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ABSTRACT

It has been well established that Public Relations is a gendered industry, and nevertheless the one in which women earn less and face glass ceiling. Recently, researchers also reported on problems with networking and mentoring. Many of these findings have been reported in annual European Communications Monitor (ECM), the largest European research project focusing on trends in Public Relations through self-assessment of PR practitioners. In this particular paper, using the approach of liberal feminism while embracing the view of radical feminism of patriarchy, we looked at results from the ECM in the period between 2009 (when the gender came to the agenda for the first time in this research) and 2015 in an attempt to discuss how far have feminism got in achieving equality of women with men, at least when findings from the European Communications survey are in stake. The results show that inequality still exists not only in traditional areas of inequality such as the wage gap and the glass ceiling, but also in other areas such as job security and mentoring. Nevertheless, it seems that inequality transforms when one issue gets tackled and new issues continue to arise, with which it can be said that the social structure is still based on inequality between genders and that while
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issues can be tackled, the whole problem of equality feels like one step forward and two steps back. The conclusion of the paper is that feminists need to work with men to tackle patriarchy and with that also inequality of genders, including in Public Relations.
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**INTRODUCTION**

It has been well established that Public Relations is a gendered industry, evidenced by the number of women working in the industry which has grown considerably in the past decades (Fitch, 2016a; CIPR State of the Profession Report, 2015; Verhoeven & Aarts, 2010; Fitch & Third, 2010; Daymon & Demetrious, 2010; Wyatt, 2013; Aldoory & Toth, 2002). However, even though Public Relations is a predominantly female industry, women face obstacles in achieving equality with their male colleagues. The most common problems are the wage gap (also called pay gap) and the glass ceiling (Grunig et al, 2001; Place, 2015; Merchant, 2012; Fröhlich & Peters, 2007; Dozier et al, 2007).

Liberal feminism has been arguing for decades that women face inequality in all aspects of society, and proponents of this view advocate change of the social system asking that women are allowed to compete for the same positions as men, and that they are treated equally (Rakow & Nastasia, 2009). The radical feminist position, on the other hand, has been arguing for decades that women are systematically oppressed by men and that the laws of patriarchy still exist and can be found in the social system based on historical inequality (ibid). In opposition to liberal feminism, radical feminists argue for the complete change of system to allow full equality of women, since the current system clearly does not work for women.

Using the view of liberal feminism of the lack of equality among men and women while embracing the view of radical feminism on patriarchy and historical inequality of women with men, the aim of this paper is to discuss how far have we got in gender equality? Are we reducing the inequalities between men and women or not?

In the following sections the paper will therefore discuss a liberal feminist approach and juxtapose it against radical feminism and the position of women in Public Relations industry. After that, we will present findings from the
European Communications Monitor, the largest European survey on Public Relations (PR) professionals from a period between 2009 and 2015 where practitioners were asked to self-assess the situation in the industry and the position of women to establish whether there is a trend of changes in the equality between male and female practitioners.

**THE POSITION OF WOMEN IN PUBLIC RELATIONS**

It has been widely accepted that Public Relations has become a gendered profession (Verhoeven & Aarts, 2010; Fitch & Third, 2010; Daymon & Demetrious, 2010) because the majority of PR professionals are now females. This is not only the case in the United States where gendering first occurred, but also in other countries such as Sweden, Germany, the Netherlands, Australia (Bentele & Junghanel, 2004; Fitch & Third, 2010; Verhoeven & Aarts, 2010), and the UK where women form the majority of employees in Public Relations (The House of Commons Report, 2014; CIPR State of the Profession Report, 2016) but are still paid significantly less than their male colleagues even if they managed to achieve senior managerial positions in larger numbers than before (CIPR State of the Profession Report, 2016; Dozier et al, 2007).

One of the central questions in current debates in Public Relations is the question of power in organisations in regards to managerial positions. The question asked is who has the power, and many research studies confirmed it is men who have the power when it comes to management while women seem to be powerless (Verhoeven & Arts, 2010; Grunig et al, 2001; Aldoory & Toth, 2002). In this context saying that women are powerless means that female PR practitioners are continually dealing with the glass ceiling, lower pay, lack of employee support, power in the organisation and inclusion in business networks (Place, 2012).

However, this is not a new issue. Numerous studies demonstrated the inequality of women in the industry in general and in Public Relations in particular. The first report on the situation in Public Relations starting from a liberal feminist perspective was *The Velvet Ghetto report* (Cline et al, 1986), which warned that the number of women in Public Relations increased, while there is still a gendered segregation in place when it comes to getting into managerial and non-managerial roles, or a glass ceiling problem. Liberal feminists have generally rejected differences in treatment among men and women in PR and have been arguing women must be able to compete for same
positions and same salaries with men (Aldoory & Toth, 2002; Toth & Grunig, 1993; Grunig, 1988; Rakow & Nastasia, 2009).

