



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Emmonds, S and Nicholson, G and Beggs, CB and Jones, B and Bissas, A (2019) IMPORTANCE OF PHYSICAL QUALITIES FOR SPEED AND CHANGE OF DIRECTION ABILITY IN ELITE FEMALE SOCCER PLAYERS. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 33 (6). pp. 1669-1677. ISSN 1064-8011 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002114>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/3934/>

Document Version:

Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

1 **IMPORTANCE OF PHYSICAL QUALITIES FOR SPEED AND CHANGE OF**
2 **DIRECTION ABILITY IN ELITE FEMALE SOCCER PLAYERS.**

3

4 S. Emmonds*¹, G.Nicholson¹, C.Beggs¹, B.Jones¹ and A. Bissas¹

5

6 ¹Leeds Beckett University, Institute for Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure, Leeds, England

7

8

9

10

11 *Corresponding author

12

13 *Dr Stacey Emmonds*

14 *Carnegie School of Sport*

15 *Leeds Beckett University*

16 *Cavendish Hall Room 107a, Headingley Campus*

17 *Leeds, LS6 3QT*

18 *United Kingdom*

19 *Tel: +44 (0)113 8123274*

20 *Email: S.Emmonds@leedsbeckett.ac.uk*

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 **ABSTRACT**

31

32 The purpose of this study was to determine the importance of physical qualities for
33 speed and change of direction (CoD) ability in female soccer players. Data were
34 collected on 10 female soccer players who were part of a professional English
35 Women's Super League team. Player assessments included anthropometric (stature
36 and body mass), body composition (dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry), speed (10m,
37 30m sprint), CoD ability (505 agility), aerobic (Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test),
38 lower-body strength (bilateral knee extensions) and power (countermovement jump
39 [CMJ], squat jump [SJ], 30cm drop jump [DJ]) measures). The relationships between
40 the variables were evaluated using eigenvector analysis and Pearson correlation
41 analysis. Multiple linear regression revealed that the performance variables (10 and
42 20m speed, mean 505, and CoD deficit mean) can be predicted with almost 100%
43 accuracy (i.e. adjusted $R^2 > 0.999$) using various combinations of the predictor
44 variables (DJ height, CMJ height, SJ height, lean body mass). An increase of one
45 standard deviation (SD) in DJ height was associated with reductions of -5.636 and -
46 9.082 SD in 10 m and 20 m sprint times. A one SD increase in CMJ also results in a
47 reduction of -3.317 and -0.922 SD respectively in mean 505 and CoD deficit mean
48 values. This study provides comparative data for professional English female soccer
49 players that can be used by strength and conditioning coaches when monitoring
50 player development and assessing the effectiveness of training programmes.
51 Findings highlight the importance of developing reactive strength to improve speed
52 and CoD ability in female soccer players

53

54 **KEY WORDS:** body composition, soccer, performance, testing

55

56

57

58 INTRODUCTION

59

60 Soccer is an intense multi-directional and intermittent field sport played by both
61 sexes. At an elite level, soccer requires high technical ability, tactical awareness, and
62 an exceptionally high level of physical conditioning (20). The popularity and
63 professionalism of female soccer has increased markedly in recent years. In England
64 the creation of the Women's Super League (WSL) in 2011 has led to elite English
65 players now being employed on either a professional or semi-professional basis (6).

66

67 During matches, elite female soccer players have been reported to cover a total
68 distance of ~10 km, with 1.53–1.68 km at high speeds ($>18 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) (6). The distance
69 covered during high-intensity and sprinting activities are known to be the main
70 determinants between higher and lower standards of play (15), with elite female
71 players reported to complete 28% more high speed running and 24% greater
72 distance sprinting compared to non-elite level players (20). Furthermore, it is the
73 explosive actions such as sprinting, jumping, tackling and change of direction (CoD)
74 that appear to influence the outcome of games (21). Such demands necessitate that
75 players demonstrate a high level of athleticism (i.e. speed, power, strength, aerobic
76 capacity). As such it is important that these physical qualities are developed through
77 structured and progressive strength and conditioning training, in conjunction with field
78 based technical/tactical sessions.

79

80 Despite the acknowledged importance of developing physical qualities in female
81 soccer players and the increased professionalism of the women's game, it has
82 previously been reported that compliance to supplementary strength and conditioning
83 training is still a relatively new concept for players and coaches (34). This is
84 supported by a recent statement from the Football Association (FA) who have
85 suggested that elite English female soccer players require more '*athleticism*' (1) to

86 compete at the international level. As such it has been suggested that the players
87 should regularly undertake strength and conditioning sessions as part of their regular
88 training schedule to improve their *athleticism*.

89

90 Strength and power have been shown to be correlated with speed and CoD ability in
91 both male (25) and female athletes (11, 23). As such, strength and conditioning
92 coaches often prescribe programs to improve muscular strength and power in an
93 effort to translate these improvements into improvements in sprint and CoD ability.
94 However, other research has suggested that measures of strength, speed and CoD
95 are not significantly correlated for sub-elite female soccer players (32). Differences in
96 findings between studies may be related to the level of the gender of the athlete,
97 level of competition and testing procedures used. Findings from Vescovi and
98 McGuigan (32) suggested that linear sprinting, agility, and vertical jumping were
99 independent locomotor skills in high school female soccer and lacrosse players. In
100 contrast, Nymphious et al. (23) demonstrated that strength had a very strong
101 correlation with speed and CoD ability in elite female softball players. Given the
102 contrasting findings in the literature and that no study has yet considered such
103 relationships in elite senior female soccer players, further research is required to
104 establish the relationships between strength and power with speed and CoD ability in
105 elite female soccer players as this may have training implications.

106

107 In addition to the inconsistencies highlighted above, research has also reported that
108 the ratio of fat to lean mass may be related to both power and speed in both male
109 (24) and female athletes (11). As such it has been suggested that optimizing the ratio
110 of fat and lean mass in players should also be a focus of strength and conditioning
111 programming to facilitate an improvement in physical performance (11). However, the
112 relationship between lean body mass and physical performance has yet to be
113 investigated in elite female soccer players and requires further research. Such

114 findings can be used by strength and conditioning professionals to inform programme
115 design in order to maximise the '*athleticism*' of elite female soccer players. Therefore,
116 the purpose of this study was to present the physical characteristics of elite female
117 English soccer players and to investigate the relationship between lean body mass,
118 strength and power with speed and CoD ability. It was hypothesized that there would
119 be a relationship between lean body mass, strength, speed and CoD ability.

