



LEEDS
BECKETT
UNIVERSITY

Citation:

Maheshwari, V and Gunesh, P and Lodorfos, G and Konstantopoulou, A (2017) Exploring HR practitioners' perspective on employer branding and its role in organisational attractiveness and talent management. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 25 (5). pp. 742-761. ISSN 1934-8835 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-03-2017-1136>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/4531/>

Document Version:

Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.



Exploring HR practitioners' perspective on employer branding and its role in organisational attractiveness and talent management

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Organizational Analysis</i>
Manuscript ID	IJOA-03-2017-1136.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Employer Branding, Organisational Attractiveness, Human resource management, Talent management, Banking, Mauritius

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Exploring HR practitioners' perspective on employer branding and its role in organisational attractiveness and talent management

Introduction

The environment is becoming increasingly competitive, and in such a competitive environment, attracting and retaining a talented workforce becomes a key strategic priority for many organisations. Notably, according to Blake (2006), one of the largest costs in different types of organisations is their employee turnover. In addition, Chambers et al. (1998) identified talent scarcity as the second-most-important threat to business success, after competition. Moreover, the global economic recovery following years of depressed growth has accelerated employee turnover, and it has increased employer concerns about talent scarcity and retention (Cascio, 2014). Loss of experienced and skilled employees can lead to a loss of intellectual capital and risk organisations' ability to compete. Therefore, recent studies have emphasized the role of employer branding (EB) in retaining, motivating and engaging employees and attaining increased productivity (Tanwar and Prasad 2016). The concept of employer branding is still evolving, combines the fields of marketing and HR, and has become prominent in recent years for four main reasons: brand power; credibility; employee engagement; and the prevailing labour market conditions (CIPD, 2007). Employer branding has also been presented as a contemporary research field to human resources professionals, the academic community and the corporate world (Backhaus, 2016).

Notably, there seems to be significant growth in employer branding research and practice by organisations worldwide following on from the global financial crisis (GFC), and this form of branding has become of vital concern to senior management. The main objective of such initiatives was to build talent attraction strategies through a financial growth period. Yet, with cutbacks in staffing following the GFC, organisations have shifted focus to the engagement and retention of existing talent pools. Consequently, the economic recession has had a positive influence on the adoption of such strategies, as organisations recognise the real advantages to talent attraction, engagement and retention (Minchington, 2010).

It is well established that building a differentiated and successful service brand requires the commitment of all employees across the service organisation, because these employees embody the service brand in their service interactions (Balmer, 2001; de Chernatony and Cottam, 2009; King and Grace, 2008). Employee commitment has also been found to positively influence acceptance of organisational brand-supporting activities (Shum et al., 2008), internal branding efforts (Punjaisri and Wilson, 2011) and to enhance the adoption of proactive behaviours (Strauss et al. 2009). This was well documented by Wallace's (2013) study that explored the relationship between employee commitment and brand in the banking sector (Wallace et al., 2013).

Research on employer branding has been gaining momentum but has been broad and with limited focus on specific industries and sectors. The banking sector is no different to other sectors in that it requires talent to maintain its core purpose - to design, develop and deliver

1
2
3 excellent customer service. Yet ineffective talent relationship management has been found to be
4 one of the core issues that led to the 2008 global financial crisis (MacKinnon, 2012). Ganu and
5 Abdulai (2014) capitalised on this gap by reporting a study that examined employer branding
6 and actual employment experience in the banking sector of the African state of Ghana. It also
7 applies to the Mauritian banking sector (MBS) where employer branding is widely viewed with
8 scepticism. With this in mind, this paper examines the perceptions of human resources
9 practitioners in the MBS in relation to employer branding.
10
11

12
13 The purpose of this study is to identify how EB is perceived for HR practitioners in the MBS as a
14 strategy to “win talent” (Michaels et al., 2001), to identify how well developed EB is as a
15 concept, and finally to identify the extent that HR marketing philosophies underpin EB in MBS.
16
17

18 19 **Theoretical background**

20
21 The first steps on the way to the development of the employer brand concept were made in
22 Europe during the early 1990s by two employer branding pioneers, Ambler and Barrow (1996,
23 p.10). Employer branding (EB) emerged from applying marketing principles to the field of
24 personnel recruitment, and has been at the forefront of academic and practitioner research
25 following a growing interest in the areas of talent attraction, management and retention (Cable
26 and Turban 2001; Capowski, 1997; Maurer et al., 1992). More specifically, EB has recently
27 received a lot of attention as a specific form of managing corporate identities by creating, both
28 within and outside the firm, an image of the organisation as a distinct and desirable employer.
29 Lloyd (2002), described EB as the ‘sum of a company’s efforts to communicate to existing and
30 prospective staff that it is a desirable place to work’. Employer branding has also been
31 discussed using the terminology of ‘workplace branding’. However, Love and Singh (2011)
32 argue that the EB concept has received more attention and investigation from the practitioner
33 and consultancy community (such as Towers Watson, Aon Hewitt, Universum Global, Talent
34 solutions and CIPD) than from academics due to the interdisciplinary nature of EB and its
35 significant implications for practice.
36
37
38
39

40
41 EB is concerned with building an image in the minds of the potential labour market, as well as
42 the internal customers, that the company, above all others, is a ‘great place to work’ (Ewing et
43 al. 2002; Porter, 1985). EB has been defined as “a set of attributes and qualities, often
44 intangible, that makes an organisation distinctive, promises a particular kind of employment
45 experience and appeals to those people who will thrive and perform best in its culture” (CIPD,
46 2015). Organisations make use of the employer brand to generate a thrilling and exciting
47 impression of them as employers in the minds of current and prospective employees (Oladipo et
48 al., 2013 p. 56). Gaddam (2008) asserts that EB has become prominent in Human Resource
49 Management (HRM), and acts as one of the magic tools of communication for acquiring and
50 retaining talent in this fast-changing technological era. It is further argued that since
51 organisations need to differentiate in order to win the “battle for talent” (Michaels et al., 2001),
52 EB helps them to create a strong and defined identity among employees, and acts as a
53 preferred choice among the future employers for talent recruitment.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The latest research in the field of EB highlights a mix of marketing principles and recruitment
4 practices, pointing out the similarity between potential customers forming preferences for one
5 brand over another, and potential employees evaluating the attributes of competing jobs and
6 employers (Wallace et al., 2014). Consequently, EB relates to the attraction, engagement, and
7 retention strategy developed by HR to augment an organisation's employment offerings
8 (Minchington, 2015; Ambler and Barrow 1996; Gilly and Wolfenbarger, 1998; Ewing et al. 2002).
9 Moreover, EB has been proposed as a tool to be used by practitioners to connect the HRM
10 strategy to the corporate brand in order to stand out in the talent market (CIPD, 2007). As a
11 result, EB has been commonly portrayed as an assortment of intangible traits and
12 characteristics, presenting a workplace culture as unique, as guaranteeing a specific type of
13 work know-how, and which attracts talent to flourish and achieve optimum performance.
14
15
16
17

18 Thus, the notion of the employer brand and subsequent branding process stems from an
19 amalgamation of interdisciplinary research from organisational behaviour, HRM and marketing
20 literature (Drury, 2016; Edwards, 2010), giving rise to a need to investigate the HR marketing
21 philosophy (Schweitzer and Lyons, 2008) as an underpinning philosophy to EB.
22
23

