
Citation:

Evans, C and Hutchinson, J and Christian, MS and Hancock, N and Cade, JE (2018) Measures of low food variety and poor dietary quality in a cross-sectional study of London school children. Eur J Clin Nutr. ISSN 1476-5640 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-017-0070-1>

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/4771/>

Document Version:

Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Measures of low food variety and poor dietary quality in a cross-sectional study of London school children

CEL Evans^{1*}, J Hutchinson^{1*}, MS Christian², N Hancock¹, JE Cade¹

Authors (last name underlined):

¹Charlotte E.L. Evans: PhD, lecturer in Nutritional Epidemiology and public health nutrition, email: c.e.l.evans@leeds.ac.uk

¹Jayne Hutchinson: PhD, Post-doctoral Research Fellow, email: j.hutchinson1@leeds.ac.uk

^{1,2}Meaghan S. Christian: PhD, Post-doctoral Research Fellow, email: mschristian@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

¹Neil Hancock: Database Manager, email nhancock@leeds.ac.uk

¹Janet E. Cade: PhD, Professor in Nutritional Epidemiology, email: jecade@leeds.ac.uk

Addresses:

¹Nutritional Epidemiology Group, School of Food Science & Nutrition, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK (CELE, JH, MSC, NH, JEC)

²School of Health and Wellbeing, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Leeds Beckett University, City Campus, Calverley Street, Leeds, LS1 3HE (MSC)

*Joint first authors

Correspondence to:

Charlotte E.L. Evans

Nutritional Epidemiology Group, School of Food Science & Nutrition, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

email: c.e.l.evans@Leeds.ac.uk

Telephone: 0113 343 3956

Running title: Food variety and diet quality in children

Keywords: food variety, children, diet quality, nutrient adequacy, dietary assessment, cross-sectional survey, nutritional epidemiology

Word count of abstract: 238

Word count of main body: 3677

Conflict of interest:

This project was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Public Health Research (NIHR PHR) programme (project number 09/3001/19). Visit the PHR programme website for more information. The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the PHR programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health. Further analysis was commissioned by the charity Kids Company®, UK (now disbanded). CELE, JH, NH, MSC and JEC had financial support from the UK based charity, Kids Company®, for the submitted work. Kids Company® had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article. CELE, JH, NH, MSC and JEC have no other relationships with companies that might have an interest in the submitted work.

Abbreviations:

BMI – Body Mass Index

NDNS – National Diet and Nutrition Survey

RNI – Reference Nutrient Intake

EAR – Estimated Average Requirement

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background/objectives:** Simple screening tools to measure nutritional adequacy in a public
3 health context in developed countries are currently lacking. We explore the relationship
4 between food variety and nutrient intake of London school children using a simple tool with
5 potential use for screening for inadequate diets.

6 **Subjects/methods:** A cross-sectional survey was carried out in 2010. The survey included
7 2579 children aged 7-10 years in 52 primary schools in East London in the UK. The analysis
8 included 2392 children (93% of the original sample). Food variety was assessed as the total
9 number of listed foods recorded over 24 hours using the validated Child and Diet Assessment
10 Tool (CADET) comprising 115 listed foods divided into 16 food categories. Dietary quality
11 was determined by the proportion of children meeting recommended intakes of individual
12 micronutrients, namely; calcium, iron, zinc, folate, vitamin A and vitamin C.

13 **Results:** The mean number of CADET listed foods consumed daily by children was 17.1
14 (95%CI 16.8, 17.5). Children who consumed fewer than 11 foods on the collection day had
15 particularly low nutrient intakes. Children consuming 3 different vegetables and 2 different
16 fruits on average consumed 19-20 listed foods. It was estimated between 4 and 20% of
17 children did not meet the recommended levels for individual micronutrients during the period
18 of data collection.

19 **Conclusions:** A simple method using food counts to assess daily food variety may help public
20 health nutritionists identify groups of children at risk of inadequate diets.

