

Citation:

Hanna, E and Gough, B (2016) Searching for help online: An analysis of peer-to-peer posts on a male-only infertility forum. Journal of health psychology. ISSN 1359-1053 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105316644038

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/2574/

Document Version: Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Searching for help online: An analysis of peer-to-peer posts on a male-only infertility forum

Hanna, E and Gough, B

Abstract

Men's experiences of infertility help seeking are under research and thus less widely understood, with men's needs for support often missing from reproductive research knowledge. This paper presents qualitative thematic analysis of peer-to-peer posts within the context of a UK men only online infertility forum. The key themes demonstrate men value male support from those with experience, and that masculinity influences making help requests and frames men's actions and accounts. Online spaces offer men access to potentially valuable support, although more research across other online spaces/settings is required in order to inform practice around supporting men in the reproductive realm.

Keywords: Male infertility, Help seeking, Support, Online Research, Masculinity

Introduction

The use of online settings, such as forums, for help and support for health related topics has been and remains a growing resource for patients and researchers alike (Tyler and Williams, 2014; Tanis, 2008; Kummervold, Gammon, Bergvik, Johnsen, Hasvold and Ronsvinge, 2002; Coulson, 2005). The availability yet distance that a forum offers make it an accessible means for people to share experiences and seek help and support. It is suggested that online support settings may encourage social actors to share, even if there is not a normative expectation for them to do so (Mo, Malik and Coulson, 2009); online sources appear to facilitate help-seeking in relation to personal, sensitive topics where face-to-face encounters

prove inhibiting (Collin, Metcalf, Stephens-Reicher, Blandchard, Herrman, Rahilly and Burns, 2011). This may have particular relevance to men, who often seek help less readily than women in traditional settings, particularly for sensitive health related issues (Mo et al., 2009; Galdas, Cheater and Marshall, 2005; Addis and Mahalik, 2003; Kowalcek, Wihstutz, Buhrow and Diedrich, 2001; Gough, 2015). Hegemonic masculine norms, for example implying toughness, self-reliance and self-control, fuel commonly held assumptions among men that they should avoid help seeking around health issues (O'Brian, Hunt, Hart, 2005). However, relatively little is known about the help seeking of men in relation to infertility - whether hegemonic norms constrain men in the ways described by O'Brian et al (2005) or the type of support men do value if they seek help for infertility. This is therefore an area of enquiry in which further evidence is needed in order that best practice for supporting men can be achieved (AUTHORS, 2015).

Infertility is an issue which affects both men and women, with 1 in 6 couples being impacted by fertility issues in the UK (Oakley, 2011). In fertility cases it is suggested that 40% of cases there is both a male factor and female factor issue which is resulting in difficulties in conceiving, and 25% of infertility cases remain unexplained (National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health, 2013). Infertility is an issue in which stigma is often assumed to be particularly pronounced for men particularly if it is viewed as compromising their masculinity (Barnes, 2014) and thus may well constrain help seeking (AUTHORS, 2015; Nuffield Health, 2015). Previous exploratory work around men and infertility online found that men felt emotional distress as a result of infertility and often felt marginalised from fertility treatment by the medical professionals (Malik and Coulson, 2008). This research also showed that men felt that supporting their partners during their infertility journey was

their primary role, with their own support needs therefore being of secondary importance. Malik and Coulson (2008) concluded that more evidence was needed around the valuable features of online support and for further online settings to be examined in order to assess their use for men experiencing infertility.

Research focusing on men and help-seeking online is an area which is beginning to develop within the context of men's health (see Gough [2015]; Tyler and Williams [2014]; Flynn and Stana [2012]; Watkins and Jefferson [2013]). Much of this literature focuses on the barriers which hegemonic norms erect in relation to men's help seeking behaviour. For example, Tyler and Williams (2014) found that online settings might be important, acceptable spaces for men within the constraints of hegemonic norms. Gough (2015) also argues that examining online spaces can allow insights into men's help seeking and how they authenticate their experiences and manage their masculine identities. Similarly, Watkins and Jefferson (2013) suggested that online spaces may be valuable for supporting ethnic minority men around mental health issues who would not help seek in traditional contexts due to mistrust of formalised services. Importantly, Tyler and Williams (2014) observed that the anonymity offered by online forums was viewed as highly valuable, and that facilitating 'safe' help seeking overrode the risks of receiving unreliable information from other posters.

