



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Shaw, N and White, H and Denman, S and Peckham, DG (2014) The impact of disease severity and clinical variation on self-reported adherence. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*, 13 (Suppl). S117 - S117. ISSN 1873-5010 DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1569-1993\(14\)60407-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1569-1993(14)60407-0)

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/1976/>

Document Version:

Article (Updated Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Relationship between self-report adherence and clinical variation

White H, Huntington S, Shaw N, Wynn S, Pollard K, Peckham DG

Objectives: In 2007 an electronic clinical record (ECR) was implemented within a Regional Adult CF unit (420 patients) coding for all variables of CF, captured at each contact. Longitudinal patterns of clinical variation have emerged that suggest a relationship between variation in lung function between treatments and overall adherence. Our aim was to 1) determine the accuracy of self-report adherence 2) explore the relationship between clinical variation and adherence.

Methods: Patients completed a self-report of adherence (CFQ-R) and consented to pharmacy script data collection (previous 6 months). Coefficient of variation for FEV₁ was calculated from all clinical contacts within the previous year. Age, gender, microbial status, disease severity, medication, lung function and anthropometric measures were collected.

Results: 250 patients [age 29.7(±9.2) years, 58.6% (M), baseline BMI 22.5 kg/m² (±3.8), FEV₁ 61.3% (±25.1), FVC 79.4% (±23.1)] completed the study

| Selected variables of adherence | Correlations with self-report adherence | | | | | | Descriptive stats | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | Adherence Self-Report (using CFQ-R) (%) | Pharmacy collection | Sig | FEV ₁ Coeff Variation | Sig | CRP coeff variation | Sig | Pharmacy discrepancy (%) | Sig |
| Total adherence | 77.7(±17.5) | 0.61 | p<0.001 | -0.16 | P<0.001 | 0.05 | p=0.20 | 14.3 | p<0.001 |
| Aerosol to thin mucus | 73.0(±37.8) | 0.51 | p<0.001 | -0.11 | p=0.06 | 0.15 | p=0.02 | 19.2 | p<0.001 |
| Aerosol to open airway | 82.3(±31.9) | 0.34 | P<0.005 | 0.001 | p=0.47 | 0.16 | p=0.025 | 4.5 | p=0.24 |
| Inhaler | 77.4(±35.4) | 0.51 | p<0.001 | -0.07 | p=0.21 | 0.12 | p=0.07 | 16.2 | p<0.001 |
| PERT | 91.4(±21.3) | 0.45 | p<0.001 | -0.21 | P=0.001 | -0.12 | p=0.04 | 23.6 | p<0.001 |
| Vitamins | 88.2(±27.2) | 0.46 | p<0.001 | -0.03 | P=0.35 | 0.15 | p=0.01 | 24.7 | p<0.001 |
| Oral nutrition supplements | 64.1(±39.8) | 0.51 | p<0.001 | -0.20 | P=0.01 | -0.06 | p=0.28 | 18.1 | p<0.001 |
| Oral antibiotics | 86.4(±26.6) | 0.32 | p<0.001 | -0.15 | P=0.01 | -0.08 | p=0.11 | 19.5 | p<0.001 |
| Nebulised antibiotics | 67.4(±9.1) | 0.55 | p<0.001 | -0.19 | P=0.01 | -0.04 | p=0.31 | 2.1 | P=0.43 |

Conclusion: Self-report consistently exceeds medication collection. Longitudinal indices of lung function inversely relate to reported adherence, enabling investigation of FEV₁ coefficient variation as a predictor of adherence in CF

Abstract 2 Objective predictors of self-report of adherence in adults with cystic fibrosis

Huntington S, White H, Shaw N, Wynn S, Pollard K, Peckham DG

Objective: Self-report of adherence is inaccurate and has been shown to be 14% above pharmacy script collection in our adult clinic population. Using electronic clinical record (ECR) data our aim was to evaluate whether objective measures of adherence exist and their ability to predict adherence in an adult population with CF.