24 **Employer branding and HR marketing**

25
26 Ganu and Abdulai (2014), in a recent study based in the Ghanaian banking sector, argued that
27 marketing principles should be applied to the field of talent management. In addition, they
28 argued that employer branding uses attraction, engagement and retention schemes, all
29 designed to boost the company brand, in the same way as these schemes would be deployed
30 to sell any product or service (Ganu and Abdulai, 2014). In this context they applied marketing
31 principles to the field of TM. Therefore, some of the challenges that HR practitioners are
32 increasingly facing are related to adding value to the corporate brand (Minchington, 2010).
33
34
35

36 In particular, in the banking sector, if HR wants to maintain its strategic position, it becomes
37 crucial to break down barriers associated with the understanding of marketing and HR and
38 develop an understanding of how the marketing domains and their relative processes can
39 integrate with HR (McKinsey 2008; Piehler et al., 2015). Furthermore, successful employer
40 branding requires close collaboration between marketing, HR and communications
41 (Minchington, 2015). It should also be aligned to the overall corporate objectives and
42 organisational culture; it should be effectively and transparently communicated; and staff should
43 be given the opportunity to provide feedback on how best to foster talent engagement in line
44 with corporate objectives (CIPD, Mercer, 2010).
45
46
47

48 Similarly, to regain trust in the banking sector following the aftermath of the GFC, Balan (2013)
49 argues that the application of marketing expertise may augment the worth of EB. As a result,
50 Balan (2013) appeals for the development of an HR marketing philosophy in driving EB
51 strategies. Similarly, in the aftermath of the GFC, the possibility of a proposed 'marriage of
52 convenience' between marketing and HR, in order to solve the traditional problems of talent
53 attraction and retention (Jaros, 1999) could also be considered. This should be based on a
54 marketing orientation focused on talent segments, where employee-value proposition becomes
55 an essential requirement of a strong employer brand. Consequently, e-recruiting has been
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 considered as a method whereby the job seeker represents a job consumer, and a target of the
4 organisation's website (Maurer and Liu, 2007). This implies that HR practitioners need to
5 understand key marketing and branding principles, as proposed by Bondarouk et al. (2013), as
6 well as communication and web-based applications that will enable them to benefit from
7 opportunities presented by social media for talent attraction (Bondarouk et al., 2014a). The
8 union of marketing and human resource management theories and the consideration of
9 potential and current talents as customers leads to EB that maintains a customer-oriented
10 rapport, thereby embracing the HR marketing philosophy (Jothi, 2010). Therefore, close
11 collaboration across departments, as well as top management involvement with and support for
12 the employer brand, has become a necessity (Minchington, 2015) for the attraction,
13 engagement and retention of talent (Balan, 2013). In addition, HR practitioners need to develop
14 such key competences as analytical thinking, innovation, and leadership in order to excel at
15 strategic decision-making and obtain support for the employer branding strategy as
16 recommended by Bondarouk et al. (2014b).

17
18
19
20
21 Similarly, Wallace et al. (2014 p. 34) argue the need for close coordination between marketing
22 and HR functions. They further emphasise the role of branding and sector image as essential
23 'magnets' in attracting the talent to support strategic goals and improve the financial
24 performance of the organisation. The adoption of the HR marketing orientation enables
25 organisations to develop a structured template for talent management, to establish priorities and
26 to increase productivity by improving recruitment, retention and commitment of employees. A
27 fragile brand image and employer reputation may result in the attraction of fewer candidates,
28 disengaged and resentful employees, higher turnover, and ultimately in reduced organisational
29 performance (Balan, 2013).

30 31 32 33 **Organisational emphasis in Employer Branding**

34
35
36
37 Existing literature suggests that organisations have always been concerned about their image
38 as employers in the eyes and minds of their existing and prospective talent pools, which they
39 believe shape the attraction, engagement and retention strategies communicated by the
40 employer brand (Dabirian et al., 2017). As a result, Martin and Cerdin (2014) have made a call
41 for more qualitative research to be conducted based on a novel model of employer branding.
42 This could draw on concepts from signalling theory, reputation management and strategic HRM
43 to support the reputation and talent management challenges increasingly faced by
44 organisations. Likewise, it has been proposed that determinants of workplace attractiveness
45 such as compensation, career opportunities, nature of the job, and corporate culture should be
46 communicated by the employer brand to enable organisations to attract, engage and retain
47 talents and achieve 'employer of choice' status (Chabbra and Sharma, 2014). In this context,
48 certain key dimensions of workplace attractiveness, such as organisation stability, work-life
49 balance, and job security have been recommended as key components of the employer brand,
50 based on employers' and prospective employees' perceptions of workplace attractiveness (Jain
51 and Bhatt, 2015). Therefore, it can be argued that workplace attractiveness depends on a well-
52 designed employer brand leading to competitive advantage (App et al., 2012).

Further literature suggests that HR departments have used employer branding to augment the organisation's employment offerings (Barad, 2012; Minchington, 2015). A study conducted by Talent Solutions (2016) surveyed an extensive number of talent acquisition decision makers who work in HR departments. It highlighted that 39% of participating organisations continue to value quality of hire as the most important metric to monitor performance, and organisations measure this with employee turnover. Therefore, it is no surprise that employee retention is their top priority (32%), with 59% of them increasing investment in employer branding compared to last year. In addition, 85% of respondents consider that the organisation's mission, culture and values need to be communicated as the main elements of the employer brand. 71% of employers also use employer branding to promote career and development opportunities, while 65% claimed to specifically dedicate the employer brand for the promoting training and development provisions within organisations. Finally, 76% of firms considered recruitment advertising and communication materials to be the key resourcing activities determining the employer brand (CIPD, 2007 p. 3).

Another study conducted by the CIPD (2010) revealed that 72% of HR practitioners utilise their intranet to communicate employer brands. Summarising the CIPD findings, it can be concluded that the HR function is incorporating total reward career development as well as linking it to the overall corporate brand, mission, vision and culture of the organisation - as per the total reward model proposed by Towers Watson (2013). However, research conducted by Balan (2013) reveals that EB strategies are predominantly in the embryonic stage. Perhaps this is because, as Minchington (2015) suggested, corporations view the topic as project-based, or even as an additional administrative burden, instead of a long-term investment for talent relationship management, and have reservations about return on investment (ROI) from such initiatives.

Drawing on previous research conducted by Minchington (2010), Balan (2013) further states that worldwide, less than 20% of organisations (in the areas surveyed), possessed a well-defined EB strategy:

% of organisations in given country/region with a well-defined EB strategy

USA and Canada	19.7%
Asia	19.4%
Europe and UK	15%
Australia	15%
Turkey	12.3%
Russia	7.6%

To date, no findings for Africa have been reported, which again indicates the need for this particular study.