21 **Introduction**

22 A high quality diet recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO) contains more
 23 fruits and vegetables and lower trans fats and free sugars, and is associated with reduced risk
 24 of common non-communicable diseases such as type 2 diabetes^{1, 2}. Developing good dietary
 25 habits in childhood is important since these can track into adulthood³. However, many
 26 children and adolescents in developed countries have poor quality diets and high levels of
 27 obesity⁴; and children from low income households tend to have worse diets⁵ with lower
 28 intakes of many vitamins and minerals⁶, higher likelihood of missing meals⁷ and higher rates
 29 of obesity⁸⁻¹⁰.

30 Quality assessments of the whole diet can be difficult, particularly in children. There is no
 31 universally agreed definition of diet quality, although the term is often used in relation to
 32 meeting dietary recommendations of optimum levels of foods and/or nutrients such as the
 33 Healthy Eating Index (HEI)¹¹. Nutrient scoring involves analysis using dietary software¹²⁻¹⁵
 34 but simpler methods that provide a score without the need for software are potentially more
 35 useful as quick screening tools. A simple tick list that collects food variety data^{14, 16-20} may
 36 be an easier and less burdensome method. Very low burden methods or screening tools that
 37 simply count food variety in children which could be used to indicate dietary quality in a
 38 public health or clinical context are currently lacking. Existing research on UK and US
 39 adults have reported the importance of food variety on dietary quality, including nutrient
 40 adequacy²¹⁻²³, but there is little research on children.

41 Studies have highlighted the importance of diets rich in calcium,²⁴ iron,²⁵ folic acid²⁶, zinc²⁶
 42 and vitamin A²⁶ and the roles these nutrients play in cell division, growth, cognitive
 43 development and long term health²⁷⁻²⁹. With the addition of vitamin C, these micronutrients,
 44 are also included in the nutrient standards for school meals in England³⁰. We therefore

suggest a micronutrient approach to assess dietary quality in children. As a measure of adequacy, we have used Estimated Average Requirements (EAR), the level needed to meet the needs of half the population³¹.

Our aims were to explore how a simple count of food variety, with an emphasis on fruit and vegetables, is related to nutrient intake in primary school children, and whether such a tool has potential use for low burden screening for inadequate diets in groups of children. We focussed on intakes of micronutrients previously associated with good health by determining the proportion of children in our study consuming lower than the EAR for calcium, folic acid, iron, zinc, vitamin C and vitamin A based on foods consumed in a single day and standard portion sizes.

Methods

Secondary analysis of cross-sectional data was undertaken using baseline dietary measurements collected from 2579 children (aged 7-10 years) who participated in a randomised controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate the Royal Horticultural Society (RHS) School Gardening Programme (NIHR project number PHR Project 09/3001/19). Dietary data were collected between November 2010 and January 2011 from children attending 52 primary schools in eight deprived and ethnically diverse London boroughs. The trial was powered to see differences in fruit and vegetable consumption of 0.5 portions. Trial registration number ISRCTN11396528, details of this trial are described elsewhere^{32, 33}. Ethical approval was obtained from Leeds Institute of Health Sciences and Leeds Institute of Genetics, Health and Therapeutic joint ethics committee (Reference number: HSLT/09/012 amendment 2). Written informed consent from schools and parents of children taking part was obtained.

Dietary intake was assessed prospectively over 24 hours using a modified version of the validated Child And Diet Evaluation Tool (CADET) diary which comprises a tick list of 115