When it comes to the glass ceiling and the wage gap, the situation depends from country to country, however, it seems that discrepancies in terms of how much women and men are paid is not decreasing. For example, in the UK female PR practitioners managed to achieve progress and now do have a presence in managerial roles, however, at the same time they are still paid less than men in the same positions (CIPR State of the Profession Report, 2016; Yeomans, 2014; 2013; 2010). While women managed to increase their numbers in managerial positions and now total to 67% of managers, and 61% of heads of communications (Figure 1), some studies are showing that men are still paid significantly more, which brings to the total difference in pay of £42,976 versus £58,015 in favour of men (CIPR State of the Profession Report, 2016). This data is relevant because the UK is among top 10 countries in the world when it comes to women’s rights (YouGov, 2015), however, even such a country that gives more rights to women is still not freed from inequality.

On a European level, there is data available for the European Union according to which there are “three types of disadvantages women face: lower hourly earnings; working fewer hours in paid jobs; and lower employment rates (for example when interrupting a career to take care of children or relatives) (European Commission, 2015). For example, the gender wage gap in the EU as a whole totals 41.1% and the lowest gap is recorded in Slovenia (3.2%) while the highest one is recorded in Estonia (29.9%) (Figure 2). The economically most developed countries of the EU such as the UK, Germany, France and the Netherlands are somewhere in between but tending towards larger wage gap.


Figure 1. Senior positions per gender (UK).

Figure 2. Wage gap in the EU.
When we look at this broader picture on an EU level, we do need to ask how far has feminism got, at least when the PR industry is in stake?

LIBERAL AND RADICAL FEMINISM

Feminism in general has a goal to eradicate inequality of women (Martin, 2003). However, when it comes to feminist research of Public Relations a criticism has emerged as to whether feminists address all issues in the field or solely focus on inequality in wages and the positions. For example, Hon (1995) argued that “discrimination against women in public relations cannot be separated from the organizational and societal systems that produce gender biases” (1995, p. 65), while Pompper (2012, p. 89) argued that, “most public relations researchers who study gender have focused exclusively on a female/male dichotomy in salary and job satisfaction without considering other defining human relations factors”. This criticism calls towards positioning feminist research in Public Relations within feminist paradigm that seeks to eradicate the current system of power and the way the power is managed. In other words, as legal activist Catharine A. McKinnon argued, the point of feminism is an attempt to eradicate gender hierarchy in a sense that the power means someone must dominate while feminists are challenging this assumption and the concept of power in itself (McKinnon, 1987, p. 22-23, cited from Daymon & Demetrious, 2014, p. 2). However, even though McKinnon challenged the system of power in 1987 scholars are still calling for challenging the very same thing (Pompper, 2012; Fitch et al, 2016), because power as it stands in current societies ultimately results in inequality.

Liberal feminism indeed argues that the gender system should be minimised, and that there are unequal distributions of gender roles. This then leads to activism to change the existing social structures to ensure gender equality (Rakow & Nastasia, 2009; Verhoeven & Aarts, 2010), which is in line with concerns expressed by Public Relations scholars. The fundamental premise of liberal feminism is that “all women and men should be considered full individuals, capable of making rational decisions; a special focus should be placed upon opportunities for women to increase social and political participation only because women have not been treated as full individuals for a long time, and only until this advantage for women is overcome” (Rakow & Nastasia, 2009, p. 254). In a nutshell, liberal feminism asserts that the gender system should be minimised, women should be seen as rational individual human beings in the same way as men, there is a need for reform of the
distribution of power between men and women by distributing roles, women have been discriminated throughout history and the social change must come within existing social structures (ibid, p. 256).

Liberal feminism has been a predominant paradigm in research on women in Public Relations with some works using also radical feminism as a theoretical premise (Fitch, 2015), however, this position is predominantly present in the US while other countries often embrace radical feminism, e.g. UK (Mendes, 2011). Nevertheless, critical scholars advocate that a more thorough change is needed to understand how power is constructed in Public Relations and how identities are socially constructed (Fitch et al, 2016; Fitch, 2016a). In addition, a post-feminist position must be challenged as there is a prevailing view that equality of women and men has been achieved and feminists are seen in a negative light of those who are over-imposing women over men, while men suffer because of the success of feminism. As Fitch, James and Motion (2016, p. 283) explain, this type of view presents “a rejection of feminism, or of the need for feminism”, even though all data on the position of women proves the opposite.

