounded by the provision of the ad libitum meal within 10 min of exercise completion\(^{(43,44)}\), which may have prevented the recovery from exercise-induced anorexia prior to feeding. However, in support of these findings, Ueda and colleagues\(^{(34)}\) observed a decrease in energy intake at an ad libitum meal that was provided sixty minutes after a 60 min bout of cycling at 50 % of VO\(_2\) max. Furthermore, this reduction in energy intake occurred in both lean and obese male participants. Other authors have also reported similar energy intake responses in normal weight and overweight participants\(^{(27)}\) but this is not a universal finding\(^{(46)}\).

Some authors have demonstrated an increase in energy intake during the immediate post-exercise period\(^{(18,19,37,42)}\). The reasons for such variations in the energy intake response to exercise are unclear but may be influenced by a variety of factors including: participant differences, the composition and timing of the ad libitum meal, variations in exercise mode, and time of day effects. Although measures of absolute energy intake provide important information regarding feeding behaviour, King and colleagues\(^{(40)}\) suggested that it may be more relevant to express the energy intake response to exercise as ‘relative energy intake’ (REI) after deducting the net energy cost of
exercise. Subsequently, all increases in absolute energy intake reported thus far in this review are negated after accounting for the energy expenditure of exercise. Although this approach provides an overview of energy balance, the short monitoring period of the studies described thus far bias the results towards a lower REI during exercise trials as food intake is unlikely to be upregulated sufficiently at a single feeding episode to overturn substantial energy deficits. Therefore, investigations into the energy intake response to multiple ad libitum meals are important and are discussed below.

**Appetite responses beyond a single test meal (2 – 9 h)**

Although some authors have suggested that exercise may stimulate appetite in response to a standardised meal in the post-exercise period\(^{47}\), the majority of studies demonstrate that appetite does not increase above control values during the 2 – 9 hours after exercise. This includes a variety of exercise modes including: running\(^{14,23,48-50}\), cycling\(^{50}\), walking\(^{51-54}\) and resistance exercise\(^{23}\) in both male and female populations.

However, exceptions have been observed within the literature as King et al.\(^{22}\) demonstrated that 60 min of intermittent swimming exercise stimulated an increase in appetite compared with a resting control trial from 1.5 – 6 h after exercise. This contrasts with previous findings from the same author as 60 min of brisk walking exercise\(^{53}\) and 90 min of running exercise\(^{14}\) did not influence resting appetite perceptions during the 7 h and 8.5 h post-exercise period, respectively. These differences occurred despite utilising a similar experimental protocol and participant population of physically active young men, which suggests a potential influence of exercise mode on subsequent appetite responses. However, subtle changes in meal timing and the recruitment of different participants confound any inferences regarding exercise mode.

The potential confounding influence of these factors is demonstrated by the findings of two studies from Broom and colleagues\(^{12,23}\), as 60 min of running at 70 % of VO\(_2\) max in young physically active males stimulated an increase in hunger from 2 – 8 h after exercise in the former but not the latter of the two studies. Such discrepancies highlight the need for within measures study designs when comparing the appetite response to different exercise protocols.

**Energy intake responses beyond a single test meal (2 – 9 h)**

Few studies have monitored food intake in a laboratory setting for more than two hours after an acute exercise bout. However, the available research suggests that exercise does not stimulate any changes in energy intake during the subsequent 22.5 h\(^{14}\). This finding is also supported by studies
that have used self-report measures of food intake, which have failed to discover any changes in energy intake during the 24 h\(^{(55)}\), 48 h\(^{(48)}\) and 72 h\(^{(54)}\) after exercise.

Studies that have successfully performed prolonged monitoring of food intake in a laboratory setting have typically provided participants with one or two buffet meals during the 3 to 7.5 h after exercise. Studies from our laboratory have reported that energy intake is unchanged by exercise under these laboratory conditions at any of the provided feeding opportunities\(^{(14,22,49,53)}\). Furthermore, the provision of an overnight food bag upon leaving the laboratory demonstrated that energy intake continued to remain unchanged for 22.5 h after exercise\(^{(14)}\).

The importance of such prolonged monitoring of energy intake is demonstrated by Pomerleau et al.\(^{(54)}\). In this study, energy intake at an ad libitum buffet meal was significantly higher 1 h after walking at 70 % of VO\(_2\) max compared with a resting control. However, after the provision of an additional ad libitum meal 6.5 h after exercise and an overnight snack bag, energy intake did not differ significantly between the trials.