69 food and drink items, divided into 16 food categories^{34, 35} (see supplementary material for
 70 categories). The CADET does not require users to estimate portion size but uses age and
 71 gender specific food portion sizes based on 7 day weighed intakes collected for the National
 72 Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) of young people³⁶ to calculate food and nutrient intake.
 73 The CADET diary was split into two: a school diary to record all food consumed at school,
 74 and a home diary to record all food consumed at home. Both diaries included the same food
 75 items, with different meal/snack time options. Trained fieldworkers filled in the CADET
 76 diary during the school day (morning break, lunch, afternoon break), and parents completed
 77 the diary for evening and morning food consumption (after school/before tea, evening
 78 meal/tea, after tea/during night, and breakfast/before school)^{35, 37} Each listed food consumed,
 79 was ticked under the appropriate meal time. Fruit salad, for example, is a separate listed food
 80 and would add a count of one to the food variety score. A DVD explaining how to complete
 81 the CADET diary was sent home for parents/carers and children to watch
 82 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A1bzqaJiHq0>. If sections of the diaries were not
 83 completed or children forgot to return the home part of the CADET, a fieldworker asked the
 84 children to report this information during an interview on the following day to minimise
 85 missing data and reduce bias. Different fruits, vegetables and meats are counted as separate
 86 foods, whereas carbonated drinks which have a similar nutrient profile contribute one food.
 87 Children's intake was analysed in relation to the UK EAR intake of micronutrients for 7-10
 88 year old children³¹, and to updated EARs for energy, total fat and total carbohydrates for 8
 89 year old boys and girls³⁸, the mean age of the children. EARs for maximum recommendations
 90 of total fat and carbohydrates were calculated based on 35% and 50% respectively of the
 91 energy EARs for 8 year olds, and energy was assessed in relation to +/-20% of the EARs for
 92 the average 8 year old.

93 Children were excluded if they did not complete a food diary for the whole day, that is, they
 94 had a missing home or school section, or they had extremely high energy intakes of more
 95 than 5410Kcal or high fruit and vegetable intakes of more than 999g. The mean number of
 96 CADET listed foods consumed for children meeting, and not meeting, the recommended
 97 intakes of a number of micronutrients were calculated. Similarly the mean number of listed
 98 foods consumed by children missing meals, and those not missing meals was calculated. The
 99 mean number of listed foods consumed by quintile of energy intake was also produced. A
 100 food variety score was generated ,using the total number of different listed foods consumed.
 101 Children were then split into one of seven ‘food variety’ groups according to the number of
 102 listed foods consumed in the 24 hour period: 4-10, 11-12, 13-14, 15-16, 17-18, 19-20, 21+
 103 foods (no children consumed less than four food types). These groupings allowed for a
 104 roughly equal distribution of children. Graphs were produced indicating the percentage of
 105 children meeting recommended amounts for the six micronutrients and energy for each of the
 106 seven food variety groups. The graphs were repeated for those who ate sweet and/or savoury
 107 snacks and for those who did not; to check whether excluding energy dense foods had an
 108 effect on the results (see supplementary materials). Additionally, the mean number of listed
 109 foods consumed in each of the 16 food categories (e.g. drinks, fruit as shown in table 3), was
 110 calculated for different food variety groups to determine recommendations for an optimal diet
 111 in terms of dietary quality. To determine whether social deprivation was associated with not
 112 meeting the EARs, the mean Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) for those meeting the
 113 EAR for each nutrient was compared to the mean for those not meeting the EARs using two-
 114 sided t-tests. The post code for a child’s home was used to determine IMD (which ranged
 115 from 2 to 70 in this dataset), if this was not available the post code for the school was used.
 116 Analyses were performed using Stata version 13; the confidence intervals or standard errors
 117 of means and proportions took into account the clustering of children within schools. P
 118 values of lower than 0.05 were taken as significant.

Results

Out of 2579 children recruited into the trial at baseline who provided consent, 2461 children returned completed food diaries. After excluding 69 children who did not complete one or both of the sections (home and school) of their food diary; thirty nine children were excluded due to very high energy intakes and 30 children were excluded due to very high fruit and vegetable intakes. The final sample size was 2392, 93% of the original sample. The mean age of the children was 8.3 years (SD 0.7) (1188 girls and 1204 boys). Twenty-eight percent of the children received free school meals and 33% of the sample ate a packed lunch. English was spoken as an additional language by 47% of the sample. Education was reported by 60% of the families, and of those, 39% had a member of the family educated to degree level or higher. Postcode information was provided by 878 families (37%) used to determine IMD score (Table 1); with school postcode used for the remaining pupils.