120

121 **METHODS**

122

123 EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM

124

125 To examine the relationships between the physical characteristics of elite female
126 soccer players, subjects competing in the highest division in England (WSL1)
127 completed assessments of anthropometric characteristics, body composition, speed,
128 CoD ability, endurance, lower-body strength and power. All testing was carried out
129 during one testing session at the start of the 2016 season (after an 8 week
130 preseason training programme). To ensure the testing procedures captured maximal
131 performance, subjects were instructed to rest for 48 hours before the testing session
132 and to maintain normal eating and drinking habits in the hours immediately preceding
133 testing. To minimise diurnal variations in performance and maintain consistency with
134 training schedules, all subjects arrived at the testing facility at 0930 hours.

135

136 Subjects were randomly assigned to one of 3 groups which differed according to the
137 physical characteristics being investigated; station 1: anthropometric and body
138 composition, station 2: speed and CoD ability, station 3: strength and power. To
139 prevent an order effect, each group of subjects completed each station in a random
140 order with a 15-minute break being permitted between stations. The endurance test
141 was completed as one large group to limit the cumulative effects of fatigue on the

142 speed, CoD, strength and power assessments. Before active testing protocols (i.e.,
143 speed, CoD ability, strength and power) a standardised warm-up was completed at
144 each station which included jogging, dynamic movements and sub-maximal jumps or
145 sprints. Although all players had previously completed the same assessments at the
146 start of pre-season in the same environment, each test was fully explained and
147 demonstrated by the research team beforehand and subjects completed
148 familiarisation trials for each assessment to limit any possible learning effects.
149 Furthermore, loud verbal encouragement was provided by the research team in each
150 of the active testing protocols and subjects were provided with immediate feedback
151 on their performance in an attempt to optimise subsequent performance.

152

153 SUBJECTS

154 Ten elite female soccer players (age: 25.4 ± 7.0 years; body mass: 62.6 ± 5.1 kg,
155 height: 167.2 ± 5.3 cm) participated in the study at the start of the 2016 season. The
156 players regular training schedule at their club consisted of 4-5 field based training
157 sessions per week and 1-2 gym based strength sessions. Players were aware of the
158 research nature of the project, with all procedures clearly explained and written
159 consent was obtained. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee,
160 and written consent was obtained from each subject before commencement of
161 testing.

162

163 PROCEDURES

164

165 *Anthropometry*

166 Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a Seca stadiometer (model
167 2251821009, Germany) and body mass was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using
168 calibrated Seca Alpha (model 770, Germany) scales.

169

170 *Body Composition*

171 For all measurements, subjects wore minimal clothing, with shoes and jewellery
172 removed. Each subject received one total body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
173 (DXA) scan (Lunar iDXA, GE Medical Systems, United Kingdom) using standard or
174 thick mode depending on body mass and stature. Subjects lay in the supine position
175 on the scanning table with their body aligned with the central horizontal axis. Arms
176 were positioned parallel to the body, with legs fully extended and feet secured with a
177 canvas and Velcro support to avoid foot movement during the scan acquisition. One
178 skilled technologist led and analysed all scans after the manufacturer's guidelines for
179 patient positioning. The regions of interest were manually placed to enable the
180 appropriate cuts according to the manufacturer's instructions. Scan analysis was
181 performed using the Lunar Encore software (Version 15.0). Dependent variables of
182 interest were total fat mass, total lean mass and percentage body fat. DXA calibration
183 was checked and passed on a daily basis before the study and after the study using
184 the GE Lunar calibration hydroxyapatite and epoxy resin phantom. There was no
185 significant drift in calibration. Local precision values for our centre (in healthy adult
186 subjects, aged 34.6 years) are CV = 0.8% for fat mass and CV = 0.5% for lean mass.

187

188 *Leg Power*

189 The assessment of jumping capability is an accepted functional measure of power in
190 soccer players (36). Following three warm-up trials, each subject performed three
191 maximal vertical jumps on a force platform (Kistler 9287BA; Winterthur, Switzerland)
192 operating at 1000 Hz under three different conditions. Countermovement jumps
193 (CMJ) were initially performed which involved a preparatory downward movement
194 following an upright starting position (hands on hips). Subjects were instructed to
195 jump for maximal vertical displacement with the knee flexion angle at the bottom of
196 the downward phase being approximately 90° (8). Squat jumps (SJ) were then
197 performed which involved a maximal vertical jump from a semi-squatting position

198 (knee angle = 90° approximately). Lastly, drop jumps (DJ) were performed with
199 subjects starting from an upright position on a 40cm box. Subjects were then
200 instructed to drop down onto the centre of the force platform landing on both feet. On
201 landing, subjects immediately performed a jump for maximum vertical displacement
202 while keeping hands placed on hips and landing back on the force platform (8).

203

204 The SJ provides an assessment of the concentric ability to apply force upwards
205 whereas the CMJ and DJ provide a leg power assessment through the stretch-
206 shortening cycle function. In line with their rationale for selection, jump height (m) and
207 propulsive rate of force development (RFD) were calculated for the CMJ and SJ
208 whilst jump height and reactive strength index (RSI) were calculated following the
209 performance of the DJ using Bioware software (version 5.1.4; Kistler, Winterthur,
210 Switzerland). Jump height was calculated using the flight time (time subjects spent
211 airborne in each jump) method ($0.5 \times 9.81 \times \text{flight time}^2$), RFD was determined as the
212 slope of the vertical force curve between peak force and take-off, whereas RSI was
213 calculated by dividing the jump height in the DJ by the contact time (duration of
214 contact during the first landing) before the jump. The best out of the 3 trials (based on
215 jump height) was selected for statistical analysis. Between-trial reproducibility for
216 jump height achieved during each CMJ, SJ and DJ was intraclass correlation (ICC) =
217 0.99 and coefficient of variation (CV) = 1.1%, ICC = 0.99 and CV = 1.2%, and ICC =
218 0.93 and CV = 3.2% respectively. The within-session reproducibility for the RSI was
219 ICC = 0.93 and CV = 3.5%.