Building on this work, this paper is based on research of a men only infertility forum, examining the types of help seeking men do, the types of support and advice they value and the language used in performing these tasks. We also consider how notions of hegemonic masculinity (Connell, 1995) constrain and frame men's help seeking within online health spaces. Through understanding how men seek help and the features they use and value in help seeking, this paper will contribute to understandings of the needs of men in relation to

seeking help for infertility specifically, but sensitive health topics more widely, and the value of online support in meeting such needs. By furthering our understanding of the role and value of such online spaces, we can learn more about how men can best be supported for issues such as infertiWlity which may be sources of significant emotional distress (AUTHORS, forthcoming).

Methodology

This paper is based on qualitative analysis of posts on an online forum for infertility. The forum is hosted on a UK site, with the men only forum being part of the wider offer of the site which aims to support men who are experiencing sub-fertility or difficulties in conception within their relationships. The site is a not for profit website which aims to provide resources for men around infertility, the site is not wholly about medical aspects relating to fertility, although that is covered, but the site offers definitions of terminology and aspects of fertility journeys, as well as hosting the forum. The men only nature of the forum offers an opportunity to access the accounts of men talking with other men online, compared to other infertility forums in which men's boards may feature replies or support from women and men (c.f. Malik and Coulson, 2008). Whilst the forum is advertised as 'men only' this does not mean that the forum is only around men talking about male infertility, the forum is designed for men to discuss issues pertaining to delayed conception and subfertility/infertility issues, which can of course involve male factor issues, but also female factor and where both parties in couples are experiencing fertility issues. The forum is thus inclusive of the various ways fertility issues may present within men's lives and is about offering a space for men to discuss fertility challenges that are occurring to them both directly in a medical sense, but also within the context of their intimate relationships. We

have not focused specifically on the different types of fertility issues that men may be describing on the forum, focusing on the social and emotive experiences rather than on medical or epidemiological aspects pertaining to fertility. The posts for this analysis were extracted from the 'General discussion' board of the forum, which was the most used section, and in total 415 posts were included, featuring 20 unique usernames. Within this article we draw from 11 of these posters, of which two of the forums 'regulars' (FP2 and FP5) feature more strongly. This reflects the nature of the communications of the forums, with a core of regular posters, and then a wider group who 'dipped in' (and 'out'). The sample size remains in line with other qualitative work, prioritising depth and richness of data within the sample, and the experiences of those detailed within our analysis are indicative of this forums context, rather than to all men seeking help online. For our analysis we focused on posts in which men sought help, discussed help seeking (such as the value of it), desire for support, lack of support in 'reality' as opposed to virtually, and gendered aspects of help seeking/support. The titles of threads were often indicative of the help seeking content, including: 'help'; 'male support'; 'trying to cope'; 'I found you...'; 'I can't be the only one, can I?'.

The included posts were read and coded, and then themes generated following the method detailed for inductive thematic analysis by Braun and Clarke (2006). The authors developed themes independently before discussing and agreeing on final themes. The size of dataset used here is consistent with other online qualitative data sets in research of this nature (e.g. Stommel and Koole, 2010). The number of 'posters' reflects the nature of the men only forum, in which there were fewer contributing posters than readers (a point to which this article will return within the analysis). The primary data collection and analysis was done by

the lead author [authors' initials to be added in final draft] a female researcher whose research focuses around supporting men as fathers. The researcher approaches understandings of fertility not from a perspective of personal lived experience, but rather a feminist standpoint, seeking to address the importance of understanding men's experiences in order to enable gender equity, i.e. men to support women and vice versa.

The research received ethical approval from the local University ethics committee, and follows the now established principles for researching online (Eysenbach and Till, 2001; Rodham and Gavin, 2006; Roberts, 2015). The forum used for this research is open access, with the information freely available in the public domain without registration or login. User names of posters and identifying information has been anonymised within this article, a code has been assigned to each poster to differentiate them from each other (e.g FP1, FP2 etc).

Analysis

Four major themes around help seeking and support were identified: The value of insider male-only support; It is ok to share, isn't it?; Getting things off your chest; and The shadow of hegemonic masculinity.