Nutrient intake adequacy

The majority of children met the recommended intakes of the important micronutrients on the single collection day (see table 1). However, 18.0% did not meet the EAR for zinc, 18.4% for vitamin A, 11.0% for iron, 9.5% for calcium, 7.1% for folic acid, and 3.8% did not meet the micronutrient intake for vitamin C. For energy, 40.3% of boys and 28.6% of girls had intakes below the gender specific EARs, boys being 68% more likely have intakes below their EAR for energy than girls. Boys were 31% to 51% less likely to meet the EARs for the specified micronutrients than girls, and differences were statistically significant for energy, zinc, folate, iron, vitamin A, but not calcium or vitamin C. There were no associations between higher IMD score, i.e. higher deprivation, and not meeting EARs, except for folic acid where children who did not meet recommendations on the day were more likely to be from more deprived areas (IMD score (95%CI= 36.3 (34.3, 38.3) compared to 32.8 (32.2, 33.4) (p=0.002)).

144 **Food Variety**

145 In the total sample, the mean number of different CADET listed foods consumed over the day
 146 was 17.1 (95% CI 16.8, 17.5) out of 115 listed in the diary. The increase in mean nutrient
 147 intake by the increase in numbers of listed foods (food variety) consumed per day is detailed
 148 in table 2. Figure 1 shows how the percentage of children meeting EARs for individual
 149 micronutrients varies food variety group. The proportion of children meeting individual
 150 micronutrient requirements is higher as the number of listed foods consumed increases; once
 151 19-20 food items/day are consumed 90% or more children met the EARs for all the
 152 micronutrients of interest. For the 4-10 food items/day group the percentage of children
 153 meeting nutrient requirements is particularly low. In this sample, 136 children (5.7%)
 154 reported that they consumed 10 foods or fewer on the day of recording. In relation to energy
 155 EARs, children were more likely to exceed + 20% energy EARs if they consumed over 14
 156 different foods; similar distributions were observed for those who consumed no snacks and
 157 confectionery (N=130) (see supplementary data Figure 1), and for those who did consume
 158 snacks and confectionery (N=2082) (see supplementary data Figure 2).

159 As food variety increased, the number of listed foods consumed in all 16 categories increased
 160 (see table 3). Higher food variety occurs as children eat more of all types of foods, but
 161 particularly for vegetables and fruit. Children who consumed a total of 19-20 listed foods, on
 162 average typically consumed three different types of vegetables and two different types of
 163 fruit. On average drinks, pizza, pasta, rice, snacks and breakfast cereal provided the most
 164 variety for children who only consumed a total of 4-10 listed foods.

165 Missing meals had an impact on food variety. Out of 2392 children, 3.5 % (84) missed any
 166 meal, 2.0% (49) missed breakfast, 0.3% (7) missed lunch, 1.6% (37) missed the evening meal
 167 and 0.4% (9) missed more than one meal. The mean number of listed foods consumed by

children who missed a meal was 12.4 (95%CI 11.4, 13.5) compared with 17.3 (95%CI 16.9, 17.7) for those not missing a meal. Food variety was also lower for those children consuming diets lower in energy. The mean number of listed foods consumed by quintile of energy intake was 12.5 (95%CI 12.1, 12.9) in the lowest quintile, 14.8 (95%CI 14.5, 15.1) for Q2, 16.7 (95%CI 16.3, 17.1) for Q3, 18.5 (95%CI 18.2, 18.9) for Q4 and 23.1 (95%CI 22.5, 23.7) for the highest quintile.

Discussion

We aimed to explore the relationship between food variety and nutrient intake of London school children using a low burden simple tool with potential use for screening for inadequate diets. Food variety was determined using a food-based count from a food tick list tool (CADET), requiring no scoring from nutrient estimates. The analyses did not classify individual children in the study, but indicated group mean nutrient intakes. On average in this single day assessment, about 19 or more different listed foods or drinks (out of a possible 115 listed on the CADET tool) needed to be consumed for an optimal diet in terms of selected micronutrients and our results indicate this would also typically include three different types of vegetables and two types of fruit. As food variety increased, mean energy intake also increased. If 19 or more food items were consumed, 90% of children had energy intakes above the recommended levels which has implications for weight gain. Lower food variety on a single day was associated with risk of not meeting recommended amounts of nutrients, particularly zinc and vitamin A. If the number of food items was below ten foods, children were at extremely high risk of not meeting any of the studied micronutrient requirements on the day. Children who missed a meal or had low energy intakes had less variety in their diets and consequently lower quality diets in terms of nutrients.