In addition, Minchington (2015) revealed that EB is no more the exclusive sphere of the HR function – previously seen as the conventional guardian. Progressively, HR, marketing and communication professionals are all managing the EB strategy. Nevertheless, in spite of being a top management priority, in this report only 35% of organisations are reported to have a well-defined EB strategy that needed further developing. The survey findings also reveal that leadership of employer brands is increasingly making its way into other functions. The executive

1
2
3 team is now up from 2% in 2009 to 11%, and CEOs from 1% in 2009 to 10% - all currently
4 sharing the onus for developing and nurturing EB strategy. A recent study conducted by
5 Universum (2014), categorised employers as “Fatalist” if they are enthusiastically involved in
6 developing employer brands – Fatalist organisations consider talent a valuable, but not
7 indispensable, element of their companies. It is further postulated that HR departments of
8 Fatalist organisations are gradually sharing responsibility for the employer brand with corporate
9 communications and/or marketing functions (Universum, 2014, p.18). In contrast, “Factualist”
10 employers make talent the highest priority, with top management clearly committed to attracting
11 the best and no longer making it the sole responsibility of HR (Universum, 2014), which is worth
12 noting in the context of this study.
13
14
15

16
17 It is clear that the image and position of an organisation in the employment market determines
18 workplace attractiveness, which allows potential job applicants to differentiate among
19 competitive employers (Lievens and Slaughter, 2016). As a result, an authentic employer brand
20 enhances workplace attractiveness (Chunyan et al., 2015; Kuron et al., 2015; Wilden et al.,
21 2010), by a means of organisational analysis, thereby attracting high-quality talents who easily
22 integrate and fit with the organisational culture, resulting in improved company financial
23 performance (Lievens and Slaughter, 2016). Employer branding has also been associated with
24 the attraction, engagement and retention of the “right” talents, whose professional values match
25 the organisation’s values (Kuron et al., 2015). In relation to this, analysing the roots of EB, the
26 impact of organisational attractiveness on talent attraction and recruitment has been of interest
27 to the research community (Alnaick et al., 2012; Gomes and Neves 2011); however, despite the
28 efforts made by Cable and Turban (2003) to unveil the complex relationship between corporate
29 reputation and talent recruitment there are still research gaps prominent in the field of
30 recruitment branding and its impact on talent attraction (Gomes and Neves ,2011).
31
32
33
34

35 Moreover, to be effective and authentic, employer brands should be capable of projecting the
36 personality of the organisation as an attractive employer in order to clearly portray the
37 organisation’s ideals, guiding principles and code of conduct for sustainable talent attraction,
38 engagement and retention (Dell and Ainspan, 2010). Edwards (2010) has added that high-level
39 dissemination of the symbolic and instrumental dimensions of workplace attractiveness should
40 also be premeditated as part and parcel of the employer brand to ensure effective publicity.
41 Similarly, Wilden et al. (2010) and Wallace et al. (2014) have highlighted the great importance
42 of employer brand clarity and credibility. In this context, although a study by Alniaçık et al.
43 (2014) recommends that HR practitioners understand the need to communicate differentiated
44 and customised employee value propositions through respective employer branding strategies,
45 analysing the findings of Minchington (2015) in detail, it can be clearly deduced that the global
46 banking sector – there represented by JP Morgan Chase, Royal Bank of Scotland and Standard
47 Chartered Bank – tends to share responsibility for employer branding among marketing, HR,
48 and communication functions. However, from a wider global perspective, examining the current
49 state of employer branding within organisations still reveals a dearth of research into the African
50 region, especially in the context of the banking sector. Hence, the purpose of this study is to
51 address this gap by considering the views of HR practitioners in the MBS on the use of
52 employer branding for strategic talent relationship management.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Research context and Methodology

Research on recruitment and employer branding has typically been situated in Western countries with predominantly individualistic cultures (Van Hove et al., 2012). The present study investigates EB for service organisations' image and attraction as an employer in a non-Western culture. The empirical part of this study has been carried out in the Small Island Developing State (SIDS) of Mauritius, which forms part of the region of sub-Saharan Africa. A recent meeting of SIDS representatives in June 2015 came to the conclusion it was going through a period of high cost of living in addition to being adversely affected by an excessive 'brain drain'. However, Mauritius has been able to craft a strong growth-oriented developmental path despite being a small island economy vulnerable to exogenous shocks. Research findings show that the tourism and banking sectors are among the main growth stimulators in Mauritius, and would have been affected by the GFC. As a consequence, irregular stress phases were felt by the financial services sector especially during the periods July 2008-April 2009, and December 2010-January 2013 (Ramlall, 2015). Nevertheless, the 2015 World Bank report conveyed that Mauritius's financial services sector grew by 5.4% in 2014. In addition, the report also states that the insurance and financial services sectors contribute 9% of GDP, and operate with a workforce of over 13,400, representing 2.3% of the country's total manpower in 2013. Consequently, Mauritius, only a small island state (pop. 1,236,817 at the 2011 census) made judicious use of its human resources to achieve its fundamental development and employment goals and objectives (National Employment Policy for Mauritius, 2014, p.13). Accordingly, an attempt is being made by this study on employer branding and talent management in the MBS to attain this national employment objective.

The MBS comprises 21 banks, of which 7 are local banks, 8 are foreign-owned subsidiaries, 1 is a joint venture, and 4 are branches of foreign banks. All form part of the Mauritius Bankers' Association and are licensed by the Bank of Mauritius to carry out business locally and internationally. Some of the biggest and most reputable international banks are present in Mauritius, and actively carry out international cross-border activities (Mauritius Bankers' Association, 2013). The core values relate to strong ethics, which are the basis of the banking sector and culture. It is acknowledged that teamwork and innovation determine the sector's growth and future. Preserving an ethical workplace through the employment of qualified and dedicated staff is considered critical to the long-term success of the island's banks. In line with honesty and integrity core values, employees are treated with respect and dignity (Mauritius Bankers' Association code of ethics and banking practice 2013, p. 6-7).

In order to gain additional insights and to clarify further the concepts and effects anticipated from the literature, research in the form of semi-structured, in-depth interviews was undertaken. Interviews were conducted based on a theory-derived interview guide in a semi-structured format as recommended by Lee (1999). Semi-structured interviews of open-ended questions, lasting up to 90 minutes, were used to collect data from HR directors, reward managers and talent managers. A participant information sheet and consent form were provided while seeking appointments for interviews. All interviews were recorded and fully transcribed.

1
2
3 The target population for this qualitative study were HR practitioners from the MBS. HR
4 practitioners have the best knowledge concerning employer branding and talent management,
5 so purposive sampling was employed as suitable for this study (Elo et al., 2014).
6
7

8 Saturation was reached with responses from 27 HR practitioners from 13 banks that agreed to
9 take part in the study (from the total of 21 banks in the MBS). In order to maximise the richness
10 of the insights generated from the interviews, respondents were chosen from a range of banks
11 and profiles (see appendix 1).
12
13

14 **Data Analysis**

15
16
17 The interviews were recorded, transcribed, organised and grouped into relevant categories in
18 line with literature. The transcripts were then analysed following typical qualitative procedures
19 (e.g. Glaser & Strauss, 1967; Strauss & Corbin, 1998). Thematic analysis was undertaken, as
20 suggested by Braun and Clark (2006), where each of the transcripts was carefully read to
21 understand the motives behind relative responses while referring to the key themes derived
22 from the literature review. Additionally, the Braun and Clark (2013) model of thematic analysis
23 has been employed since it has worked previously with a broad array of research questions
24 surrounding HR practitioners' experiences and insights related to employer branding
25 phenomena in the context of strategic talent relationship management in the MBS. This exercise
26 allowed the development of themes and subthemes for the organisation and presentation of the
27 findings. The researchers also applied the rules of "pattern matching" and comparative
28 methods to draw conclusions (Yin, 1994). To derive further in-depth inferences, the complex
29 relationships among the variables were studied for each bank, using the content analysis and
30 explanation building modes of analysis.
31
32
33
34
35