The value of insider male-only support

Many of the posters noted that the forum offered them help and support that they felt unable to access elsewhere, with other social support channels (both formal and informal) perceived as inappropriate to them, and family and friends narrated as 'outsiders':

I haven't really had the chance to talk with anyone about what I am going through as none of my friends or family have been through this (FP10)

We were offered counselling but I don't fancy that (FP12)

I have found that friends and family generally don't get it and are a relatively poor source for comfort or discussion. They usually mean well but unless they are going through it or have done, their support is generally fruitless (FP6)

Forum posters therefore reported that friends and family lacked knowledge or 'experience' in relation to infertility, thus engaging with them around the topic was deemed perhaps not worth the personal and emotional sacrifice that men may make to explain their current issues around delayed conception within their relationships. Friends and family who posters had revealed their fertility challenges to were viewed as being 'fruitless' in their support, and their desire to offer comfort was viewed as being well intentioned but compromised by naivety, or lack of understanding. In a context where usual forms of support are decried, both informal (social circle) and more formalised support (counselling), the forum provides welcome and valid encounters for men who are seeking help and advice in the context of infertility.

Men seeking help on the forum often appeared 'stuck' in terms of who to seek support from about infertility, and the forum appeared to fill a 'need gap' for them in that regard:

I'm really glad I've found a forum for guys on this rocky road...I seem to have spent the last month or two finding endless forums for women to share their conception/fertility woes but nothing for men...I have been feeling pretty down about the whole thing and really wanted to find somewhere to chat with other people who had been through it all as you do end up feeling like there isn't anyone you can talk to (FP1)

Men then specifically discussed the need to seek help and support from those who 'got it, specifically other men in the same situation. The forum then created 'a chance for men to talk to men about the topic' (FP8), and being able to engage with other men offered the possibility of seeking advice about aspects they could not discuss with their female partners. For example, men used the forum to seek advice about how to support their partners during fertility treatment:

I was hoping to find other men who seem to have there hands tide [sic], meaning they don't know how to reach around there wives when they are going through IUI's and IVF's on their extra hormones...If anyone has a say on this please help or please direct me to where I can get some (FP18)

Engaging with other men who had been through similar experiences appeared to hold important value for men, and help and support from fellow 'insiders' was appreciated:

I do need to talk with people who have/are going through what I am at the moment so would welcome any response I get to this (FP10)

Men often used the experience of other forum members to seek specific help when they moved into 'new to them' territory, such as the decision to stop IVF or to explore gamete donation procedures:

Anyone out there that can throw light on egg donation??? (FP11)

There was therefore a sense that understanding how others had approached similar situations would enable posters to consider their own options. This often appeared to come down to seeking validation for new choices in their infertility journey:

Anyone out there...any of you LURKERS who just read and never write...I need you right now. Please. If you've been through this process and know what I'm going through I really need to know what you think. How did you come to terms with fatherhood without genetic parenthood? Could you at all? I'd love to hear someone's story (FP2)

Thus, though the sharing that occurred on the forum between men, a 'community of practice' can be seen as developing (Paechter, 2003).

Its ok to share, isn't it?

The anonymous nature of the forum was viewed as being positive feature for the men, as it allowed open sharing which was 'safe', and this feature was used as a justification by a number of posters for initially using and continuing to use the forum:

The good thing about this forum is that it is totally anonymous, people don't know who you are they just understand the pain you are going through and want to help (FP5)

Thanks for your PM [Private Message]. I do appreciate it, although on this occasion I'm not going to respond, since I do want to keep the anonymity which this site allows (FP8)

That the anonymous element is cited in the above quote as more significant to them than the possibility of further communication 'offline' on a one-to-one basis with other men demonstrates that sharing and help seeking is perhaps only viewed as satisfactory within certain parameters.

Men also noted that the anonymity of the forum allowed them to share in ways they perhaps would not have otherwise:

I'm quite a private person (you may laugh as I am telling you all my thoughts and life story on here but its anonymous) (FP5)

Post such as these demonstrate that anonymity allows men to seek help and support in ways that they may feel uncomfortable or challenged to do so 'offline'. Men may therefore feel that online practices might run counter to their 'offline' personas, and the unidentified nature of their presence in the forum space allowed them to step outside of their normalised sharing and help seeking practices.