220

221 *Leg Strength*

222 The maximal bilateral isometric force and explosive force generating characteristics
223 of the knee extensor muscles were measured using a custom-made isometric device
224 consisting of a customised leg extension machine (GLCE365, Body Solid UK), which
225 was connected to a force platform (Kistler 9253B22, 1000 Hz) via a chain. Subjects

226 were seated on the leg extension machine (hip angle = 110° , knee angle = $108.30 \pm$
227 2.31°) and then stabilized at the pelvis by a belt to isolate the movements to the
228 lower extremity and avoid any assistance from the trunk muscles. Three maximum
229 voluntary contractions (MVC) were performed by each individual with subjects being
230 instructed to react to an auditory signal by attempting to extend their lower limbs as
231 forcefully as possible and to maintain the maximal force for 3 s. The force platform
232 measured the vertical and the anterior-posterior force production and consequently
233 the MVC relative peak force (PF) for the leg extensors was defined as the highest
234 value of the resultant force recorded during the MVC and was determined using
235 Kistler Bioware software (version 5.1.4; Kistler, Winterthur, Switzerland). To account
236 for subject's explosive force generating capabilities the MVC's were further analysed
237 for peak rate of force development (MVC RFD), this was conducted for the best trial
238 (based on the highest PF value). In line with previous research (7), MVC RFD was
239 determined as the steepest portion of the resultant force-time curve from the onset of
240 the MVC to the instance in which PF was reached. Between-trial reproducibility for
241 was $CV = 1.2\%$ and $ICC = 0.924$ for PF and $CV = 3.0\%$ and $ICC = 0.875$ for MVC
242 RFD.

243

244 *Speed*

245 Sprinting speed was assessed over 10, 20, and 30m using timing gates (Brower
246 Timing Systems, IR Emit, Draper, UT, USA). Subjects started 0.5 m behind the initial
247 timing gate and were instructed to set off in their own time and run maximally past
248 the 30 m timing gate. In line with the other assessments, each subject had 3 attempts
249 and trials were separated by a 2–3 minutes rest period to allow full recovery between
250 sprint attempts. Times were recorded to the nearest 0.01 seconds with the fastest
251 velocity of the 3 attempts used for the sprint score. ICC and CVs for 10, 20, 30 m
252 sprint times were $ICC = 0.95$ and $CV = 1.4\%$, $ICC = 0.92$ and $CV = 1.3\%$, $ICC = 0.90$
253 and $CV = 1.5\%$.

254

255 *Change of Direction*

256 Given the multi-directional nature of soccer (21), the 505 test was utilised as a
257 measure of change of direction ability. Subjects were positioned 15 m from a turning
258 point and timing gates were placed 10 m from the start point and 5 m from the turn
259 point. The players accelerated from the start, through the timing gates, turning 180⁰
260 at the 15 m mark and sprinted back through the timing gates. Subjects completed 3
261 alternate trials, turning off their left and right foot, separated by a 2–3 minutes rest
262 period. Only attempts whereby the subject's foot crossed the 15 m mark were
263 recorded. Times were recorded to the nearest 0.01 seconds with the quickest of the
264 3 attempts used.

265

266 While the 505 test has been identified as a reliable test (26), it has been suggested
267 that using the total time to complete the test as a measure of CoD may not
268 necessarily accurately represent the CoD ability of a player (22). Thus, a player who
269 is fast linearly may still perform well in a CoD test, as their sprinting ability could
270 mask any deficiencies in CoD ability (22). Therefore, in addition to reporting total time
271 for the 505 test, which is consistent with previous studies in soccer (6), the CoD
272 deficit was calculated for each player, using the following equation;

273

274
$$COD\ Deficit = mean\ 505\ time - mean\ 10\ m\ time\ (22).$$

275

276 The CoD deficit for both sides was calculated as the difference between average
277 505 time and 10 m time (22). ICC and CV for the 505 test were ICC = 0.99, CV =
278 2.2%.

279

280

281 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

282 Descriptive statistics (mean \pm standard deviation [SD] and range) were initially
283 calculated for all dependent variables. After data were assessed for
284 heteroscedasticity, relationships between the dependent variables were evaluated
285 using eigenvector analysis and were supplemented by Pearson product-moment
286 correlations (with two-tailed significance test). r -values interpreted as 0.1-0.29 =
287 small, 0.3-0.49 = moderate, 0.5- 0.69 = large, and 0.7-0.9 = very large (3).

288

289 Statistical analyses of data were performed using 'in-house' algorithms written in 'R'
290 (open source statistical software) and Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, USA). For all tests,
291 p values <0.05 were deemed to be significant. Eigenvector analysis was used
292 because it enabled the data to be orthogonalized, thus allowing the vectors
293 associated with the measured variables to be plotted in the eigenspace. In order to
294 perform the eigenvector analysis we created a ($m \times n$) matrix, X , containing the entire
295 dataset with all the subjects aggregated together. The columns of the X matrix
296 comprised the variables, which were mean-adjusted and standardized to unit
297 variance, while the rows represented the subjects included in the analysis. We then
298 computed the covariance matrix, C , as follows:

299

$$300 \quad C = X^T . X \quad (1)$$

301

302 After this, we performed eigen-decomposition of the covariance matrix to compute
303 the matrix of eigenvalues, D , and the matrix of eigenvectors, V , as follows:

304

$$305 \quad C = V . D . V^T \quad (2)$$

306

307 The first, second and third eigenvectors, which accounted for the greatest amount of
308 variance in the data, were then used to produce a compass plot of the vectors