Liberal feminism has also been criticised for taking uniformed stance and discussing women’s position as unified “assuming that white experience could speak for that of all women” (Humm, 1995, p. 25). For example, one of the critiques of feminism is that “only some women benefited from feminism-inspired social change: for example, the protection of women’s sexual rights helped empower white, heterosexual women, but it didn’t help sexual or racial minorities; also, improving access to work helped child-free or wealthy women, but not those with large families” (Daymon & Demetrious, 2014, p. 2; see also Dozier, 2010). In addition, radical feminists also criticised liberal feminists for differentiating men from women and considering patriarchy as systematically embedded. Because of this, radical feminism argued that the oppression of women by men must be seen as the only deep and extensive oppression (Jaggar & Rothenberg, 1994; Daymon & Demetrious, 2010; Rakow & Nastasia, 2009).

Radical feminism centres majority of its discussions on patriarchy as a continuous oppression against women from the side of men, and they see the need to replace the current social system because it requires a change rather than transformation or reforms, however, this type of feminism does not start from the premise that women and men are equal but that there are differences between men and women while valuing women and their contribution (Daly, 1973). Nevertheless, while radical feminism criticises liberal feminism for its promotion of gender equality rather than asking for a fundamental change of the social system, this feminism has also been criticised for promoting sisterhood of women like liberal feminism without taking into consideration diversity
among women and their distinctive problems and needs (Bryson, 2003).

When it comes to the already mentioned issue of patriarchy advocated by radical feminism, Millett (1969) famously defined it as a set of social rules according to which older males dominate younger males, while men generally dominate over women, and this is often visible in differences in upbringing that often cements expected roles between boys and girls that later on transforms to expected roles between men and women (Eichenbaum & Orbach, 1999; Van Zoonen, 2004; Tench et al, 2016). Recently, it has been reported that women are also more successful in getting flexible hours approved because of family duties than men, which again shows the power of patriarchy and the expected roles where women are expected to stay at home and take care of their families while men who want to do the same are denied this right, as it is apparently not perceived that men should stay at home with family (Teasdale, 2013).

In sum, it can be argued that both liberal and radical feminism are similar in a sense they both advocate the same unity of women just that they envisage different methods on how this is to be achieved.

We decided to embrace the approach of liberal feminism in our paper while accepting the view of radical feminism that women are historically oppressed with the patriarchy that still exists even in the most advanced western societies. In other words, the theoretical premise of the paper is liberal feminism advocating equality between genders in Public Relations. However, the paper also embraces the view of radical feminism according to which women are systematically and historically unequal to men, and this is seen in the fact that even though there are more women who work in Public Relations there is still no equality that nevertheless seems to change its face and transform as one issue gets resolved, as this paper will demonstrate.

Based on the discussion above, it is apparent that an obvious criticism of this paper is that it is a mainstream feminist paper that fails to tackle the notion of power, however, this does not undermine the contribution of the paper, because PR scholars studying power in PR can reflect on these findings and use them in their work to build a model of more distributive power among male and female PR practitioners, which was not the aim of this paper. Indeed, as critiques would argue, we are not challenging the current social order and ideological underpinning of Public Relations as a field (Fitch, 2016a), but sexism and lack of equality and calling for collaboration with men to tackle inequality of both men and women based on new findings from a study conducted over a period of time, which demonstrated that inequality transforms and changes its face over time but persistently remains present, as presented in findings below.
METHOD

The European Communications Monitor is the largest survey that systematically monitors trends in the Public Relations industry and views of practitioners on the field in Europe. The survey has been conducted annually since 2007 with more than 2,700 participating communication professionals from 43 countries (see Table 1).

Table 1. Number of respondents per years

The participants are recruited via personal invitations sent to professionals throughout Europe via e-mail. The contacts of PR practitioners are collected from the database provided by the EACD and additional invitations to participate via national branch associations and networks (partly self-recruiting) are also sent.

The research has been conducted since 2007, and the gender issues have been included in questionnaires since 2009. In that, the PR practitioners are asked to report on issues in the field, predict future development of the field, as well as to report on difficulties and inequality between male and female practitioners should they believe that inequality exists. Gender issues have not been included in the survey in 2013, and in this paper we looked at all survey results from 2009 until 2015 to analyse trends in reporting inequality between male and female practitioners.