36 The researchers made use of a deductive approach to coding and analysis, whereby concepts
37 from the literature related to the employer branding domain and functional responsibility for the
38 development of the employer brand were brought to the data for the purpose of coding and data
39 interpretation. The inductive approach was also used to identify emerging codes and themes
40 from the content of the data, which were not present in the literature.
41
42
43
44

45 **Findings and Discussion**

46 **The state of employer branding in the Mauritian Banking Sector**

47
48
49 Organisations and banks are increasingly competing to attract highly-skilled personnel in
50 various professional areas and therefore those organisations that attract the best talent will have
51 a distinct edge in the marketplace (Harari 1998; Mahroum 2000). Thus, EB becomes too
52 important and too complex to be managed by only one function such as marketing or human
53 resources (Ambler and Barrow 1996; Ritson 2002). Notably, the findings highlighted the need
54 for an integrated cross-functional responsibility, both for developing and managing the employer
55 brand.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In addition, considering that EB has a direct impact on the corporate reputation and image of a
4 bank, and has close links to corporate branding (Foster et al., 2010), it is imperative that an HR
5 marketing philosophy (Schweitzer et al., 2008) should be in place where each employee is
6 considered as an internal customer and asset (which adds value) from an HR perspective. In
7 relation to this, findings from this study reveal that HR practitioners also seem to be in
8 agreement that there is a strong link between employer branding and strategic talent
9 relationship management through attraction, engagement and retention. Therefore, only when
10 organisations work towards integrating these factors into their employment brand can they hope
11 to successfully compete globally in attracting new employees (Berthon et al. 2005).
12
13
14

15 Similar understanding of this employer branding concept can be noted in the response from P7,
16 the reward manager of MNE HQ UK A who said:
17
18

19 *"I consider employer branding as a modern and innovative philosophy employed for talent*
20 *management which market leaders are adopting to strengthen their current competitive position*
21 *in the talent market as well."*
22

23 This demonstrates that MBS HR practitioners have b the global drivers towards the adoption of
24 EB in organisations, as per the global insights provided by Minchington (2015). HR practitioners
25 of Mauritian banks are fully aware of the current global trend towards the adoption of EB for
26 competitiveness in the talent market. In addition, HR practitioners at a major Mauritian SBUs,
27 even though the acknowledged that they are lagging behind the global trends, for EB
28 development they are unanimously in agreement that EB is the latest strategy which
29 organisations should adopt to gain competitive advantage in the war for talent (Michaels, 2001).
30 It has been additionally emphasised by practitioners that it has become a must for
31 organisations, irrespective of sector, to make a leap towards the adoption of branding for talent
32 attraction, engagement and retention. It is also generally agreed that employer branding has to
33 be unique enough, or at least differentiated from the competitors in order to attract, engage and
34 retain employees.
35
36
37
38

39 However, even though most of the participants more fully aware of the role and importance of
40 having a unique EB, some of the HR practitioners highlight the need to start by developing a
41 formal structure tailored to the Mauritian talent market and then developing a unique EB.
42 Similarly, there are various and often contradictive perspectives with regards to the role of
43 marketing and/or branding (e.g. Martin and Beaumont, 2003). Despite the concerns and the
44 different perspectives among HR practitioners all the HR respondents employed at SBU banks
45 are confident that all staff will be keen to engage and support the successful design and
46 implementation of an EB strategy. They also pointed out that some of the HR departments have
47 already started thinking and talking about the notion of EB becoming pivotal for achieving the
48 position of employer of choice in competitive talent markets. Thus, for the HR community, EB is
49 now a key strategic tool for building long-term, trust-based relationships with talent.
50
51
52
53

54 EB can only be successfully implemented when the members of the HR function are
55 enthusiastic, support the innovation, and voluntarily embrace change. The findings reveal that
56 all of the 13 Mauritian banks that participated in this study are still at the discovery stage of the
57 CIPD 2008 employer brand development and communication four-step process. Nevertheless,
58
59
60

1
2
3 valuable insights have been gained from the MBS HR professionals to help in gauging the
4 development of employer brand design, which can be extracted from the following statement
5 made by P11, Head of HR and Change MNC HQ MRU (MB 2):
6
7

8 *“The values of the bank are integrated without having a formal employer brand. The employer*
9 *brand has not yet been developed. As HR director I am working on this project and trying to*
10 *align the employer brand to the formal corporate branding. Actually recruitment is done to be in*
11 *line and to support the corporate brand and an internal approach to policy is being adopted with*
12 *a participative management style. As part of external marketing the bank engages in CSR*
13 *activities to promote the corporate image of the bank.”*
14
15

16 It is quite clear that there is an understanding of the role and importance of employer branding
17 in MBS; however, it still needs further formalisation and integration with organisational HR
18 practices.
19

20 **HR professionals’ engagement towards the employer branding concept**

21
22

23 The empirical findings suggest that MBS HR practitioners consider the EB concept, similarly to
24 Gaddam (2008), as a modern and innovative philosophy. This philosophy positioned EB as an
25 effective medium to be used by HR practitioners to attract, engage and retain talents in the
26 competitive talent markets. Likewise the views of Michaels et al., (2001) have found empirical
27 support from the statement made by P5 (HR officer MNE HQ South Africa; SBU) who said that
28 indeed:
29
30

31 *“EB has a very important role to play in the strategic management of talent since the*
32 *generation Y talent pool are high technology savvy and very mobile”.*
33

34 In addition, the findings confirm the engagement of MBS HR professionals with EB initiatives,
35 who according to Universum (2014) would be classed as 'fatalist' employers given that they
36 seem to be enthusiastically involved in the design of the EB strategy and they see talent as
37 valuable but not indispensable. Their engagement is broad and at different levels, for instance:
38
39

40 *“Talent engagement can be felt by the body language, through facial expressions and*
41 *the behaviour of the employees”.* P2, HR manager MNE HQ India (SBU B)
42

43 *“Engagement is fully present in the HR department which is discerned by the*
44 *participation in the workshops and activities organized as part of the education and*
45 *communication activities regarding the branding initiative.”* P21, Talent management
46 specialist (MB6)
47

48 Interestingly, in spite of the engagement claimed by the participants as observed by the
49 researchers, none of the respondents made allusion to the application of branding principles to
50 HR in their understanding of EB, which supports the findings of a number of previous studies as
51 highlighted in some of earlier key studies (Mosley, 2015; Martin et al., 2009; Edwards, 2010).
52 This shows a lack of in-depth awareness about the concept, which engenders some doubts
53 regarding successful design and implementation of the EB strategy. Nevertheless, overall MBS
54 HR practitioners seem to be very positive with regards to the role and importance of EB as a
55 tool linked to competitive advantage.
56
57
58
59
60

Accountability, responsibility, and ownership of the employer branding strategy

Davies (2008) argued that certainly there is value in managing the employer brand and a potential danger if no function accepts or is given responsibility for it. However, the findings reveal that HR practitioners employed at MNEs SBUs are adamant that EB strategy will remain dull without collaboration from marketing and corporate communications departments. Additionally, they argue that the support of the finance department is crucial for the implementation of the EB strategy; however, the main responsibility, leadership and guidance should still rest with HR staff, who are considered to be the so-called *guardians of talent*. As we argued earlier, none of the respondents mentioned marketing principles as an integral part of EB. In addition, the collaboration of communications and event management departments are also sought at MNE HQ UK A, in order to be able to effectively transmit the employer brand message. The collaboration also extends to website design and the use of social media such as Facebook and LinkedIn for recruitment and talent segmentation purposes.