309 associated with respective measured variables. Having evaluated the relationships
310 between the variables, multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis was then performed
311 to assess the degree to which lean body mass, strength and power indicators could
312 be predicted using speed, agility and anthropometric measures. For each output
313 (dependent) variable all the possible combinations of the predictor variables were
314 assessed, with the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) used to select the
315 strongest model. In order to validate the MLR models and assess their general
316 predictive applicability, we performed 'leave one out' (LOO) cross-validation on the
317 selected models. LOO cross-validation involves using (n-1) observations (where n is
318 the number of observations in the dataset) as the training set and the remaining
319 observation for validation purposes. In order to validate the model, this process is
320 repeated n times with each observation used in turn for validation purposes (10)

321

322

323 **RESULTS**

324 The descriptive statistics for the measured variables are reported in Table 1.

325

326 *****TABLE 1 NEAR HERE*****

327

328 Eigenvector analysis was conducted to assess the collinearity between variables
329 (Figure 1). The eigenvector shows a compass plot of the vectors for the respective
330 measured variables in the eigenspace. Collectively, the first three eigenvectors
331 accounted for 80.1% of the variance in the data, with the first and second
332 eigenvectors accounting for 33.7% and 31.6% of the variance respectively, while the
333 third eigenvector only accounted for 14.8%. From Figure 1 it can be seen that
334 considerable multi-collinearity exists within the predictor and outcome variables. This
335 is reflected by the strong correlations between the variables CoD deficit mean, mean
336 505 and the variables 10 and 20 m speed (e.g. CoD deficit mean and mean 505, $r =$

337 0.856, $p=0.002$ 10 m speed and 20 m speed, $r = 0.862$, $p = 0.001$; CoD deficit mean
338 and 10m speed, $r = -0.755$, $p = 0.012$; and CoD deficit mean and 20m speed, $r = -$
339 0.833 , $p = 0.003$). Similarly, considerable collinearity is also observed between the
340 variables SJ and CMJ performance (both in height and RFD) (e.g. SJ height and
341 CMJ height, $r = 0.916$, $p<0.001$; SJ RFD and CMJ RFD, $r = 0.591$, $p = 0.069$; SJ
342 height and SJ RFD, $r = -0.751$, $p = 0.012$; and CMJ height and CMJ RFD, $r = -0.756$,
343 $p = 0.011$). A strong correlation is also observed between DJ height and CMJ height
344 ($r = 0.944$, $p < 0.001$).

345

346 A moderately strong negative relationship was observed between CoD deficit mean
347 and MVC relative PF ($r = -0.557$, $p = 0.095$), but this was not significant. The
348 correlations between DXA derived body composition (total body fat and lean mass)
349 and performance measures were also explored. For the most part these correlations
350 did not reach significance, despite some moderately strong negative correlations
351 relating to total body fat and 10 m speed ($r = -0.542$, $p=0.106$) and 20 m speed ($r = -$
352 0.562 , $p = 0.091$). However, it is likely that these relationships may not have been
353 significant due to the sample size and if a larger sample size had been used, such
354 relationships may have reached significance.

355

356

****FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE ****

357

358 The results of the multiple linear regression analysis are presented in Table 2. These
359 reveal that the performance variables 10 m speed, 20 m speed, mean 505, and CoD
360 deficit mean can be predicted with almost 100% accuracy (i.e. $R^2 \geq 0.999$) using
361 various combinations of the predictor variables. Furthermore, the very high coefficient
362 of determination (R^2) values achieved, are supported by strong cross-validation
363 results (i.e. $R^2 \geq 0.716$), suggesting that the MLR models have good predictive

364 accuracy and they are general applicable. It can be seen that the variable DJ height
365 is particularly influential, with an increase of one SD in DJ height being associated
366 with reductions of -5.636 and -9.082 SD in 10 m and 20 m sprint times. CMJ height
367 was also influential, with an increase of one SD resulting in a reduction of -3.317 and
368 -0.922 SD respectively in mean 505 and CoD deficit mean values. SJ height was
369 also highly influential in predicting CoD deficit mean, having a beta value of -13.010.

370

371

TABLE 2 NEAR HERE*

372

373 **DISCUSSION**

374

375 The purpose of this study was to present the physical characteristics of elite female
376 soccer players in England and to investigate the relationship between lean body
377 mass, strength and power with speed and CoD ability. Findings suggest that sprint
378 performance is related to jump assessments that include a fast stretch shortening
379 cycle (SSC) such as a drop jump, while CoD ability is related jump assessments that
380 require a slower SSC, as this is more reflective of the muscle actions during sprinting
381 and changing direction respectively. These data can be used as by practitioners as
382 reference data when evaluating the performance of senior female soccer players and
383 to help inform the design of strength and conditioning programmes for female soccer
384 players, in order to improve their '*athleticism*'.

385

386 *Physical Characteristics*

387 Body mass and stature of the players in this study were within the range previously
388 reported in the literature for female elite soccer players (57 – 65 kg; 161 – 170 cm)
389 (6). In contrast, percentage body fat was higher than the given range previously
390 reported for elite players (14.6 – 20.1%; (6). The difference may be due to the

391 previous method used to assess percentage body fat (i.e., estimation from sum of
392 skin fold analysis). This is the first study to report body composition using DXA in
393 female soccer players, which has previously been shown to be more valid (16).

394

395 10 and 20 m sprint times from elite English female players in this study were faster
396 than previously reported for elite Australian players (1.91 ± 0.04 and 3.26 ± 0.06 s)
397 (29). A possible explanation for the observed differences in speed may be due to the
398 increased professionalism of the women's game in England, whereby players now
399 undertake full time training and structured strength and conditioning programmes. It
400 has been proposed that sprint performance can distinguish between standards of
401 competition (9 31) with selected players from trials for the American professional
402 soccer league being between 0.5 and 0.8 $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ faster than their non-selected
403 equivalents (31). Similar findings were reported during an Australian talent
404 identification project, with selected players recording faster times over 5, 10 and 20
405 m, respectively than the non-selected players (9). Thus, this study provides speed
406 data for elite female soccer players in England that practitioners can use for
407 comparative purposes, although further research will be required before comparisons
408 can be made between competitive standards.