Since data from the EU shows that post-Communist countries are not necessarily bringing the whole numbers down even if they are often considered patriarchal (e.g. with Slovenia, Poland and Croatia being among top five EU member states when it comes to the lowest wage gaps in the EU), our research
that implements different method than surveys by Eurostat used in compiling data for the European Commission, presents a valuable addition to the existing knowledge because it goes into more depth and shows that not only is it the wage gap that presents the problem in terms of equality between men and women (as traditionally demonstrated by feminist research), but that inequality is taking new forms.

Results from the European Communications Monitor have been presented individually by research consortium in numerous papers each year when the new results were published (e.g. Tench et al, 2016; Verčič et al, 2014). However, since the research has been conducted since 2007 we wanted to look at all results collected so far to get the whole picture and discuss whether something is positively changing in European PR, and to debate a question how far has feminism got in advocating equality of women, at least when it comes to PR and the views of PR practitioners. Therefore, we took all findings from 2009 until 2015, and analysed the trend to see if there is a pattern and whether something is changing positively for women in PR.

Finally, it needs to be emphasised that the European Communications Monitor is not a longitudinal research, but the largest European survey monitoring opinions and trends in the PR industry. This means that the number of participants each year vary (see Table 1), and that it is not possible to know whether the same participants report changes because we do not know which practitioners took the survey and in which year. Therefore, this paper does not claim to offer generalised conclusions but looks at trends, and debates changes in gender equality based on available data from the PR industry using feminism as a framework. The research questions set for this paper were as follows:

- What problems in equality between male and female practitioners do respondents report?
- Do practitioners report positive changes in terms of gender equality when it comes to wage gap?
- Do practitioners report negative trends in terms of gender equality? If so, which ones?
- How far has feminism got, at least when it comes to PR industry?

**RESULTS**

As the results below show, practitioners report inequality in salaries among male and female professionals, as well as career progress and leadership
appointments. While progress in certain areas has been made inequality has not been fully resolved, and it seems that the inequality is spilling over to new areas. In other words, while inequality in salaries remains an issue throughout the years of conducting ECM research, new issues emerge and demonstrate a continuation of the inequality.

The Wage Gap

The wage gap remains a persistent problem among male and female practitioners, as men still earn more than women in the same positions. For example, in 2009 it was reported that higher positions are still male-dominated, i.e. women were not leading communication agencies, having leadership positions and consulting positions as much as men (Table 2), and the salaries of female practitioners were lower than those of male colleagues (Figure 3). This is in line with feminist criticism of the position of women in the society, and business and PR in general where women are systematically paid less for the same position and face glass ceiling when it comes to career progress.

On the other hand, in 2010, results revealed that annual salaries of male and female PR practitioners have remained different with negative trend for women (Table 3) but the results also revealed another inequality, i.e. it is not only that women and men do not earn the same, or that women cannot progress to higher positions. For example, it is quite clear that women only dominate in lower starting positions, while men dominate on the highest position (Table 3), which brings the question whether we can indeed talk about very strong patriarchal system in Europe since women only work for men, but face difficulties in progressing to become managers and executives.

The results also revealed that once women do manage to progress to higher positions they then earn less again (Table 4), which presents a continued inequality. In other words, once women managed to clear one inequality they faced another, i.e. once women managed to get into position after facing inequality for longer periods then they have to fight for the same pay. The same pay seems to be the stronghold of inequality, and the most difficult issue to overcome especially in higher positions. For example, the differences between pays on a head of communication position are stunning with women earning between 60,001 and 70,000 € while men earn between 90,001 and 100,000 € (Table 4). This does not come as a surprise if we take into consideration that women earn less even in lower positions despite forming majority of the
workforce, e.g. female workforce predominantly earns up to 30.000 € a year (Table 3).

Table 2. Gender differences in higher positions in PR Industry in 2009

Figure 3. Annual salaries and the gender difference in 2009.

Table 3. Annual salaries and the gender difference in 2010
As PR is an industry that continually changes, the roles and expectations change continually too. That is why, in 2011, results revealed that there is still an inequality with the salaries among male and female PR practitioners (Table 5), however, the issue of influence in departments and the ability to initiate changes emerged and PR practitioners reported they have a lower influence in their departments, and particularly when it comes to executive influence (Table 6).
In other words, inequality remained in all fields but particularly in the highest roles with 4.1% of men earning above 300,000 € while only 0.5% of women earned the same (Table 5).

Table 5. Annual Salaries and the gender difference in 2011

![Annual salaries of female and male practitioners](image)

Men earn more than female professionals on the same hierarchical level

![Comparison of salaries](image)

Figure 4. Differences in salaries and the gender gap (2014).
In addition, men earn more than female professionals on the same hierarchical level (Figure 4 and 5). This is particularly present in more senior level such as head of communication where in 2014 only 9.7% of women earn above 150,000 €, while 21.7% men earn the same amount (Figure 4). In 2015, this difference decreased slightly to 7.1% versus 20.6% ratio, clearly still in favour of men (Figure 5).