There is little research on food variety in developed countries, other than in adults²¹⁻²³. In UK adults, higher food variety scores were associated with both increased nutrient adequacy and

reduced all-cause mortality; this used a simple count of foods consumed at least once a week from a 127-item FFQ with a large proportion of listed fruit and vegetables^{22 23}. In the British NDNS rolling programme⁴, micronutrient deficiencies were highlighted in some children and adolescents particularly vitamin A (6% children, 13% adolescents) and iron intakes (1% children, 26% adolescents). Children at high risk of poor quality diets may be going unnoticed because they consume sufficient or too much energy but insufficient levels of micronutrients; many measures to assess dietary adequacy in children are based on measures of body fatness³⁹. A simple food variety measure may be needed to identify groups at risk of poor nutrient intake that focuses on meat, fruits, vegetables, pulses and wholegrain; the main food sources of important micro-nutrients. There is evidence that children from the most socially deprived areas are more likely to have poor quality diets eating high energy dense food, and to be overweight or obese^{40, 41}. This may be due to food insecurity or food insufficiency where there is a lack of access to sufficient quantity of affordable nutritious food for economic or other reasons respectively⁴²⁻⁴⁴. Surprisingly, we found little evidence of associations between IMD and meeting nutrient intake recommendations, except for folic acid. However, the proportion of children having a free school meal (28%) in this study was much higher than the average for England (17%) which may have attenuated any existing association with deprivation.

Traditionally, screening tools for food security or food insufficiency do not consider food and associated nutrient intakes^{18, 35, 45}, although missing meals is usually incorporated which is an important risk factor for poor quality diet^{43, 46}. Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) report that around 8% of American children are food insufficient⁴⁷ with low serum micronutrient levels⁴⁶. This is similar to the 6% of children we identified as being at particularly high risk of an inadequate diet due to consumption of ten

217 foods or fewer per day; however this percentage may reduce if more days of intake were
 218 collected as food intake usually varies by day, resulting in regression to the mean.

219 There were a number of strengths of this study. This is the first to explore methods of
 220 assessment to predict the risk of an inadequate diet in children in developed countries based
 221 solely on a simple count of food variety. The CADET diary was designed to focus on fruits
 222 and vegetables so is weighted towards healthy foods in terms of variety score. This means
 223 higher scores are more likely to be achieved through consumption of a variety of fruit and
 224 vegetables (13 and 22 food items respectively were listed on CADET for these - see
 225 supplementary materials) than through consumption of sugar sweetened drinks, puddings,
 226 confectionery and snacks which accounted for a smaller number of listed foods (1, 6, 2, 8
 227 respectively on CADET). The similar results obtained when sweet and savoury snacks were
 228 excluded supports this interpretation. This increases the likelihood that a high score was
 229 based on a higher number of healthy foods. This emphasis on fruit and vegetables is similar
 230 to the FFQ used to score food variety in UK adults which was associated with both nutrient
 231 adequacy and reduced all-cause mortality²². In our study, a large sample of detailed dietary
 232 data was obtained using a validated tool collected by trained fieldworkers in children from
 233 diverse backgrounds and analysis took into account clustering within schools. Dietary intake
 234 was similar to typical diets of children of this age group in many high income countries and,
 235 based on eligibility for free school meals, was representative of the region.

236 There were notable limitations. The sample of children was not necessarily representative of
 237 other regions of the UK. There is no universally agreed definition of a low quality diet
 238 although our definition based on important micro-nutrients is one possible approach¹¹. Our
 239 data only covers one 24 hour period, and is not representative of individual usual intake. The
 240 average number of foods and nutrients consumed over a week would be closer to the mean
 241 and fewer children would be in the lowest food variety band. Intake of foods was estimated