Furthermore, it has been stated by the head of HR at a major MNE from South Africa that collaboration with marketing is sought for the design of the employee value proposition (EVP). The centres of excellence in Johannesburg are responsible for the preparation of the generic EB strategy for the whole group, which is then tailored to each country. For Mauritius in particular the focus is on how to develop and retain talent. In contrast, the resourcing and recruitment manager from MNE HQ UK A argues that corporate affairs and marketing are responsible for corporate branding, and they are collaborating for the EB initiatives by focusing on talent attraction, engagement and retention through the application of marketing mapping. Hence, integration with marketing is a routine administrative task for internal recruitment, through Taleo and e-recruitment.

Similarly, the reward manager at the same bank (MNE HQ UK A) strongly believes the EB process should sit within HR. It has also been put forward that the main responsibility for the employer brand should rest with HR, since the management of people is the core responsibility of the HR function. At the bank, the HR department provides content for job advertisements while marketing perform the design, this could be noted from the below extract:

“EB is and should be the total responsibility of the HR department as HR is the driving force for the employer brand”, P7 Reward manager MNE HQ UK A.

Similar to the HR practitioners from MNE SBUs, HR practitioners of Mauritian banks perceive the collaboration of the marketing department to be essential, especially for the technical aspects of advertisements and brand philosophy. As argued by the HR officer at one Mauritian bank, the degree of collaboration with marketing depends on the level of support required. When sophisticated or technical marketing-oriented support is required, then help should be sought from the marketing department. Similarly, marketing support is required for educating staff on the EB concept as part of the first-stage preparation for introducing the EB philosophy.

Overall, these findings contribute enormously to the current literature on ownership of the employer brand. Previous studies (apart from Minchington 2015, McKinsey 2008, Vallaster and de Chernatony 2005) have been cautious and hesitant to outline which department should lead

1
2
3 the branding initiative, even though debates have been undertaken on the necessity for
4 departmental collaboration. HR practitioners from MBS have clearly detailed the areas of
5 collaboration between HR, marketing, communications and events management for the
6 authentic design of an augmented employer brand for sustainable strategic talent retention and
7 management. However, still they hesitate to propose a model where the responsibility and
8 accountability is shared between HR and marketing.
9

11 **Adoption of the HR marketing philosophy**

12 MBS HR practitioners strongly recommend the adoption of the HR Marketing philosophy, based
13 on the internal marketing concept (Yu et al., 2014), for the design of the EB strategy, to enable
14 effective strategic talent retention and management (STRM). The practice of HR Marketing in
15 MBS was clearly evidenced in the findings, for example:
16

17 *“The EVP, the HR Marketing version of the psychological contract is an important aspect of the*
18 *employer brand. Developing the employer brand requires a marketing orientation and*
19 *responsibility. Yes, it is important to have the collaboration of the marketing department, as*
20 *branding has always been the responsibility of marketing. As HR consultant I often seek the*
21 *help of both internal and external marketing people.” P12, HR consultant Indo-Mauritian*
22 *partnership (MB 3)*
23

24 However, there is a sense that respondents are not yet ready to go for a fully-integrated HR
25 marketing philosophy to underpin EB, talking more about synergies and collaboration as
26 opposed to co-creation and shared responsibility. On the other hand, findings also revealed
27 concerns around the need to customize marketing principles to people management as
28 advocated by one HR practitioner who expressed great apprehension in one particular way as
29 stating:
30

31 *“The marketing department wants fast initiatives which are not the same for people*
32 *management, thus marketing principles cannot be just shifted to the management of*
33 *people. Rather, key concepts can be customised to the effective management of talent.*
34 *EB is more profound and should be customised taking into consideration people*
35 *aspirations and expectations before proposing quick wins. So, HR department has to*
36 *well plan the EB strategy with collaboration of marketing and communication units. EB*
37 *is far from being a mere sales promotion exercise”. (P27, HR Business Partner MB6)*
38

39 In above case, the EB was expected to bring outcomes of a sales promotion exercise thus
40 ignoring the *voice principle* outlined by Martin et al., (2009) which calls for the identification of
41 the authentic voice of different talent groups for effective STRM.
42

43 It was evident that HR practitioners of the MBS believe in the use of the HR Marketing
44 framework through the employee value proposition dimensions (Schweitzer and Lyons, 2008)
45 but they are not comfortable with marketing's fast pace of change. There was also a strong
46 emphasis on the effective use of internal marketing to enhance HR Marketing philosophy for
47 their respective organisations.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusions and Implications

Although EB is seen as integral part of both HR and marketing strategies, it is a relatively new academic topic. Due to the fact that it is linked to workplace attractiveness, talent management and retention, it has attracted increasing amounts of attention from both marketing and HR academics and practitioners. The findings of our research are relevant to both marketing and HR managers and academics, as the search for and employment of talented employees requires organisations to invest resources in EB strategies underpinned by a HR marketing philosophy.

Whereas prior academic work is more conceptual and focusing on Western cultures, the current study demonstrates EB's role in strategic relationship management within a contextual and sector-specific setting. It highlights the significance of the concept of EB, the complexity of its development within organisations, and its contribution to strategic talent management. It also provides the employers with insights into managing and developing EB by integrating different organisational functions and moving towards a shared responsibility for EB.

Organisations that can attract, retain and develop employees will have a distinct edge in competitive environments and sectors such as MBS. Therefore, employer branding will grow in importance and, we argue, should become a joint responsibility and a common priority for both marketers and HR.

The outcomes of this study call for re-engineering with regards to managerial collaboration in organisations for the successful design and implementation of the EB strategy. In addition, employer branding should be considered as a progressive approach (based on both general branding and HR theories), to make the process of employee attraction, retention and development efficient. In line with the literature (Minchington 2015; Universum 2015), and empirical findings from the MBS, it is clear that the strategic position occupied by the HR function is still at an early stage with regards to the competitiveness of banks as service organisations. This requires the development and maintenance of long-term collaborative and trust-based relationships between the HR and marketing functions. Thus, organisations need to aim to have a strong unity between departmental heads in order to position the organisation as an employer of choice (Guthridge et al., 2008).