409

410 To the author's knowledge, this is the first study to use biomechanical set-ups and
411 equipment (force platforms) to investigate muscular strength and power in female
412 soccer players. Previous studies have characterized the power performance of
413 female soccer players at domestic (27) and senior levels (2) however, comparisons
414 between studies are difficult due to the different protocols (SJ, CMJ, DJ), equipment
415 adopted (jump mat, Optojump) and the recent increases in professionalism in the
416 women's game. English players from this study had similar CMJ height to Italian
417 international players (31.6 ± 4.0 cm) (2), although the different methodologies (i.e.
418 force plate vs. Optojump) should be acknowledged.

419

420 Comparing the performances of different jump variations can provide strength and
421 conditioning coaches with information regarding the effectiveness of a players SSC
422 utilization which may be particularly important given the role of the SSC in many
423 soccer-related activities (e.g. sprinting, jumping, CoD). Whilst CMJ performance is
424 frequently used to assess lower body power production for training monitoring and
425 talent identification purposes (2), it must be noted that previous studies into female
426 soccer have reported a narrow range of jump variations (6). The present study
427 therefore provides comparative data for vertical jump variations in elite senior female
428 soccer players from which other metrics of SSC utilization could be calculated.

429

430 Despite the fact that CoD performance can distinguish between playing level in male
431 soccer players (28), there is limited research available on the CoD ability of female
432 soccer players. Of the limited data available, comparisons between studies are
433 further limited by the different methodologies used to assess CoD ability (i.e. T-test,
434 illinois agility, 505). In comparison to female team sport athletes (2.63 ± 0.10 s; 17),
435 players in this study had faster CoD times. While the 505 test has been identified as
436 a reliable test (26), it has been suggested that using the total time to complete the
437 test as a measure of CoD may not necessarily accurately represent the CoD ability of
438 a player (22). Thus, a player who is fast linearly may still perform well in a CoD test,
439 as their sprinting ability could mask any deficiencies in CoD ability (22). Therefore,
440 findings of this study provide the first comparative data for the CoD deficit for elite
441 female soccer players.

442

443 *Relationships between laboratory and field-based testing*

444 The findings of this study demonstrate very strong relationships between lower body
445 strength and power and total body fat, with speed and CoD ability. 10 m, 20 m sprint
446 times, were predicted with almost 100% accuracy and 505 mean and CoD deficit

447 were predicted with 100% accuracy using models containing CMJ, SJ, DJ, MVC
448 relative PF and total body lean mass. Such findings are consistent with previous
449 research reporting the importance of maximal strength (23) and reactive strength (37)
450 for CoD performance in female athletes. Young et al. (37) demonstrated that reactive
451 strength (from a DJ) demonstrated the strongest relationship with CoD ($r = -0.54$; p
452 <0.05). Since reactive strength may be closely linked to vertical stiffness (18), the
453 present findings demonstrate the need to consider such physical qualities when
454 designing strength and conditioning programmes aimed at optimising on-field
455 activities. From a strength perspective, Nimphius and colleagues (23) found strong to
456 very strong relationships ($r = -0.50$ to -0.75) between relative maximal dynamic
457 strength and 505 CoD performance of the dominant leg in female softball athletes.
458 Whilst the present findings demonstrate this relationship in female soccer players, it
459 must be noted that the use of an isometric strength assessment in this investigation
460 did not impact on the relationship between strength and CoD performance. Although
461 there are numerous studies which report weak relationships between isometric and
462 dynamic activities (35, 37), the present findings highlight that the bilateral isometric
463 strength testing protocol utilised in this study demonstrates adequate specificity to
464 predict differences in CoD performance. Such information may be of use to
465 practitioners when designing testing batteries for monitoring/talent identification
466 purposes in female soccer players.

467

468 Eigenvector analysis revealed collinearity between some variables (Figure 1), such
469 as CMJ RFD and SJ RFD. Whilst the similarity between some variables may be used
470 to question the inclusion of all these measures within a testing battery, practitioners
471 should look beyond their apparent similarity and consider that slight variations of
472 similar assessments (e.g. CMJ, SJ) reveal important information regarding an
473 individuals neuromuscular capabilities (e.g. SSC utilization). The findings of this
474 study suggest that an improvement of 1 SD in DJ height would result in an

475 improvement in 10 m speed by approximately 5 SDs (-0.34 s) and 20 m speed by
476 approximately 9 SDs (-0.64 s). DJ appears not only a key test when quantifying the
477 speed qualities of female soccer players, but findings suggest it may also a useful
478 exercise to develop these specific qualities, given the relationship with 10 and 20 m
479 sprint performance. The observed relationship between DJ and sprint performance in
480 this cohort is likely to be due to the similarities in muscle actions (5). A DJ is a
481 method of assessing reactive strength, which is important for sprint ability.
482 Furthermore, both the DJ exercise and sprinting include a relatively small leg
483 extension range of motion, relatively short contact time and muscle power involving
484 stretch-shortening cycle actions (37), which is likely to account for the strong
485 relationship.

486

487 Although the results of the regression analysis are informative, it is important to treat
488 them with caution. Theoretically, based on the findings of this study, improving DJ
489 height by 1SD would result in 10 m time beyond the time achieved by anyone within
490 the study group. For example a 1SD improvement from the mean DJ would in theory
491 result in a 10 m time of 1.53 s based on the trend line, which is beyond the 10 m
492 sprint time performance of any of the subjects within this group (range: 1.79-1.96s).
493 As such, it is highly likely that a sprint ceiling exists and this should be taken into
494 consideration when interpreting the linear regression models and attempting to
495 predict performance using purely physical qualities (e.g., DJ height).