Other Issues: Career Opportunities, Jobs Security and Mentoring

As it was reported in previous section, wage gap persistently remains a problem in achieving full gender equality in PR industry. However, it also seems that this distribution of roles and the pay brought to the feeling of lower influence in departments among women where female practitioners do not feel they have sufficient influence in the department and its decision-making process, with difference especially being visible when it comes to executive level (Table 6).
Table 6. Perceived influence in departments (2012)

Female practitioners perceive a lower influence of communication departments than their male counterparts.

Table 7. Increase in Career opportunities in 2014

Female professionals report better career opportunities, while male communicators enjoy higher status and job security.

However, in 2014, some progress has been recorded and female professionals reported better career opportunities while, at the same time, stating that male practitioners have a higher status and job security (Table 7). Nevertheless, in this year, more female than male practitioners reported great career opportunities (36.7% versus 35.2% in favour of women), however men
felt more secure in their positions, 47% versus 46.1% in favour of men (Table 7).

But, 2014 revealed that the inequality of women with men in Public Relations has another face, and that is mentoring. Mentoring new colleagues is becoming part of the recruitment process for leadership positions and all senior roles not just in PR but also elsewhere. Even higher positions in academia now require leadership roles, which then encompasses mentoring of younger colleagues. In 2014, the results showed that women not only mentor other colleagues less than men, but have also not been mentored themselves, which certainly can bring barriers in career progress and future mentorship appointments (Figure 6).

It therefore seems that the main concerns are systematically reported when it comes to salaries where there is a consistent wage gap, however, the inequality is showing its other face and demonstrates itself in new areas relevant for career progress such as mentoring, influence and increased opportunities for female PR practitioners. In other words, in five out of six years analysed the wage gap emerged as an issue accompanied with other issues emerging as the industry progresses and transforms.

Female communicators have been less involved in mentoring

![Chart showing experiences of communication professionals](chart.png)

Figure 6. Inequality in mentoring among male and female practitioners.
Figure 7. The changing nature of inequality.

As Figure 7 demonstrates the nature of inequality systematically changes (except the wage gap that remains a constant problem), and once women achieve equality in one field then new inequalities emerge. In other words, while practitioners firstly reported male dominance on higher positions, in subsequent years they reported glass ceiling when it comes to progressing to higher positions, then the lack of influence in departments, then better job security for men and finally, mentoring opportunities that then have a potential impact on leadership.

CONCLUSION

In summary, when it comes to our research questions it seems quite safe to answer that practitioners report several issues when it comes to gender equality, e.g. the wage gap, job security, mentoring opportunities, and influence in departments. Of all these inequalities, the most persistent one is the wage gap that does not seem to show any signs of disappearing even if the difference in pay has decreased. However, by analysing all responses and results from ECM we can also see a negative trend in gender equality in the PR industry as it seems that as one issue is resolved or close to being resolved, a new issue arises. Therefore, it becomes necessary to ask whether we are moving forward or are we continuing to take regressive steps backwards? How far has feminism got, at least when the PR industry is in stake?
As it has been argued in this paper and in the vast majority of available academic work, PR is seen as a gendered profession with female practitioners forming the majority of the workforce in the majority of countries, however, female practitioners are systematically facing inequality. But, to what extent have women achieved equality is not an easy question to answer. While some progress has certainly been made, at least on the European level as our results and other academic debates testify, there is still more to be achieved. It seems as if inequality continues and transforms to new areas as and when they arise. This brings into the debate the fundamental requirement of liberal feminism, which is to improve the system from within and make demands to equalise women’s positions in all aspects of the society. Given the fact inequality constantly changes its face, questions from radical feminists to change and replace the system as such also seem justified albeit not reasonable in terms of the ability to achieve that goal especially given the fact feminism is a label with potentially negative connotations.

Therefore, liberal feminists must continue to resolve issues as and when they arise. However, in order to achieve the ultimate equality between men and women, liberal feminists will have to tackle patriarchy and embrace some arguments of radical feminism such as the one on historical oppression of women against the men. This however means that liberal feminists will have to turn to men who do not want to conform to expected roles and yet are forced by the society. However, if we ask how far have feminism got (at least in the PR industry, which was subject of discussion of this paper), it is safe to say positive steps forward have been made but it has not travelled far enough. As one issue is resolved, new issue seem to arise and the social structure is still based on inequality between genders. We take one step forward and perhaps with positive improvements two steps back.
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