using an average portion size from weighed records in the UK NDNS according to age and gender³⁴ rather than asking children to state their portion size. However, children who consume a very low variety of foods may consume larger portion sizes than average for the foods they do consume, and vice versa for those consuming a high variety of food. Therefore, it is possible we overestimated intake for some children, particularly those having the most varied diets and underestimated intake of those with low food variety. It is possible that the number of children who actually missed a meal is less than reported if a parent forgot to fill in part of the diary although steps were taken to minimise missing data. Additionally, socially desirable answers may have been given by parents in the home diary; they may have been more willing to report healthy food than unhealthy foods, with snack foods and beverages more likely to be under-reported. Lastly, we did not collect any information on body mass index (BMI) or measures of food insecurity which meant we could not identify children who were underweight. To avoid the food variety score just reflecting unhealthy foods, energy dense foods such as savoury and sweet snacks could be excluded, although they are currently low in number and excluding them from the count had little effect. In addition, more work is needed to determine how the number of CADET foods consumed changes if intakes are assessed over a period of days, which would be necessary to assess diets of individuals,. This should include validation against weighed dietary records and health outcomes⁴⁸.

In summary, a simple count of food variety may be useful to identify groups of children consuming less than optimal diets. A simple tick list questionnaire could be included, as part of a regular health check at school or in the community. This would avoid the need for specialised nutritional analysis software and interpretation. However, more research into the sensitivity and specificity of this suggested approach is warranted, particularly relating to the optimum number of dietary days needed and the need for specific portion sizes.

267

268 **Acknowledgements**

269 The authors acknowledge Claire McLoughlin and Camilla Nykjaer for their central
270 involvement in collecting the data for this project.

271 **Author contributions**

272 CELE designed the research protocol and the original statistical analysis plan, secured the
273 additional funding for the additional analysis, wrote the first manuscript and contributed to all
274 versions of the manuscript. JH designed and carried out the analysis, wrote a report and
275 contributed to all versions of the manuscript. JEC contributed to all versions of the
276 manuscript. NH managed the database, made available all data in the analysis and contributed
277 to the final version of the manuscript. MSC managed the data collection of the NIHR project
278 and contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Obesity and overweight: Fact sheet No 311*, 2015.
2. Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G. Diet quality as assessed by the Healthy Eating Index, the Alternate Healthy Eating Index, the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension Score, and Health Outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2015; **115**(5): 780-800.e785. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2014.12.009
3. Craigie AM, Lake AA, Kelly SA, Adamson AJ, Mathers JC. Tracking of obesity-related behaviours from childhood to adulthood: A systematic review. *Maturitas* 2011; **70**(3): 266-284. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2011.08.005
4. Public Health England. *National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Results from Years 1-4 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009 – 2011/12)*
Executive summary, 2015.
5. Drewnowski A, Rehm CD. Socioeconomic gradient in consumption of whole fruit and 100% fruit juice among US children and adults. *Nutrition journal* 2015; **14**(1): 3. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-14-3
6. Rose D. Economic determinants and dietary consequences of food insecurity in the United States. *J Nutr* 1999; **129**(2S Suppl): 517S-520S.
7. Arimond M, Ruel MT. Dietary diversity is associated with child nutritional status: evidence from 11 demographic and health surveys. *The Journal of nutrition* 2004; **134**(10): 2579-2585.
8. Sharkey JR, Nalty C, Johnson CM, Dean WR. Children's very low food security is associated with increased dietary intakes in energy, fat, and added sugar among Mexican-origin children (6-11 y) in Texas border Colonias. *BMC pediatrics* 2012; **12**: 16. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-12-16
9. Mendoza JA, Drewnowski A, Cheadle A, Christakis DA. Dietary energy density is associated with selected predictors of obesity in U.S. children. *The Journal of nutrition* 2006; **136**(5): 1318-1322.
10. Dinour LM, Bergen D, Yeh MC. The food insecurity-obesity paradox: a review of the literature and the role food stamps may play. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2007; **107**(11): 1952-1961. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2007.08.006
11. Alkerwi Aa. Diet quality concept. *Nutrition journal* 2014; **30**(6): 613-618. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2013.10.001