496

497 In contrast to the positive association between DJ height and 10m sprint time, the
498 study suggests that an improvement in SJ height by 1 SD, increases 10 m sprint time
499 (i.e., athletes are slower) by approximately 5 SDs (0.34 s) and an improvement by
500 1SD in CMJ jump height appears to increase 20 m time by approximately 3SD (0.19)
501 in this cohort. While a number of previous studies have reported CMJ and SJ height
502 to be a predictor of sprint speed (4), it has also been shown by some researchers

503 that these jumps (DJ, CMJ, SJ) assess unique explosive lower limb power qualities.
504 Nimphius et al. (23) also reported a positive relationship between CMJ height and
505 sprint time in female softball players. In terms of the interrelationship between DJ and
506 CMJ measures ($r = 0.69-0.73$) and the associated coefficients of determination ($R^2 =$
507 $47.6-53.2\%$), there appears a great deal of unexplained variance between the tests,
508 suggesting that the jumps to some degree measure different explosive qualities (5).
509 CMJ is reported to be representative of a slow (>250 milliseconds) SSC performance
510 and DJ is reported to be representative of a fast (< 250 milliseconds) SSC
511 performance (5). Furthermore, the SJ test represents an athletes ability to
512 concentrically overcome the inertia of their body mass and does not include the
513 eccentric component of the jump (19). Therefore, given that sprinting may be more
514 dependent on reactive strength and includes both an eccentric and concentric
515 component, this may explain why SJ or CMJ performance were not associated with
516 improvements in 10 m and 20 m speed in this cohort. Given the positive association
517 observed between speed and DJ height, the findings suggest that the DJ replicates
518 more closely the movement profile of sprinting (12). As such, when looking to
519 develop speed, exercises that elicit fast SSC performance (i.e. plyometric training)
520 may be the most appropriate training method for strength and conditioning coaches
521 to utilise. Furthermore, the DJ may provide a more appropriate monitoring and
522 training tool rather than the CMJ for practitioners to use when looking to develop
523 speed of female soccer players.

524

525 The findings of the study suggest that an improvement in SJ and CMJ height of 1SD
526 appears to improve 505 time and CoD deficit by approximately 3 and 13 SDs,
527 respectively, whereas improvements in DJ height of 1SD increase 505 and COD
528 deficit time by 7 and 12 SDs. This is consistent with some findings of previous
529 research (36) but contradicts others (4). As previously highlighted, SJ and CMJ are
530 regarded as slow SSC exercises (5). Therefore, due to the need for longer ground

531 contact times when changing direction versus sprinting, SJ and CMJ performance
532 are more specific to the demands of CoD ability, in comparison to the fast SSC that
533 occurs during a DJ. Furthermore, the lack of relationship between improvements in
534 DJ height and CoD ability may also be explained by the complexity of the movement.
535 CoD ability is likely influenced more by motor control factors and technical proficiency
536 than the strength qualities of the muscle (37).

537

538 It must be acknowledged that a major limitation of this study was the small sample
539 size. The inherent nature of working with elite players limits subject numbers and this
540 should be taken in to consideration when interpreting the findings of this study.

541 Aware of this limitation, we endeavoured to compensate for the small study size by
542 cross-validating the results for the respective MLR models. This revealed that all the
543 models were good predictors of athletic performance, suggesting that they were
544 robust and that they exhibited good general applicability. Notwithstanding this, it is
545 recommended that future studies should seek to recruit a larger, more
546 heterogeneous, sample of players in order to confirm or refute our findings.

547

548 In conclusion, findings of this study suggest that, in elite female soccer players,
549 determinants of speed, and CoD ability are different components of athletic ability
550 that rely on different strength qualities. While the findings highlight the importance of
551 developing strength in female soccer players to improve speed and CoD ability, the
552 findings also suggest that focusing on only one part of the force-velocity curve (i.e.
553 max strength or reactive strength) may not lead to greatest improvements in
554 performance when looking to developing speed and CoD ability in female soccer
555 players. Sprinting performance appears to be related to fast SSC, as assessed using
556 jump assessments such as a DJ, while CoD ability is related to slower SSC as
557 assessed by CMJ and SJ. Such assessments are more reflective of the muscle

558 actions that occur during sprinting and CoD respectively. Practitioners need to be
559 aware of these differences when selecting a testing battery.

560

561

562 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

563 Anthropometric and fitness characteristics of players have been shown to be
564 important attributes for soccer performance. This study provides comparative data for
565 professional English female soccer players that can be used by strength and
566 conditioning coaches when monitoring players' development and assessing the
567 effectiveness of training programmes.

568

569 Findings of this study highlight the importance of developing strength to improve
570 speed and CoD ability in female players. Developing strength will also lead to
571 increases in lean body mass, which may also help improve the '*athleticism*' of
572 players. Findings of this study suggest that plyometric training methods that target
573 reactive strength (fast SSC) qualities may be beneficial to develop 10 and 20 m
574 speed in female soccer players. To develop CoD ability, strength and conditioning
575 coaches should be aware that a more holistic approach to development may be
576 required that considers both the strength and power qualities of the lower limbs as
577 well as the specific movement technique of the athlete.

578

579 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

580 No sources of funding were obtained for the study. The authors have no conflicts of
581 interest that are directly relevant to the contents of this article.

582

583

584

585


586 **REFERENCES**

587

- 588 1. Campbell, S. English female footballers 'need more athleticism' say FA's
589 Baroness Campbell. Retrieved from: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/sport/football/3928507>.
590 2017
- 591 2. Castagna, C, and Castellini, E. Vertical jump performance in Italian male and
592 female national teams soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 27(4): 1156–61,
593 2013
- 594 3. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Routledge
595 Academic, 2013.
- 596 4. Comfort, P, Stewart, A, Bloom, L, and Clarkson, B. Relationships between
597 strength, sprint, and jump performance in well-trained youth soccer players. *J*
598 *Strength Cond Res* 28: 173-177, 2014.
- 599 5. Cronin, JB, and Hansen, KT. Strength and power predictors of sports speed. *J*
600 *Strength Cond Res* 19: 349-357, 2005.
- 601 6. Datson, N, Hulton, A, Andersson, H, Lewis, T, Weston, M, Drust, B, and Gregson,
602 W. Applied physiology of female soccer: an update. *J Sport Med*, 44: 1225-1240,
603 2014.
- 604 7. Haff, G, Carlock, J, Hartman, M, Kilgore, J, Kawamori, N, Jackson, JR, Morris,
605 RT, Sands, WA, and Stone, MH. Force-time curve characteristics of dynamic and
606 isometric muscle actions of elite women Olympic weightlifters. *J Strength Cond*
607 *Res* 19: 741-748, 2005.
- 608 8. Herrington, L. Knee valgus angle during landing tasks in female volleyball and
609 basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 262-266, 2011.
- 610 9. Hoare, D, and Warr, CR. Talent identification and women's soccer: An Australian
611 experience. *J Sports Sci* 18: 751–758, 2000.
- 612 10. James, G, Witten, D, Hastie, T, Tibshirani, R. An introduction to statistical
613 learning with applications in R. Chapter 5 Cross-validation. Springer, New York.
614 2013
- 615 11. Jones, B, Emmonds, S, Hind, K, Nicholson, G, Rutherford, Z, and Till, K. Physical
616 Qualities of International Female Rugby League Players by Playing Position. *J*
617 *Strength Cond Res*, 30: 1333-1340, 2016.
- 618 12. Kale, M, Asçi, A, Bayrak, C, and Açıkada, C. Relationships among jumping
619 performances and sprint parameters during maximum speed phase in sprinters. *J*
620 *Strength Cond Res*, 23: 2272-2279, 2009.