In addition, employer branding should become a key part of both HRM and marketing strategies in order to enable a company to attract and engage high-potential employees and to retain talent. Similarly, EB targets should be shared between HR and marketing directors in order for HR marketing philosophies to become part of organisational cultures; but EB is still seen more the responsibility of HR with input from marketing as opposed to a shared responsibility with common targets. However, in order for HR marketing philosophies to become part of organisational cultures, a novel mode of thinking about talent is required across the organisation. The HR function should implement a range of informative internal branding strategies to highlight the organisation's focus on the key areas which contribute to employee retention and employee relations. Similarly, the employer brand itself will have to project the image of an organisation that is caring for its employees, as shown through its career website pages and job advertisements. This dynamic and synergistic relationship between the HR and

1
2
3 Marketing functions and strategies will also contribute to the enhancement of organisations'
4 corporate image and reputation.
5

6
7 This study has also highlighted the perceptions of HR practitioners on the use of the employer
8 branding strategy for the purposes of strategic talent relationship management in the Mauritian
9 Banking Sector. The findings suggest that EB is considered to be a tool that should reflect the
10 bank's identity, culture, image and reputation, and position the bank as an employer of choice
11 for effective talent attraction, engagement and retention. In addition to the fact that employer
12 branding is still at the embryonic stage, the efforts in Mauritius are concentrated on developing
13 an authentic employer brand strategy for the Mauritian Banking Sector, as a whole.
14
15

16 **Future research directions**

17
18 Like all academic endeavours, the current study also has certain limitations that constrain the
19 generalisability of the findings and suggest opportunities for further research. Firstly, the study's
20 key respondents were restricted to HR practitioners in the banking sector. Future research could
21 be conducted to get the views of marketing and communications staff on the EB concept, since
22 they have been presented as key contributors to the design and implementation of the EB
23 strategy. Moreover, the study could be extended to other industry sectors, both locally to
24 Mauritius and globally. The views of HR practitioners working at MNE headquarters in Western
25 countries could also be sought, to look for similarities and divergences between their
26 perceptions and those of SBU HR staff located in Mauritius. Likewise, a comparative analysis
27 could be conducted once banks have designed formal employer brands. It would then be
28 possible to compare the views of internal and external talent pools regarding the effectiveness
29 of the brand while focusing on key attraction, engagement and retention drivers.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Alniaçık, E., Alniaçık, U., Erat, S., and Akçin, K. (2014). "Attracting Talented Employees to the Company: Do We Need Different Employer Branding Strategies in Different Cultures?" 10th International Strategic Management Conference Procedia. *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 150, pp.336-344.
- Ambler, T. and Barrow, S. (1996). 'The employer brand', *Journal of Brand Management*, 4, pp. 185-206
- Hewitt, A., (2015). Aon's Hewitt Model of Employee Engagement, [Online] [available at <http://www.aon.com/human-capital-consulting/thought-leadership/talent/aon-hewitt-model-of-employee-engagement.jsp>] accessed on 12th July 2015.
- Backhaus, K. (2016) "Employer Branding Revisited" *Organization Management Journal*, 13, 4, 193-201
- Balan, C. (2013). "A marketing perspective of the employer brand in Romania." International conference of the Institute for Business Administration, Bucharest. pp. 41-49.
- Balmer, J. M. T. and Greyser, S.A. (2002). 'Managing the multiple identities of the corporation', *California Management Review*, 44, pp. 72–86.
- Berthon, P., Ewing, M. and Lian L. H. (2005) Captivating company: dimensions of attractiveness in employer branding, *International Journal of Advertising*, 24:2, 151-172.
- Blake, R., 2006. Employee retention: What employee turnover really costs your company. July, 24, p.2006.
- Bondarouk, T., Ruël, H., Axinia, E., and Arama, R. (2013). What Is the Future of Employer Branding through Social Media? Results of the Delphi Study into the Perceptions of HR Professionals and Academics. *Social Media in Human Resources Management Advanced Series in Management*, pp. 23–57.
- Bondarouk, T., Ruël, H., Axinia, E., Arama, R. (2014) "What Is the Future of Employer Branding Through Social Media? Results of the Delphi Study into the Perceptions of HR Professionals and Academics" *Social Media in Human Resources Management*. pp 23-57.
- Bondarouk, T., Marsman, E., and Rekers, M. (2014b). HRM, Technology and Innovation: New HRM Competences for Old Business Challenges? *Human Resource Management, Social Innovation and Technology Advanced Series in Management*, Vol.14, pp.179-215.
- Bouton, K (2015) "Recruiting for Cultural Fit" Harvard Business Review <https://hbr.org/2015/07/recruiting-for-cultural-fit>

1
2
3 Braun, V., and Clarke, V. (2013). Teaching thematic analysis: Overcoming challenges and
4 developing strategies for effective learning. *The Psychologist*, 26 (2), pp. 120-123. ISSN 0952-
5 8229.
6

7
8 Braun, V., and Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in*
9 *Psychology*, 3(2), pp. 77-101. ISSN 1478-0887
10

11
12 Burke, R.J., Martin, G. and Cooper, C.L., (2011) *Corporate reputation: Managing opportunities*
13 *and threats*. Gower Publishing, Ltd.
14

15
16 Cable, D. M., and Turban, D. B. (2001). 'Establishing the dimensions, sources and value of job
17 seekers' employer knowledge during recruitment'. In G. R.Ferris (ed.), *Research in Personnel*
18 *and Human Resources Management*, pp. 115–163. New York: Elsevier Science.
19

20
21 Cable, D. M., and Turban, D. B. (2003). "The value of organizational reputation in the
22 recruitment context: A Brand-Equity perspective", *Journal of Applied social psychology*, 33, 11
23 pp 2244-2266
24

25
26 Cascio, W. F. (2014). Leveraging employer branding, performance management and human
27 resource development to enhance employee retention. *Human Resource Development*
28 *International*, 17, 121-128.
29

30
31 Capowski, G. (1997). 'Dealing with the labor shortage from the inside out', *HR Focus*, 74, p. 2.

32
33 Chabra, N.L., and Sharma, S. (2014), "Employer branding: strategy for improving workplace
34 attractiveness", *International journal of Organizational analysis*, vo. 22 Iss 1 pp.48-60

35
36 Chambers, E. G., Foulon, M., Handfield-Jones, H., Hankin, S. M., and Michaels, E. G. (1998).
37 The war for talent. *The McKinsey Quarterly*, 3, 44–57.

38
39 Chunyan X., Bagozzi, R. P., and Meland, K.V., (2015),"The impact of reputation and identity
40 congruence on employer brand attractiveness", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 33 Iss
41 2 pp. 1-35
42
43

44
45 CIPD (2007). *Employer branding: the latest fad or future of HR?* London. Chartered Institute of
46 Personnel and Development.

47
48 CIPD (2008), "Employer branding: a no-nonsense approach"

49
50 CIPD (2010), "Employer branding and total rewards research report."

51
52 CIPD and Mercer (2010), "Understanding the links between employer branding and total
53 reward: An overview of our research findings and the implications for reward" [Online] [Available
54 at <https://www.londoncouncils.gov.uk/download/file/fid/4475>, accessed on 20th February 2016]
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 CIPD (2015). Employer branding: a no-nonsense approach. London. Chartered Institute of
4 Personnel and Development.

5
6
7 Dabirian, A., Kietzmann, J., Diba H., (2017) "A great place to work!? Understanding
8 crowdsourced employer branding", *Business Horizons*.

9
10
11 Davies, G., (2008), "Employer branding and its influence on managers", *European Journal of*
12 *Marketing*, Vol. 42 Iss 5/6 pp. 667 – 681.

13
14
15 De Chernatony, L., and Cottam, S. (2009). Interacting contributions of different departments to
16 brand success. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(3), 297-304.

17
18
19 Dell, D., Ainspan, N., Bodernberg, T., Troy, K., and Hickey, J. (2001), "Engaging employees
20 through your brand (research report 1288-01-RR). New York: the Conference Board.