Methods: Adherence measures had been gained from a previous study. Patients were subsequently classified into one of 3 categories: low (<60%), moderate (60-80%), good (>80%) according to a mean of their score from the CFQ-R adherence score and confirmation against prescribed medications. Coefficient of variation for FEV₁ was calculated from all clinical contacts within the previous year. Age, gender, microbial status, disease severity, medication, respiratory and anthropometric measures were collected at baseline. Ordinal regression was used to determine the contribution of objective variables to adherence.

Results: 249 patients [age 29.7(±9.2) yrs, 58.6% (M)] completed the study. Regression analysis revealed that FEV₁ coefficient of variation [OR = 0.95; CI: 0.92-0.98, p=0.006], number of types of medication [OR = 1.18; CI: 1.11-1.26, p<0.001], and age [OR = 1.03; CI: 1.01 to 1.06, p=0.026] together explained 19% of the variance in the model, classified as having good fit. Banding status, gender, microbial status and genotype did not predict adherence.

Conclusion: Although adherence is complex in aetiology, we have shown that 3 objective measures can predict almost 20% of the model. The odds of being in a higher adherence category increase for every 1 year of age, for every 1% reduction in FEV₁ coefficient variation and each increase in medication.

The impact of disease severity and clinical variation on self-reported adherence

Shaw N, White H, Huntington S, Driffil A, Pollard K, Peckham DG

Background: In a previous study we have shown that variation coefficient of FEV₁ is a predictor of reported adherence in CF. Although disease severity was shown not to predict adherence the aim of this study was to firstly determine the level of adherence according to disease severity and secondly the relationship between disease severity and clinical variation.

Method: Patients were classified as Band1/1A [6%]; 2 [21.6%]; 2A [41.8%]; 3 [19.6%]; 4[9.1%]; 5 [2.8%] according to national standard criteria and their reported adherence noted from a previous study using CFQ-R self-report and pharmacy collection data. Coefficient of variation (calculated from the variation of highest and lowest consecutive points for FEV₁, weight and CRP, for the previous year) and days iv therapy were noted and compared across disease severity (ANOVA)

Results: Significant differences were observed across disease banding for all clinical variation markers (FEV₁, Weight, CRP). Adherence was greatest for Band 1/1A, decreases to Band 4 and improved in late stage disease.

| Measure | Band 1/1A | Band 2 | Band 2A | Band 3 | Band 4 | Band 5 | ANOVA |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Adherence (self-report) | 93.5% (±5.1) | 74.7% (±13.5) | 81%(±15.5) | 67.4% (±17.4) | 58.5% (±27.7) | 66.8% (±20.8) | $F(5, 242) = 2.33, p = .004.$ |
| Coefficient of variation FEV₁ | 6.7% (±3.5) | 13.2% (±7.5) | 9.0% (±5.3) | 13.1% (±5.6) | 17.5% (±4.6) | 18.2% (±11.7) | $F(2, 27) = 7.58, p < 0.001$ |
| Coefficient of variation weight | 2.3% (±1.9) | 2.8% (±1.6) | 2.3% (±1.4) | 3.2% (±1.2) | 5.4% (±6.8) | 5.6% (±6.9) | $F(5, 243) = 3.35, p = .006$ |
| Coefficient of variation CRP | 37.5% (±43.7) | 31.8% (±43.6) | 93.5% (±5.1) | 47.8% (±46.8) | 69.6% (±46.6) | 47.5% (±38.5) | $F(5, 243) = 4.51, p = .001.$ |
| Days iv therapy | 24.5% (±28.9) | 10.9% (±20.4) | 21.1% (±25.5) | 36.0% (±30.4) | 79.5% (±37.3) | 98.0% (±126.3) | $F(5, 243) = 20.8, p < 0.001$ |

Conclusion: Coefficient of variation for clinical markers of disease show clear graphical and significant trends across bandings of disease severity. Patients within Band 4 show poorest adherence, and greatest variation in lung function and CRP, suggesting targeting of this group