- 621 13. Krstrup, P, Mohr, M, Amstrup, T, Rysgaard, T, Johansen, J, Steensberg, A,
622 Pedersen, PK, and Bangsbo, J. The yo-yo intermittent recovery test:
623 physiological response, reliability, and validity. *Med Sci Sport Exerc*, 35: 697-705,
624 2003.
- 625 14. Krstrup, P, Zebis, M, Jensen, JM, and Mohr, M. Game-induced fatigue patterns
626 in elite female soccer. *J Strength Cond Res* 24: 437-441, 2010.
- 627 15. Le Gall, F, Carling, C, Williams, M, and Reilly, T. Anthropometric and fitness
628 characteristics of international, professional and amateur male graduate soccer
629 players from an elite youth academy. *J Sci Med Sport*, 13: 90-95, 2010.
- 630 16. Lee, SY, and Gallagher, D. Assessment methods in human body
631 composition. *Current opinion in clinical nutrition and metabolic care*, 11: 566,
632 2008.
- 633 17. Lockie, RG, Schultz, AB, Callaghan, SJ, Jordan, CA, Luczo, TM, and Jeffriess,
634 MD. A preliminary investigation into the relationship between functional
635 movement screen scores and athletic physical performance in female team sport
636 athletes. *Biol Sport*, 32: 41, 2015.
- 637 18. Maloney, SJ, Richards, J, Nixon, DG, Harvey, LJ, and Fletcher, IM. Do stiffness
638 and asymmetries predict change of direction performance? *J Sports Sci*, 1-10,
639 2016.
- 640 19. McFarland, IT, Dawes, JJ, Elder, CL, and Lockie, RG. Relationship of two vertical
641 jumping tests to sprint and change of direction speed among male and female
642 collegiate soccer players. *Sports*, 4: 11, 2016.
- 643 20. Mohr, M, Krstrup, P, Andersson, H, Kirkendal, D, and Bangsbo, J. Match
644 activities of elite women soccer players at different performance levels. *J Strength
645 Cond Res*, 22: 341-349, 2008.
- 646 21. Mujika, I, Santisteban, J, Impellizzeri, FM, et al. Fitness determinants of success
647 in men's and women's football. *J Sports Sci* 27(2): 107–14, 2009.
- 648 22. Nimphius, S, Callaghan, SJ, Sptieri, T, and Lockie, RG. Change of direction
649 deficit: A more isolated measure of change of direction performance than total
650 505 time. *J Strength Cond Res* 11: 3024-3032, 2016.
- 651 23. Nimphius, S, Mcguigan, MR, and Newton, RU. Relationship between strength,
652 power, speed, and change of direction performance of female softball players. *J
653 Strength Cond Res*, 24: 885-895, 2010.
- 654 24. Potteiger, JA, Smith, DL, Maier ML, & Foster, TS. Relationship between body
655 composition, leg strength, anaerobic power, and on-ice skating performance in
656 division I men's hockey athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 24: 1755-1762, 2010.

- 657 25. Russell, M, Shearer D, Cook, C, and Kilduff, L. Predictors Of Linear And
658 Multidirectional Acceleration In Elite Soccer Players. *J Strength Cond Res*, 2017.
- 659 26. Sayers, MG. Influence of test distance on change of direction speed test
660 results. *J Strength Cond Res*, 29: 2412-2416, 2015.
- 661 27. Sedano, S, Vaeyens, R, Philippaerts, RM, Redondo, JC, and Cuadrado, G.
662 Anthropometric and anaerobic fitness profile of elite and non-elite female soccer
663 players. *J Sports Med Phys Fit* 49: 387–94, 2009.
- 664 28. Suchomel TJ, Nimphius, S, and Stone, MH. The importance of muscular strength
665 in athletic performance. *J Sports Med* 46: 1419-1449, 2016.
- 666 29. Tumilty, D, and Darby, S. Physiological characteristics of Australian female
667 soccer players. *J Sports Sci* 10: 145, 1992.
- 668 30. Vescovi, JD, Rupf, R, Brown, TD, and Marques, MC. Physical performance
669 characteristics of high-level female soccer players 12–21 years of age. *Scand J*
670 *Med Sci Sport* 21: 670-678, 2011.
- 671 31. Vescovi, JD. Sprint speed characteristics of high-level American female soccer
672 players: Female Athletes in Motion (FAiM) Study. *J Sci Med Sport* 15: 474–8,
673 2012.
- 674 32. Vescovi, JD, and McGuigan, MR. Relationships between sprinting, agility, and
675 jump ability in female athletes. *J Sport Sci* 26: 97–107, 2008
- 676 33. Wisloff, U, Castagna, C, Helgerud, J, Jones, R, and Hoff, J. Strong correlation of
677 maximal squat strength with sprint performance and vertical jump height in elite
678 soccer players. *Br J Sports Med* 38: 285– 288, 2004,
- 679 34. Wight, 2016 Wright, MD, and Laas, MM. Strength training and metabolic
680 conditioning for female youth and adolescent soccer players. *J Strength Cond*
681 *Res*, 38: 96-104, 2016.
- 682 35. Wilson, GJ, Lyttle, AD, Ostrowski, KJ, and Murphy, AJ. Assessing dynamic
683 performance: a comparison of rate of force development tests. *J Strength Cond*
684 *Res* 9(3): 176-181, 1995.
- 685 36. Young, W., Hawken, M., & McDonald, L. Relationship between speed, agility and
686 strength qualities in Australian Rules football. *Strength Cond Coach*, 4, 3-6, 1996.
- 687 37. Young, WB, James, R, and Montgomery, I. Is muscle power related to running
688 speed with changed of direction? *J Sport Med Phys Fit*, 42: 282, 2002.
- 689
690
691
692