21
22
23 Drury, P. (2016). "Employer branding: Your not-so-secret weapon in the competition for young
24 workers", *Human Resource Management international Digest*, 24(3), pp.29-31

25
26
27 Edwards, M. R. (2010). An integrative review of employer branding and OB theory. *Personnel*
28 *Review*, Vol.39, No.1, pp. 5-23.

29
30
31 Ewing, M. T. and Caruana, A. (1999) An internal marketing approach to public sector
32 management: the marketing and human resources interface. *International Journal of Public*
33 *Sector Management*, 12(1), pp. 17–26.

34
35
36 Ewing, M. T., Pitt, L. F., de Bussy, N. M. and Berthon, P. (2002) Employment branding in the
37 knowledge economy. *International Journal of Advertising*, 21(1), pp. 3–22.

38
39
40 Flick, U. (2011). *An introduction to qualitative research*. 4th edition, Sage Publications.

41
42
43 Foster, C., Punjaisri, K., and Cheng, R. (2010). Exploring the relationship between corporate,
44 internal and employer branding. *Journal of Product and Brand Management* pp. 401-409.

45
46
47 Gaddam, S. (2008). *Modeling Employer Branding Communication: The Softer Aspect of HR*
48 *Marketing Management*. Hyderabad. ICFAI University Press.

49
50
51 Ganu, J., and Abdulai, I. (2014). "Linking Employees' Employment Experience and Employer
52 Brand Offer: An Assessment of the Banking Sector in Ghana." International Conference on Arts,
53 Economics and Management (ICAEM '14) March 22-23, 2014 Dubai (UAE).

54
55
56 Gardner, W. L., Reithel, B. J, Cogliser, C. C, Walumbwa, F. O. and Foley, R. T. (2012).
57 "Matching personality and organizational culture: Effects of recruitment strategy and the five-
58 factor model on subjective person-organisation fit", *Management Communication Quarterly*,
59 *issue 26(4)*, pp585-622.

1
2
3 Gilly, M. C., and Wolfinbarger, M. (1998). "Advertising's internal audience." *Journal of*
4 *Marketing*, 62(1), pp. 69–88.

5
6 Glaser, B., & Strauss, A. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory*. Chicago: Aldine.

7
8
9 Gomes, D., and Neves, J. (2011). "Organisational attractiveness and prospective applicants'
10 intention to apply", *Personnel Review*, Vol.40 No.6 pp 684-699

11
12
13 Guthridge, M., Komm, A. B., and Lawson, E. (2008). "Making talent a strategic priority."
14 *McKinsey Quarterly*, 1, 48.

15
16 Harari, O. (1998) "Attracting the best minds." *Management Review*, 87(4), pp. 23–26.

17
18 Hurrell, S.A., and Scholarios, D. (2013). "The people make the brand: Reducing social skills
19 gaps through person-brand fit and human resource management practices." *Journal of Service*
20 *Research* pp. 1-14.

21
22
23 Jain, N., Bhatt, P. (2015). "Employment preferences of job applicants: unfolding employer
24 branding determinants", *Journal of Management Development*, 34(6), pp. 634-652

25
26
27 Jaros, J. (1999). *HR and Marketing: a marriage of convenience*. Flex Execs Management
28 Solutions.

29
30
31 Jones, B., Temperley, J., Lima, A. (2009). "Corporate reputation in the era of web 2.0: the case
32 of Primark" *Journal of Marketing Management*, vol 25, No 9-10, pp 927-939

33
34 Jothi, V. (2010), HR Marketing [Online] [Available at: [www.recruitingblogs.com/profiles/blogs/hr-](http://www.recruitingblogs.com/profiles/blogs/hr-marketing)
35 [marketing](http://www.recruitingblogs.com/profiles/blogs/hr-marketing), accessed on 10 January 2015]

36
37
38 King, C. and Grace, D. (2008). "Internal branding: exploring the employees' perspective." *Brand*
39 *Management*, 15(5), 358-372.

40
41
42 Kristof-Brown, A.L. (2000). "Perceived applicant fit: distinguishing between recruiters'
43 perceptions of person-job and person-organisation fit." *Personnel Psychology* Vol.53, pp. 643-
44 671.

45
46
47 Kuron L. K. J., Lyons S. T., Schweitzer L. S.W., Ng E. (2015). "Millennials' work values:
48 differences across the school to work transition" *Personnel Review* Vol. 44 No. 6, 2015 pp. 991-
49 1009

50
51
52 Lievens, F., Slaughter, J. E. (2016). "Employer Image and Employer Branding: What We Know
53 and What We Need to Know" *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational*
54 *Behavior Contents Volume 3*

- 1
2
3 LinkedIn Talent Solutions (2016). "Global Recruiting Trends 2016". [Online] [Available at:
4 <https://business.linkedin.com/talent-solutions/recruiting-resources-tips>, accessed 17th May
5 2016].
6
7
8 Lee, T. (1999). Using qualitative methods in organizational research. Thousand Oaks, CA:
9 Sage.
10
11 Lloyd, S. (2002). "Branding from the inside out." *Branding Review Weekly*, 24(10), pp. 64–66.
12
13 Love L. F., Singh, P. (2011). "Workplace Branding: Leveraging Human Resources Management
14 Practices for Competitive Advantage Through "Best Employer" Surveys *Journal of Business*
15 *Psychology*, 26, pp.175-181.
16
17 MacKinnon R (2012). "Banking on talent: The context for development in financial services"
18 *whitepaper*, Talent Q Ltd.
19
20
21 Mahroum, S. (2000). "Highly skilled globetrotters: mapping the international migration of human
22 capital." *RandD Management*, 30(1), pp. 23–31.
23
24 Martin, G. and Beaumont, P.B. (2003). "Branding and People Management: What's in a
25 Name?", CIPD, London.
26
27
28 Martin, G., and Groen-in-'t-Woud, S. (2011). "Employer branding and corporate reputation
29 management in global companies: a signalling model and case illustration." In: Scullion, H., and
30 Collings, D. (eds.) *Global Talent Management*. Routledge, London, pp. 87-110. ISBN
31 9780415871709.
32
33
34 Martin, G., Cerdin, J. (2014). "Employer Branding and Career Theory: New Directions for
35 Research", in Sparrow et al. (eds.) *Strategic Talent Management: Contemporary Issues in*
36 *International Context*, Cambridge: CUP.
37
38 Maurer, S. D., Howe, V. and Lee, T. W. (1992). 'Organisational recruiting as marketing
39 management: an interdisciplinary study of engineering graduates', *Personnel Psychology*, 45,
40 pp. 807–833.
41
42
43 Maurer, S.D., and Liu, Y. (2007). "Developing effective recruitment websites: Insights for
44 managers from marketers." *Business Horizons*, Issue 50, pp. 305-314.
45
46
47 Mauritius Bankers Association. (2014) Profile of Banks.
48
49 Michaels, E., Handfield-Jones, H., Axelrod, B. (2001). *The War for Talent*. Boston: Harvard
50 Business School Press.
51
52 Minchington, B. (2010). *Employer brand leadership: A global perspective*. Collective Learning
53 Australia.
54
55
56 Minchington, B., 2015. Who must lead employer branding?: international waters-employer
57 branding. *HR Future*, 9(Sep 2015), pp.14-17.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 Mosley, R. (2015). CEOs need to pay attention to employer branding. *Harvard Business*
5 *Review*.
6
7
8 Oladipo, T., Iyamabo, J., and Otubanjo, O. (2013). "Employer Branding: moulding desired
9 perceptions in current and potential employees." *Journal of Management and Sustainability*,
10 3(3), pp. 55-65.
11
12
13 Pla, R. L. (2007). "Employment Branding: Who's Responsible?" *NZ Marketing Magazine*,
14 26(11).
15
16
17 Piehler, R., Hanisch, S., and Burmann, C. (2015). "Internal Branding – Relevance, Management
18 and Challenges." *Marketing Review St. Gallen* pp. 52-60.
19
20
21 Porter, M. E., and Gartner, W. B. (1985). "Competitive Strategy, Competitive Advantage"
22 *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 10, No. pp. 873-875
23
24
25 Punjaisri, K. and Wilson, A. (2011). "Internal Branding process: Key mechanisms, outcomes and
26 moderation factors." *European Journal of Marketing*, 45(9/10), 1521-1537.
27
28
29 Ritson, M. (2002) "Marketing and HE collaborate to harness employer brand power." *Marketing*,
30 24 October, p. 24.
31
32 Ramlall, I. (2015). "Mauritius Financial System Stress Index: Estimating the Costs of the
33 Subprime Crisis." *Journal of African Business*, 1-31
34
35
36 Ryan, A.M., Sacco, J.M., McFarland, L.A. and Kriska, S.D. (2000). Applicant self-selection:
37 Correlates of withdrawal from a multiple hurdle process. *Journal of applied psychology*, 85 (2),
38 163.
39
40
41 Schweitzer, L., and Lyons, S. (2008). "The market within: A marketing approach to creating and
42 developing high-value employment relationships." *Business Horizons*, Elsevier Science Inc.
43
44
45 Shum, P., Bove, L., Auh, S., (2008). "Employees' affective commitment to change: The key to
46 successful CRM implementation." *European Journal of Marketing*, 42(11/12), 1346-1371.
47
48
49 Strauss, K., Griffin, M. A., and Rafferty, A. (2009). "Proactivity directed toward the team and
50 organisation: the role of leadership, commitment and role-breadth self-efficacy." *British journal*
51 *of Management*, 20, 279-291.
52
53
54 Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
55
56
57
58
59
60 Tanwar, K., Prasad, A. (2016). "Exploring the Relationship between Employer Branding and
Employee Retention." *Global Business Review*, 17(3S)