Those men who did share on the forum often rhetorically questioned why other men did not do so. Exchanges such as the one below between FP5 and FP2 (both of whom were among the core of regular posters on the forum) demonstrate an ongoing debate about why more people do not post on the forum:

- Initial post: Am I really the only man in the world who feels that by using this site to put down my thoughts and feeling and to get things off my chest is a good idea (FP5)
- Reply: For what its worth, I find it utterly baffling that more men don't jump at the opportunity to rand and rave like we have done over the last year or so...I guess you and I are the weird ones (FP2)

The language men used in such posts suggest an effort to create distance from feminised emoting, and further, the identification of themselves (the regular posters) as the 'weird ones' offers inoculation as an attempt to ward off possible criticism for overt emoting.

Frequently posters referenced 'frustration' and 'confusion' about why the forum was not more readily utilised. For example:

I'm sorry to resurrect a dead post but its always had confused and irritated me that it seems lots of people read my/[names of other posters] stories but don't contribute. I know that that's just men...but I see the support and friends [partner] has fostered in a more open and sharing environment...and I just find it frustrating that such a valuable and unique form is so tragically underused (FP2)

Constructions of masculinity and sex differences are here explicitly related to the acceptability of sharing: men who post on the forum appear to want to share, and seek others who want the same, but the question of whether sharing is acceptable for men remains. Men therefore construed the forum as a valuable means for sharing, yet the lack of other men posting troubled some posters, who appeared to try and normalise and validate the notion that 'its ok to share' through encouraging others to join in and gain the benefits they were experiencing.

Getting things off your chest: Men's help seeking language

The forum also offered insight into the ways in which men constructed their help seeking, particularly in terms of language used, and how help seeking was formulated. The concept of 'getting things off your chest' (FP5), for example, comes up across forum threads:

It's not counselling or psychiatry, it's just getting it off your chest (FP2)

There are a lot of people who read here but don't post, so its nice to have someone else joining in, and hopefully we can help if there's anything that you want to get off your chest (FP8)

In using the phrase 'getting things off your chest' men were keen to distance the forum from more formal psychological services (i.e. counselling or psychiatry) which may be viewed as feminised (Karepova, 2010; Morison et al., 2014). More regular posters on the forum, such as FP2, were therefore keen to use language which minimised the offer of the type of support, and the use of 'it's just' attempts to show that the forum is not excessive in terms of its emoting or formalisation. The language of the posters demonstrated a community of practice seeking to support men, using 'soft' language such as 'nice' and 'hopefully' to convey a friendly, informal, supportive environment.

The help and support that men sought from the forum was often framed around the notion of 'stress' (e.g. 'the stress can build up fast' [FP6]). Stress and related terms are often preferred by men as a way of conceptualising vulnerability or in relation to mental health issues (Robertson et al., 2014). The language of stress, and the opportunity the forum affords for helping to cope with stress, was also used as a means of motivating other men to post on the forum:

Write something guys! Say Hello! It's validating! It's stress relief! (FP2)

The way in which the value of the forum for stress relief is conveyed is again in soft, positive language, which takes an informal tone (the use of 'guys' for example). Language is thus used to create opportunities for other men to help-seek, using simple openers such as 'say hello', is unproblematic in terms of response and may help contribute towards the atmosphere of 'safety' which men appear to highly value when seeking support around sensitive issues (*c.f.* Robertson et al., 2014).

The forum space was constructed by men as an outlet for sharing experiences and concerns, one in which they could seek help and support for the stress of the situations they faced: 'It's a great space to offload' (FP9). The language of 'offloading' suggests a mechanical metaphor, and in relation to male infertility mechanical language has been viewed as a stereotypical male response (Gannon et al., 2004; Barnes, 2014).

The language that men used in terms of help seeking, and particularly in terms of offering support or advice to other men, was characterised by the use of 'honesty' devices:

I will not lie to you, it can be an extremely difficult time going through treatment and especially the 2 week wait but as along as you are there for each other and try to stay positive then good things can happen (FP5)

your advice is sound (FP9)

This notion of being 'honest' ('I will not lie to you'), and the sense of receiving 'good advice', shows a quite pragmatic flavour to the help seeking/ supporting relationships. It also perhaps dovetails with the idea discussed above, of the value of lived experience in the help seeking and supporting relationships on the forum. Honesty perhaps fits with the concept of 'getting it' - if men are perceived to understand, then their advice and support may be better received and trusted by those who seek it.