693

694

695

696 **Figure 1. Compass plot of the first, second and third eigenvector loadings**697 **relating to the respective predictor (black) and outcome (blue) variables.**

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710 **Table 1: Anthropometric and physical characteristics of English elite female**
 711 **soccer players.**
 712

| Variable | Mean | Standard Deviation | Range |
|------------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 10m (s) | 1.87 | 0.06 | 1.79 – 1.96 |
| 20m (s) | 3.21 | 0.07 | 3.15 – 3.39 |
| 30m (s) | 4.52 | 0.10 | 4.40 – 4.73 |
| Mean 505 | 2.38 | 0.07 | 2.30 – 2.50 |
| COD-Deficit mean (s) | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.40 – 0.69 |
| CMJ Height (m) | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.23 – 0.36 |
| CMJ RFD (N/s) | -13450.8 | 2431.6 | -17129 - -9350 |
| SJ Height (m) | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.20 – 0.35 |
| SJ RFD (N/s) | -13264.7 | 2361.1 | -17478 – -10333 |
| DJ Height (m) | 0.30 | 0.04 | 0.22 – 0.34 |
| DJ RSI | 1.17 | 0.14 | 0.92 – 1.41 |
| MVC Relative PF (x BW) | 1.54 | 0.22 | 1.27 - 1.93 |
| MVC RFD (N/s) | 3887.3 | 2455.3 | 2000 – 9264 |
| Total Body Fat (g) | 12897.0 | 2287.8 | 9085 - 15874 |
| Total Body Lean (g) | 46228.9 | 4484.6 | 37858 - 53474 |
| Total Body Fat (%) | 21.31 | 3.87 | 15.6-28.0 |

713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728

729

730 **Table 2: Results of the linear regression analysis**

731

| Dependent Variable | Independent Variables | Coefficients (b) | Standardized Coefficients (Beta) | Coefficient Significance (p value) | Model Adjusted R ² value (95% CI) [p value] | Cross-validation R ² value (95% CI) | AIC |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--------|
| 10m | Intercept | 1.822 | - | 0.005 | 0.999 (0.999-1.000) [0.023] | 0.961 (0.934-0.988) | -100.9 |
| | CMJ Height | 0.998 | 1.422 | 0.078 | | | |
| | CMJ RFD | -8.435e-06 | -1.995e-10 | 0.067 | | | |
| | SJ Height | 3.976 | 5.738 | 0.016 | | | |
| | SJ RFD | 3.717e-05 | 9.053e-10 | 0.011 | | | |
| | DJ Height | -3.933 | -5.636 | 0.016 | | | |
| | DJ RSI | 0.320 | 0.128 | 0.012 | | | |
| | MVC Relative PF | -0.292 | -0.078 | 0.020 | | | |
| Total Body Lean | 5.923e-06 | 7.594e-11 | 0.028 | | | | |
| 20m | Intercept | 3.335 | - | 0.005 | 0.999 (0.999-1.000) [0.021] | 0.716 (0.546-0.886) | -97.7 |
| | CMJ Height | 1.504 | 2.736 | 0.044 | | | |
| | CMJ RFD | -7.343e-05 | -2.215e-09 | 0.018 | | | |
| | SJ RFD | 3.911e-05 | 1.215e-09 | 0.011 | | | |
| | DJ Height | -4.967 | -9.082 | 0.023 | | | |
| | MVC Relative PF | 0.469 | 0.160 | 0.018 | | | |
| | MVC RFD | -3.139e-05 | -9.381e-10 | 0.018 | | | |
| | Total Body Fat | -4.550e-05 | -1.459e-09 | 0.007 | | | |
| Total Body Lean | 1.019e-05 | 1.667e-10 | 0.019 | | | | |
| Mean 505 | Intercept | 3.406 | - | <0.001 | 1.000 (1.000-1.000) [0.002] | 0.990 (0.983-0.997) | -145.2 |
| | CMJ Height | -1.914 | -3.317 | 0.003 | | | |
| | CMJ RFD | 6.887e-05 | 1.980e-09 | 0.002 | | | |
| | SJ RFD | -2.598e-05 | -7.693e-10 | 0.002 | | | |
| | DJ Height | 4.241 | 7.390 | 0.003 | | | |
| | MVC Relative PF | -0.710 | -0.231 | 0.001 | | | |
| | MVC RFD | 3.827e-05 | 1.090e-09 | 0.001 | | | |
| | Total Body Fat | 2.068e-05 | 6.319e-10 | 0.002 | | | |
| Total Body Lean | -1.041e-05 | -1.624e-10 | 0.002 | | | | |
| COD Deficit Mean | Intercept | 1.594 | - | 0.001 | 1.000 (1.000-1.000) [0.004] | 0.999 (0.999-1.000) | -124.0 |
| | CMJ Height | -0.532 | -0.922 | 0.031 | | | |
| | CMJ RFD | 4.538e-05 | 1.305e-09 | 0.004 | | | |
| | SJ Height | -7.414 | -13.010 | 0.002 | | | |
| | SJ RFD | -6.650e-05 | -1.969e-09 | 0.002 | | | |
| | DJ Height | 7.037 | 12.264 | 0.003 | | | |
| | DJ RSI | -0.438 | -0.214 | 0.003 | | | |
| | MVC RFD | 2.352e-05 | 6.699e-10 | 0.004 | | | |
| Total Body Lean | -1.752e-05 | -2.731e-10 | 0.003 | | | | |

732

733

734

735

736