12. Golley RK, Hendrie GA, McNaughton SA. Scores on the dietary guideline index for children and adolescents are associated with nutrient intake and socio-economic position but not adiposity. *The Journal of nutrition* 2011; **141**(7): 1340-1347. doi: 10.3945/jn.110.136879
13. Guenther PM, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM. Development of the Healthy Eating Index-2005. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2008; **108**(11): 1896-1901. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2008.08.016>
14. Marshall S, Burrows T, Collins CE. Systematic review of diet quality indices and their associations with health-related outcomes in children and adolescents. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 2014; 577-598. doi: 10.1111/jhn.12208
15. Woodruff SJ, Hanning RM, McGoldrick K, Brown KS. Healthy eating index-C is positively associated with family dinner frequency among students in grades 6-8 from Southern Ontario, Canada. *European journal of clinical nutrition* 2010; **64**(5): 454-460.
16. Kleiser C, Mensink GBM, Scheidt-Nave C, Kurth B-M. HuSKY: a healthy nutrition score based on food intake of children and adolescents in Germany. *Brit J Nutr* 2009; **102**(4): 610-618. e-pub ahead of print 02/10; doi: 10.1017/S0007114509222689
17. Kohlboeck G, Sausenthaler S, Standl M, Koletzko S, Bauer CP, von Berg A *et al.* Food intake, diet quality and behavioral problems in children: Results from the GINI-plus/LISA-plus studies. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2012; **60**(4): 247-256.
18. Marshall S, Watson J, Burrows T, Guest M, Collins CE. The development and evaluation of the Australian child and adolescent recommended food score: a cross-sectional study. *Nutrition journal* 2012; **11**(1): 96. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-11-96
19. Serra-Majem L, Ribas L, Ngo J, Ortega RM, García A, Pérez-Rodrigo C *et al.* Food, youth and the Mediterranean diet in Spain. Development of KIDMED, Mediterranean Diet Quality Index in children and adolescents. *Public health nutrition* 2007; **7**(7): 931-935. e-pub ahead of print 01/01; doi: 10.1079/PHN2004556
20. Zimmer MH, Hart LC, Manning-Courtney P, Murray DS, Bing NM, Summer S. Food variety as a predictor of nutritional status among children with autism. *J Autism Dev Dis* 2012; **42**(4): 549-556. doi: 10.1007/s10803-011-1268-z
21. Krebs-Smith SM, Smiciklas-Wright H, Guthrie HA, Krebs-Smith J. The effects of variety in food choices on dietary quality. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 1987; **87**(7): 897-903.
22. Masset G, Scarborough P, Rayner M, Mishra G, Brunner EJ. Can nutrient profiling help to identify foods which diet variety should be encouraged? Results from the Whitehall II cohort. *Brit J Nutr* 2015; **113**(11): 1800-1809. e-pub ahead of print 04/22; doi: 10.1017/S000711451500094X

23. Murphy SP, Foote JA, Wilkens LR, Basiotis PP, Carlson A, White KKL *et al.* Simple measures of dietary variety are associated with improved dietary quality. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2006; **106**(3): 425-429. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2005.12.003>
24. Mark S, Lambert M, O'Loughlin J, Gray-Donald K. Household income, food insecurity and nutrition in Canadian youth. *Canadian journal of public health. Revue canadienne de sante publique* 2012; **103**(2): 94-99.
25. Campbell AA, Akhter N, Sun K, De Pee S, Kraemer K, Moench-Pfanner R *et al.* Relationship of household food insecurity to anaemia in children aged 6-59 months among families in rural Indonesia. *Annals of tropical paediatrics* 2011; **31**(4): 321-330. doi: 10.1179/1465328111Y.0000000038
26. Kirkpatrick SI, Tarasuk V. Food insecurity is associated with nutrient inadequacies among Canadian adults and adolescents. *J Nutr* 2008; **138**(3): 604-612.
27. Wald DS, Law M, Morris JK. Homocysteine and cardiovascular disease: evidence on causality from a meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2002; **325**(7374): 1202.
28. Lee D-H, Anderson KE, Harnack LJ, Folsom AR, Jacobs DR, Jr. Heme iron, zinc, alcohol consumption, and colon cancer: Iowa Women's Health Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004; **96**(5): 403-407. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djh047
29. Grantham-McGregor S, Ani C. A review of studies on the effect of iron deficiency on cognitive development in children. *J Nutrition* 2001; **131**(2): 649S-668S.
30. Evans CE, Mandl V, Christian MS, Cade JE. Impact of school lunch type on nutritional quality of English children's diets. *Public Health Nutr* 2015; 1-10. doi: 10.1017/S1368980015000853
31. Department of Health. *Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom*, HMSO, 1991.
32. Christian MS, El Evans C, Conner M, Ransley JK, Cade JE. Study protocol: can a school gardening intervention improve children's diets? *BMC Public Health* 2012; **12**: 304. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-304
33. Christian MS, Evans CE, Hancock N, Nykjaer C, Cade JE. Family meals can help children reach their 5 a day: a cross-sectional survey of children's dietary intake from London primary schools. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2013; **67**(4): 332-338. doi: 10.1136/jech-2012-201604