The shadow of hegemonic masculinity

'Masculinity' was often invoked on the forum. For example, posters discussed 'blokes' having similar feelings but different approaches for dealing with these, which reflects perceptions around hegemonic coping strategies, such as men being insular and private, as opposed to women being open and emotive:

I know it can be hard to open up when it is something so private but after all we might be blokes but we still have the same thoughts and fears that ladies do, we just deal with them in different ways (FP5)

Other posters note that 'I just feel useless but cant show that to anyone' (FP9), again reflecting the role men are often assumed to take in 'being strong' and not expressing distress, difficulty or uncertainty to others, even with their intimate partners (Connell, 1995). Some posters more explicitly deploy generalisations about how men 'should' behave:

I know that as men we have an inability to express our feelings but this site has really helped me to come to terms with all the things that are going off in my life (FP5)

The generalised views of men's needs around help seeking and emotional support are also correlated to stereotyped views of women. For example:

Most men however don't even know how they feel themselves most of the time and just know they feel good or bad about stuff! My point is the need for support for a girl is so much greater than the needs of a bloke. So it stands to reason that everyone, including the bloke will prioritise the feelings of the woman. They express more need so they are prioritised...Blokes find it hard to talk and frankly usually don't want to, so is it so surprising that the ladies (who often have 'talking' to spare) tend to speak for them in doing so, take the spotlight? (FP2)

Thus not only are hegemonic norms shadowing the perceptions of men and their understandings of how or why men help seek or not in relation to sensitive issues such as infertility, but also stereotypical views of women as expressive 'talkers', and more dominant in emotional spheres were also presented.

However, whilst men expounded notions of men as detached and less emotionally invested or literate around their fertility experiences, the depth of distress often displayed by men on this forum (as we have explored elsewhere, *c.f* AUTHORS- submitted) appeared to contradict statements that men can 'detach' from the experience and that women 'feel' more in the context of sub-fertility.

A number of posters noted that their wives/partners gained support online, and that there is a bigger 'pool of support' on the forums which women frequent compared to this male only site:

...bit shocked there aint more men on here compared to sites my wife visits [names of other forums] but glad theres other guys out there willing to open and talk (FP7).

Quite a number of the men on the forum spoke about their wives/partners using forums and gaining very valuable support from other women online. Academic work has more widely considered female rather than male experiences of infertility online, for example Malik and Coulson's (2011) work around forums and Cousineau at al,. (2008), who explored the value of an eHealth intervention for infertility with women. Men may therefore not be help seeking for infertility online as much as women do, however, in comparison to male peer-to-peer help seeking 'offline', men's engagement online may be seen as extensive. The wider notion that men may be less willing, or able to seek the support of other men for infertility problems than their female counterpart's offline was noted, for example:

My wife has made friends with a few girls undergoing treatment at the same place as we are and one of them has a husband who was willing to meet up with us too. Holy cow: a man going through IVF who is willing to socialise with another men who is

undergoing IVF. Is this a unique situation? Should I contact the Guinness book of records? (FP2)

Through strategies of humour, FP2 presents this experience of meeting another man experiencing IVF as an exceptional case, therefore underlining what is perceived to be the strangeness of men talking to and supporting each other around difficult, sensitive issues face-to-face. Thus in offline 'reality' settings men are perceived to be further constrained in help seeking with other men around infertility, thus the forum space, whilst still facing challenges, does offer a space and setting that men are more comfortable with engaging in.

Some men also noted that they had not been encouraged by the approach of professionals to seek help or support, with the perception that they should 'manage' their emotional responses independently:

during this whole period I was not offered counselling or put into contact with other men who have been through this. I have just had to put on a brave face for my wife, grit my teeth and get on with it. Once we had been told this news all the attention turned to my wife, in essence I have just been brushed aside, a broken tool that is no longer of any use (FP10)

Men are therefore viewed as being neglected in professional healthcare settings, and health professionals can be seen as reinforcing hegemonic masculinities in this regard (Seymour-Smith, 2002). Masculine ideals about how men should cope, and particularly that they should not need help or support due to not being 'in touch' with their feelings, or viewed as less able to articulate them, were therefore present within the forum.