1
2
3 Tanwar, K., Prasad, A. (2017). "Employer brand scale development and validation: a second
4 order factor approach ", *Personnel Review*, 46(2), pp. 389-409

5
6 Towers Watson (2013). Creating a Sustainable Employment Deal: Getting EVP and Total
7 Rewards Right. Global Talent Management and Rewards Study 2012 – 2013.

8
9 Universum Global. (2014). Talent can now choose their employers. Did they choose you as
10 World's Most Attractive?

11
12 Vallaster, C., and de Chernatony, L. (2005). "Internationalisation of services brands: The role of
13 leadership during the internal brand building process." *Journal of Marketing Management*, 21(1),
14 181-203.

15
16 Van Hoye, G., Bas, T., Cromheecke, S., and Lievens, F., (2012) "The Instrumental and
17 Symbolic Dimensions of Organisations' Image as an Employer: A Large-Scale Field Study on
18 Employer Branding in Turkey" *APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY: AN INTERNATIONAL REVIEW*, 2013,
19 62(4), 543–557.

20
21 Wallace, E., de Chernatony, L., and Buil, I., (2013). "Building bank brands: how leadership
22 behaviour influences employee commitment." *Journal of Business Research* 66, 165-171.

23
24 Wallace, M., Lings, I., Cameron, R., and Neroli, S. (2014). "Attracting and Retaining Staff: The
25 Role of Branding and Industry Image". R. Harris, and T. Short (eds.). *Workforce Development*,
26 DOI 10.1007/978-981-4560-58-0_2, 19 © Springer Science + Business Media Singapore.

27
28 Williamson I.O., King J.E., Lepak D., Sharma A (2010), "Firm reputation, recruitment websites
29 and attracting applicants" *Human resource management*, Vol.49 No.4 pp 669-687.

30
31 Wilden, R., Guderagn, S., and Lings, I. (2010), "Employer branding: strategic implications for
32 staff recruitment." *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol.26 No.1-2, pp 56-73.

33
34 Yin, R. K. (1994). Case Study Research Design and Methods. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

35
36 Yu, T, Huang, S. Rundle-Thiele (2014), "The moderating effect of cultural congruence on the
37 internal marketing practice and employee satisfaction relationship: An empirical examination of
38 Australian and Taiwanese born tourism employees". *Tourism Management* 42, pp.196-206.

39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 **Reports**

51
52 Background Document – SIDS meeting – Mauritius 24-26 June 2015.

53
54 Bank of Mauritius financial stability report February 2015.

1
2
3 WORLD BANK 2015 Mauritius Jun 23, 2015 World Bank. 2015. Mauritius - Systematic Country
4 Diagnostic. Washington, D.C. World Bank Group.
5

6 National employment policy for Mauritius (fourth draft) ministry of Labour, industrial relations
7 and employment republic of Mauritius September 2014.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix 1

Participant No./bank status	Job title	Years of experience
MNE SBUs		
P1 MNE SBU India	Manager HR/Administration	26 years of experience as branch manager HR manager since October 2013 he was called upon to take the responsibility, as a promotion
P2 MNE SBU India	HR manager	14 years in the HR profession
P3 MNE SBU France	HR manager	10 years at the bank, 3 years as HR manager
P4 MNE SBU South Africa	Head of HR	25 years' experience as HR practitioner
P5 MNE SBU South Africa	HR officer	6 months as HR officer AT BANK 2.5 years as HR officer at Nestle
P6 MNE HQ UK A	Resourcing manager	14 years in HR profession
P7 MNE HQ UK A	Reward Manager	3.5 years
P8 MNE HQ UK A	Head of HR	16 years
P9 MNE HQ UK B	HR manager	2 years in current position before 6 years at MNE HQ South Africa <i>plus</i> 8 years' experience in HR
MAURITIAN BANKS (MB)		
P10 MB 1	HR position: customer service representative	4 years
P11 MB 2	Head of HR and Change	4 years
P12 MB 3	HR Consultant	15 years at Mauritius Union and Standard Bank
P13 MB 4	HR Head	3 years
P14 MB 5	Vice President of Finance	2 years in HR 14 years in Financial
P15 MB 6	Group Head of Human Resources	over 25 years
P16 MB 6	Learning and Talent Manager	8 years

P17 MB 6	HR operations Manager	9 years
P18 MB 6	Rewards and benefits Manager	21 years
P19 MB 6	HR services Manager	14 years
P20 MB 6	Talent Management Specialist	12 years
P21 MB 6	Talent Management Specialist	12 years
P22 MB 6	Talent Management Specialist	3.5 years
P23 MB 6	Talent Management Coordinators	17 years
P24 MB 6	Talent Management Coordinator	14 years
P25 MB 6	HR Business Partner	6 years
P26 MB 6	HR Business Partner	7 years
P27 MB 6	HR Business Partner	10 years