34. Cade JE, Frear L, Greenwood DC. Assessment of diet in young children with an emphasis on fruit and vegetable intake: using CADET--Child and Diet Evaluation Tool. *Public Health Nutr* 2006; **9**(4): 501-508.
35. Christian MS, Evans CE, Nykjaer C, Hancock N, Cade JE. Measuring diet in primary school children aged 8-11 years: validation of the Child and Diet Evaluation Tool (CADET) with an emphasis on fruit and vegetable intake. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2015; **69**(2): 234-241. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2014.160
36. Wrieden WL, Longbottom PJ, Adamson AJ, Ogston SA, Payne A, Haleem MA *et al*. Estimation of typical food portion sizes for children of different ages in Great Britain. *Brit J Nutr* 2008; **99**(6): 1344-1353. e-pub ahead of print 06/01; doi: 10.1017/S0007114507868516
37. Christian MS, Evans CE, Nykjaer C, Hancock N, Cade JE. Evaluation of the impact of a school gardening intervention on children's fruit and vegetable intake: a randomised controlled trial. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014; **11**: 99. doi: 10.1186/s12966-014-0099-7
38. Department of Health. *SACN Dietary Reference Values for energy*, 2012. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/sacn-dietary-reference-values-for-energy>
39. Department of Health. *National Child Measurement Programme*, 2009. <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/national-child-measurement-programme>
40. National Centre for Social Research. *Health Survey for England: Obesity among children under 11*, 2007.
41. Stamatakis E, Primatesta P, Chinn S, Rona R, Falaschetti E. Overweight and obesity trends from 1974 to 2003 in English children: what is the role of socioeconomic factors? 4. *Arch Dis Child* 2005; **90**(10): 999-1004.
42. Jenkins G. 1.6 million children in the UK live in severe poverty: the government must do more to target areas of high deprivation, poverty and worklessness. In: *British Politics and Policy at LSE*: LSE, 2011.
43. Molcho M, Gabhainn SN, Kelly C, Friel S, Kelleher C. Food poverty and health among schoolchildren in Ireland: findings from the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study. *Public Health Nutr* 2007; **10**(4): 364-370. doi: 10.1017/S1368980007226072
44. Pilgrim A, Barker M, Jackson A, Ntani G, Crozier S, Inskip H *et al*. Does living in a food insecure household impact on the diets and body composition of young children? Findings from the Southampton Women's Survey. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2012; **66**(6): e6. doi: 10.1136/jech.2010.125476
45. USDA ERS. *Food Security in the US*, 2015.

46. Bhattacharya J, Currie J, Haider S. Poverty, food insecurity, and nutritional outcomes in children and adults. *J Health Econ* 2004; **23**(4): 839-862. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2003.12.008>
47. Kleinman RE, Murphy JM, Little M, Pagano M, Wehler CA, Regal K *et al.* Hunger in children in the United States: potential behavioral and emotional correlates. *Pediatrics* 1998; **101**(1): E3.
48. Lazarou C, Newby PK. Use of dietary indexes among children in developed countries. *Adv Nutr* 2011; **2**(4): 295-303. doi: 10.3945/an.110.000166

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Percentage of London school children aged 7-10 years meeting EAR nutrient requirements by number of CADET listed foods consumed in a day