Discussion

The men only forum on which this analysis is based therefore appeared to offer the men who posted the opportunity to seek help in a way which was useful for them. Given that men describe subfertility/infertility as a deeply difficult experience (AUTHORS, submitted), seeking help online seemed for some men to be a source of real comfort and support in an experience otherwise narrated as deeply isolating. This chimes with other research in this area with both men and women identifying infertility as an isolating experience(Hinton et al., 2010; Malik and Coulson, 2010), and how the internet can allow 'anonymous, timely, targeted access to the experience of others' (Hinton et al., 2010: 440). Men on the forum examined here frequently noted their desire to share with other men who were 'insiders', who understood their 'plight', echoing previous suggestions that in the context of infertility men may be specifically seeking out the support of other men with 'experience' (Malik and Coulson, 2008). Seeking emotional support is viewed as the main reason that people use health related social networks (Colineau and Paris, 2010) and often the men on this forum wanted specific support. This was often around helping their partners or around transition points within the infertility journey, which is pertinent given that men are often presented as suffering silently as the 'emotional rock' in order to support their partners (Cousineau and Domar, 2007). Since men demonstrate a desire to best support their partners (Malik and Coulson, 2008), having assistance from other men around support for their partners can be viewed as understandably important to them, particularly as infertility is suggested to be a context which can be transformative (both positively and negatively) on intimate relationships (Steuber and Solomon, 2008). Whilst there is a risk that people may isolate themselves from other viewpoints when seeking out support from those in similar situations

to themselves online (Hinton et al., 2010), that some men are seeking support in this way may be more beneficial that not reaching out for any support at all.

The men only nature of the forum therefore appeared to give men confidence to seek support and advice, particularly as other support networks (e.g. friends/family/counselling) were often viewed as less valuable for men around infertility. Men on this forum thus appeared to have created a community of practice (Patecher, 2003), drawing from their collective knowledge (even if this was a relatively small collective in numerical terms) in order to provide help and support they appeared to not be able to access elsewhere (Colineau and Paris, 2008). There also appears to be value to such online support for men being anonymous, perhaps linked to the perceived sensitivity and stigma around infertility (particularly male infertility). This echoes findings of work around other sensitive men's health topics (e.g Flynn and Stana, 2012), in which men seem to capitalise on the anonymity of an online space as a rare outlet to be able to share and gain support. Offline, the evidence still suggests that men find the disclosure and discussion of (in) fertility difficult (Nuffield Health, 2015). More research is needed to specify the contexts in which men may feel comfortable in seeking support and sharing personal stories concerning infertility experiences, and to further ascertain whether the sharing which occurred in the forum explored here was unique to that context and those posters whose data is presented within this article.

Help seeking on the forum was often framed or constrained for those posters examined by notions of masculinity, most obviously in the language deployed around help seeking, such as the frequent references to 'stress', 'getting things off your chest' and 'honest' advice. Such phrasing can be viewed as minimising or detaching from the perceived feminised

business of emoting. The careful selection of language by men on the forum, often with carefully crafted posts, demonstrated the line some were treading between the desire to seek help and the constraints of masculinity norms that suggest men should 'cope' internally and individually. The stress provoked by attempts to balance sharing needs and masculinity norms echoes previous work which has demonstrated that men find 'self-help' groups as challenging and more troublesome to their identities than women (Seymour-Smith, 2008). Further research could usefully examine the differences in the help seeking and support language of men and women on single sex and mixed sex forums to establish if men's advice-based communication on infertility forums does adopt a different tone or style to that of women. Previous research on mixed-sex forums does support the idea that forums for infertility are predominantly used for support and empathy (Malik and Coulson, 2010), but given that only 6 of the 778 posters in that sample were identified as men, being able to establish differences in language around help seeking for infertility between men and women is still requiring further investigation. Evidence from other health related forums suggests that women are more emotionally focused in their language (Mo et al., 2009) but this has not been established in relation to infertility.

The analysis shows that there were attempts to normalise help seeking, or for men to validate their own help-seeking requests on the forum. Given that traditional masculine norms discourage vulnerability displays and emotional expressiveness, the attempts to affirm that sharing is ok on this forum are perhaps understandable. It is suggested that

Online health communities seem to promote deep relationships. People are not considered as strangers. Instead, they are immediately seen as peers, as people who can help and whom they can befriend (Colineau and Paris, 2010: 157)

Thus online settings may create different norms around help seeking and communication which may transcend usual practice, including around constraints related to masculinity, although this needs further examination in other online settings and research with men about help seeking for infertility both on and offline.

The tension between the limited numbers of people who posted compared to those who read the forum posts appeared to further fuel confusion about why others did not seek support actively rather than passively, and as we discussed within the analysis those who did post attended to their non-normative help-seeking posts by recognising the possibility of their gendered strangeness, which served to inoculate them against criticism from others and enable them to complete and validate their requests. Our identification of men on this forum using such strategies to inoculate and legitimate their help seeking correlates with Robertson's (2003) notion that justification is important for men in relation to help seeking, in order that men are not emasculated by the help seeking process.