One weakness of the above studies is that meals were provided to participants at pre-defined time points during the trials, which constrains the opportunities for food intake and may hinder the detection of differences in energy intake. This issue was recently addressed by King et al.\(^{(24)}\) who allowed participants unlimited access to a buffet meal during the 6 h after 60 min of running at 70 % of VO\(_2\) max. In support of previous findings, energy intake remained unchanged after exercise compared with a resting control trial and resulted in a substantially lower REI after exercise.

**Manipulating exercise protocols to produce the most beneficial responses**

This review has demonstrated a consensus among the majority of the scientific literature that an acute bout of land-based exercise does not stimulate compensatory increases in appetite and energy intake during the following hours. Subsequently, recent efforts have focussed on manipulating exercise protocols to most effectively reduce appetite and energy balance in the hours after exercise.

In this regard, Cheng and colleagues\(^{(16)}\) recently reported that moderate intensity cycling exercise induced a more prolonged suppression of hunger when performed two hours after a high fat breakfast compared with after a 12 h overnight fast. However, a subsequent investigation from our research group at Loughborough University suggested that this effect is limited to the period of exercise-induced anorexia, as appetite and energy intake did not differ from a resting control trial during the 5 – 9 h period after fasted or postprandial exercise despite greater appetite suppression during the postprandial exercise bout\(^{(56)}\).
More commonly, investigators have manipulated the intensity of exercise when comparing exercise protocols but initial studies yielded equivocal findings. In this regard, Thompson and colleagues (20) demonstrated greater appetite suppression during cycling exercise at 68% of VO2 max compared with 35% of VO2 max but found no differences in energy intake after exercise. Conversely, Imbeault et al. (57) found no differences in appetite but reported a decrease in energy intake after running at 75% of VO2 max compared with energy-matched walking at 35% of VO2 max. Alternatively, Ueda and colleagues (21) and King et al. (40) found no differences in the appetite or energy intake responses to cycling at 50% versus 75% of VO2 max and 30% versus 70% of VO2 max, respectively.

Interest in the influence of exercise intensity on appetite and energy intake responses has been renewed in recent years due to the popularity of high intensity intermittent exercise (HIIE). In this regard, several authors within both the scientific literature and the media have suggested that HIIE may elicit greater weight loss than traditional endurance exercise due to greater reductions in appetite during the post-exercise period (58–63). However, despite these postulations, research from our laboratory has demonstrated that a recently popularised sprint interval exercise protocol, consisting of six 30 s maximal sprints on a cycle ergometer, stimulated compensatory increases in appetite during the five hour monitoring period after exercise that did not occur in response to 60 min of continuous cycling at 60% of VO2 max. Although these differences in appetite did not influence ad libitum food intake on the day of exercise, similar absolute food intake between trials resulted in a substantial negative energy balance after endurance exercise compared with sprint exercise and a resting control trial due to a significantly higher energy expenditure during the endurance exercise than sprint exercise (mean (SD) 2640 (418) vs. 594 (50) kJ; P < 0.0005) (64).

In order to further investigate this issue, a high volume, submaximal HIIE protocol was investigated in a subsequent study and it was determined that compensatory increases in appetite and energy intake did not occur during the five hour monitoring period after ten 4 min cycling intervals at 85 – 90% of VO2 max or 60 min of continuous cycling at 60% of VO2 max (65). The absence of any compensatory increases in appetite in this study suggests that previously observed increases after supramaximal interval exercise are likely to be a result of the extreme intensity rather than the intermittent nature of exercise. It remains plausible that a threshold exercise intensity may exist for the stimulation of appetite during the post-exercise period but this requires further investigation. It is also important to note that these studies employed exercise protocols that represented both extremes of the HIIE spectrum (i.e. very low volume supramaximal interval exercise and high volume, submaximal interval exercise) and therefore provides convincing evidence that HIIE does
not elicit lower appetite perceptions during the hours after exercise than traditional endurance exercise.

**Conclusions**

Contrary to recent media articles, this review demonstrates a consensus among the majority of scientific investigations that an acute bout of land-based endurance exercise does not stimulate compensatory increases in appetite and energy intake during the hours after exercise. Current research also disputes the postulations of recent authors that HIIE elicits lower appetite and energy intake responses than traditional endurance exercise. Alternatively, initial investigations suggest that supramaximal exercise may stimulate increases in appetite that do not occur in response to larger energy deficits induced by moderate intensity exercise. Furthermore, in accordance with the consensus that energy intake remains unresponsive to exercise in the short term; the daily energy balance response to exercise appears to be primarily determined by the energy cost of exercise. These findings support the concept that appetite and energy intake are primarily determined via orogastric, rather than metabolic mechanisms\(^{(51)}\), and also support the conclusions of recent training studies that the energy expenditure of exercise is the strongest predictor of fat loss during an exercise program\(^{(66,67)}\).
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