Forums are useful for the examination of the experiences of men who do seek help online, but not for those who do not actively post. It is difficult to know if the posters on the forum are 'typical' in that they may be comfortable sharing and expressing their feelings more generally than other men, i.e. less constrained by masculinity norms, although some posters noted they were only able to help seek on the forum due to it being anonymous so those who did post may not be untypical. Whilst it is suggested that 'lurkers' on forums for infertility do experience benefits from reading not posting (Malik and Coulson, 2011), little is known about men who are 'lurkers', or about alternative help seeking and support mechanism that men utilise to cope with infertility offline. The men who posted on the forum, and the admin for the forum itself, noted the large numbers of 'readers not posters'

that existed for this site, thus as we have noted elsewhere (AUTHORS-Forthcoming) the 'lurking' population is presumed larger than the posting population in the context of this forum. More is therefore needed to be understood about the benefits of 'by proxy' support or help which men may experience from lurking (or indeed if it is women who lurk on men only forums). Such aspects are not readily unpacked, and more researched is required to further grapple with these issues. However, the knowledge that others may be gaining something from, or are indeed reading, posts may be enough for posters to feel they are part of a wider 'community of practice' (Paetchter, 2003) and that help-seeking practices radiate beyond the immediate online dialogue between poster and responder, posters to the forum appeared to demonstrate an awareness of their wider reach in terms of readers in their posts. In an area such as men's experiences of infertility, where there are perceived to be limited opportunities for men to share, seek help, and support from and with other men, and where stigma around the issue is seen to be persistant (Barnes, 2015) that some men may engaging in the practice of 'lurking' therefore perhaps seems unsurprising.

This paper therefore offers a 'way in' to further knowledge about how men seek help and support online for infertility. Limitations do exist around the relatively modest number of posters who used this one UK-based site. As with other online research around infertility online (*c.f.* Stueber and Solomon, 2008), the value of being able to see how men may speak 'naturally' with one another online is a useful contribution to our understanding of men's experiences of and need for support, however the ability to make wider conclusions is restricted by the self-selecting nature of those who may post on such forums. From our research, it appears that online settings have value for men in engaging with other men who share similar experiences, as other studies of male-only online support forums have

suggested (e.g. Flynn and Stana, 2012). From a health promotion perspective, such forums could be signposted to men facing infertility for informal help and support, while future initiatives could target men using 'male-friendly' language, offering remote, anonymous support, and employing peers to help normalise the experience. However, further research is needed to explore the wider context of, and intersections between, help seeking, stigma, masculinity and gendered communication in relation to infertility so that best practice for supporting men both on and offline can be much more firmly established.

References

Addis, M and Mahalik, J (2003) Men, masculinity and the contexts of help seeking. *American Psychologist* 58: 5-14

Barnes, L. (2014). *Conceiving Masculinity: Male Infertility, Medicine, and Identity.* Philadelphia: Temple University Press

Braun V and Clarke, V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology* 3: 77-101

Colineau, N and Paris, C (2010) Talking about your health to strangers: Understanding the use of online social networks by patients. *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia* 16 (1-2): 141-160

Collin, P, Metcalf, A, Stephens- Reicher, J, Blanchard, M, Herrman, H, Rahilly, K and Burns, J, (2011) ReachOut.com: The role of an online service for promoting help-seeking in young people. *Advances in Mental Health* 10(1): 39–51

Connell, R. W. (1995). Masculinities. Cambridge: Polity Press

Coulson, N. (2005). Receiving Social Support Online: An Analysis of a Computer-Mediated Support Group for Individuals Living with Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Cyber Psychology & Behavior* 8 (6): 580-584

Cousineau, T and Domar, A (2007) Psychological impact of infertility. *Best practice* & *research Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 21 (2): 293-308

Eysenbach, G and Till, J (2001). Ethical issues in qualitative research on internet communities. *BMJ* 323: 1103

Flynn, A and Stana, A. (2012) Social support in a Men's online eating disorder forum. International Journal of Men's Health 11 (2): 150-169

Galdas, P, Cheater, F and Marshall, P (2005) Men and health help-seeking behaviour: literature review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 49(6): 616-625

Gannon, Gannon, K., Glover, L., and Abel, P (2004) Masculinity, infertility, stigma and media reports. *Social Science & Medicine 59*: 1169-1175.

Gough, B (2015) Men's depression talk online: A qualitative analysis of accountability and authenticity in help-seeking and support formulations. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity* <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0039456</u>

Hinton, L, Kurinczuk, J, Ziebland, S. (2010). Infertility; Isolation and the Internet: A qualitative study. *Patient Education and Counseling*.81: 436-441

Karepova, M (2010) *Psychological Counselling in Russia: The Making of a Feminised Profession.* PhD thesis, University of York

Kowalcek,I, Wihstutz, N, Buhrow, A and Diedrich, K (2001) Coping with male infertility: Gender differences. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 265: 131- 136

Kummervold, P,Gammon, G,Bergvik, S, Johnsen, J, Hasvold[,] T and Rosenvinge[,] J (2002) Social support in a wired world: Use of online mental health forums in Norway. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry* 56 (1): 59-65

Malik, S and Coulson, N (2008) The male experience of infertility: a thematic analysis of an online infertility support group bulletin board. *Journal of reproductive and infant psychology* 26 (1): 18-30

Malik, S and Coulson, N (2010) Coping with infertility online: An examination of self-help mechanisms in an online infertility support group. *Patient Education and Counseling.* 18: 315-318

Malik, S and Coulson, N (2011) A comparison of lurkers and posters within infertility online support groups. *Computers, Informatics, Nursing* 29 (10): 564-573

Mo, P, Malik, S and Coulson, N (2009) Gender differences in computer-mediated communication: A systematic literature review on online health-related support groups. *Patient Education and Counselling* 75: 16-24

Morison, L, Trigeorgis, C and John, M (2014) Are mental health services inherently feminised? *The Psychologist* 27: 414-417

National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health (2013). *Fertility: assessment and treatment for people with fertility problems.*[Online]. Available at:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK247932/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK247932.pdf Accessed 26th February 2016

Oakley, L. (2011). *The epidemiology of infertility: measurement, prevalence and an investigation of early life and reproductive, risk factors* PhD Thesis. London School of hygiene and tropical medicine. [Online]. Available at: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/682432/1/549753.pdf. Accessed: 17/9/15

O'Brien, R, Hunt, K and Hart, G (2005) 'It's caveman stuff, but that is to a certain extent how guys still operate': men's accounts of masculinity and help seeking *Social Science & Medicine* 61 (3): 503-516

Paetchter, C (2003) Masculinities and feminities as communities of practice. Women's Studies International Forum 26 (1): 69-77

Rodham, K and Gavin, J (2006) The ethics of using the internet to collect qualitative research data. *Research Ethics Review* 2 (3): 92-97

Robertson S (2003) Men managing health. *Men's Health Journal* 2(4): 111–113. Robertson, S, White, A, Gough, B, Robinson, M, Seims, A, Raine, R and Hanna, E (2014) *Promoting Mental Health and Wellbeing with Men and Boys: What Works?* Project Report. Centre for Men's Health, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds.

Seymour- Smith, S (2002) 'My wife ordered me to come!': a discursive analysis of doctors' and nurses' accounts of men's use of general practitioners. *The Journal of Health Psychology* 7 (3): 253-268

Seymour-Smith, S (2008) 'Blokes don't like that sort of thing': Men's negotiation of a 'troubled' self-help group identify. *Journal of Health Psychology* 13 (6): 785-797

Smith, JA (2004) Reflecting on the development of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis and its contribution to qualitative research in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 1: 39–54.

Steuber, K and Solomon, D (2008) Relational uncertainty, partner interference, and infertility: A qualitative study of discourse within online forums. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships* 25 (5): 831-855

Stommel, W and Koole, T (2010) The online support group as a community: A micro-analysis of the interaction with a new member. *Discourse Studies* 12(3): 357–378.

Tanis, M (2008) Health-related Online forums: What's the big attraction? *Journal of Health Communication: International Perspectives* 7: 698-714

Tyler, R and Williams, S (2014) Masculinity in young men's health: Exploring health, helpseeking and health service use in an online environment. *Journal of Health Psychology* 19 (4): 457-470

Watkins, D and Jefferson, S (2013) Recommendations for the Use of Online Social Support for African American Men. *Psychological Services* 10 (3): 323-332