Electronic personal assessment questionnaire for vascular conditions (ePAQ-VAS): development and validity.

1) Authors: Ahmed Aber[a], Patrick Phillips[a], Jane Hughes[a], Anju Devianee Keetharuth[a], Gill Rooney[a], Stephen Radley[b], Stephen Walters[a] , Shah Nawaz[c], Georgina Jones[ac], Jonathan Michaels[a].

Ahmed Aber, University of Sheffield, a.aber@sheffield.ac.uk
Patrick Phillips, University of Sheffield, p.phillips@sheffield.ac.uk
Jane Hughes, University of Sheffield, jane.hughes2@sheffield.ac.uk
Anju Devianee Keetharuth, University of Sheffield, d.keetharuth@sheffield.ac.uk
Gill Rooney, University of Sheffield, g.rooney@sheffield.ac.uk
Stephen Radley, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, Stephen.Radley@sth.nhs.uk
Stephen Walters, University of Sheffield, s.j.walters@sheffield.ac.uk
Shah Nawaz, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, shah.nawaz@sth.nhs.uk
Georgina Jones, Leeds Beckett University, g.l.jones@leedsbeckett.ac.uk
Jonathan Michaels, University of Sheffield, j.michaels@sheffield.ac.uk
2) [a]Institution: School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield
[b]Institution: Jessop Wing, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, Sheffield
[c]Institution: Sheffield Vascular Institute, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, Sheffield
[d]Institution: Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds

3) *Corresponding author: Ahmed Aber, Regent Court, 30 Regent Street, School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S1 4DA.
E-mail: a.aber@sheffield.ac.uk.
Tel: +44 (0) 788 67 22431
Fax: +44 (0) 114 222 0740
4) Category for manuscript submission: Original Research

5) Source of funding for research and publication: This manuscript presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under the Programme Grants for Applied Research (RP-PG-1210-12009). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

6) Conflict of interest: Stephen Radley is a director and shareholder in ePAQ Systems Ltd, an NHS spin-out technology company.

7) Acknowledgments: (i) The authors thank Daniel Jackson for facilitating the Development of the electronic questionnaire. The authors also would like to thank the Cardiovascular Research Patient Panel at Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.

Abstract:

Background: This paper describes the development and validation of an electronic personal assessment questionnaire for vascular conditions (ePAQ-VAS) that captures symptomatology, quality of life and clinically relevant data to patients presenting to vascular services.

Methods: A two-stage survey was conducted in patients attending a tertiary vascular department. Patients completed the ePAQ-VAS questionnaire remotely online or on site using an electronic tablet. In the first stage of the survey the responses were used to perform confirmatory factor analysis to assess the construct validity and remove redundant items. The internal reliability of disease-specific scales was investigated. In the second stage of the survey, the acceptability, known-group validity, test-retest reliability and responsiveness of ePAQ-VAS was assessed.

Results: In total, 721 patients completed ePAQ-VAS, the mean age was 63.5 years (15.7 SD); 64.9% were men (468); 76% of patients (553) completed the questionnaire in clinic and the remaining patients completed the questionnaire online. The results of the confirmatory factor analysis confirmed the conceptual model for ePAQ-VAS structure and eliminated six items. Internal reliability was acceptable for all the scales (Cronbach's alpha >0.7). The test-retest reliability measured by the intraclass correlation coefficient ranged from 0.65-0.99. The results showed that the instrument is responsive over time with standardised response mean ranging from 0.69-1.60.

Conclusions: ePAQ-VAS is a holistic data-collection process that is relevant to vascular service users and has potential to contribute to patient-focussed care and the collection of aggregate data for service evaluation.

Introduction

Clinical outcomes in patients undergoing procedures for vascular diseases have been the focus for vascular services evaluation in the United Kingdom **(1).** These clinical outcomes, include technical measures such as patency and pressure indices or functional issues such as walking distance, may be a poor proxy for the effect of a condition on any patient. The use of these outcomes only reflects the impact of vascular disease and treatment on the small proportion of patients that develop adverse clinical outcomes **(2)**, they do not measure the impact of vascular disease and treatment among many other patients, including those treated conservatively **(2,3).**

In response to this problem, several condition-specific and generic patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) have been developed or assessed for use in specific vascular conditions. These include condition-specific PROMs can capture aspects of disease important to patients and provide a picture about the impact of the disease on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) **(4, 5)**, whereas, generic measures can be used to capture benefits across different conditions and treatments **(5).** PROMs can be used for diagnosis, monitoring, and measuring treatment effects, especially when integrated into an electronic patient record (EPR) platform **(6).** Increasingly, PROMs are being used to calculate quality adjusted life years for use in cost-effectiveness analysis of health and care interventions.

The regular use of PROMs in clinical practice can guide treatment choice, shared decision making, and self-management. Evidence also suggests that clinicians can provide improved patient-centred care when PROMs are integrated into disease registries, where outcomes are tracked over time **(6).** However, the use of these measures to assess the impact of vascular conditions is limited to clinical studies and rarely used for patients' assessment and service evaluation **(7-11).** This is in part because most vascular patients present with overlapping symptoms or mixed conditions, furthermore, logistically, it is difficult to use paper-based PROMs to monitor patients with chronic and recurrent vascular conditions **(12-15)**.

Hence, as part of the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) programme considering the configuration and monitoring of vascular services, a new vascular PROM was developed. This new electronic tool followed the successful models in which electronic PROMs were used for patients' diagnosis, assessment, and long term monitoring **(16).**

The electronic patient assessment questionnaire for vascular patients (ePAQ-VAS) was developed in line with internationally recognised standards and guidelines **(17-19)**. It captures the impact of five main vascular conditions; abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA), peripheral arterial disease (PAD), carotid artery disease (CAD), varicose veins (VVs) and venous leg ulcers (VLU). The decisions to only include these conditions was based on systematic reviews and a clinicians' consensus exercise **(7-11,**

20). The advantages of this electronic tool are that it can be integrated into an EPR and facilitate focused consultations as patients can complete the questionnaire before the clinic appointment. Patients can complete the questionnaire on mobile phones or computers, and remote completion can facilitate virtual clinics. Furthermore, the electronic data generated by patients can help long term monitoring of disease, service evaluation, and linkage to other data. Lastly, this electronic tool incorporates skipping rules removing the need for patients to complete irrelevant sections.
The aim of this paper is to present the steps taken to develop and the validate ePAQ-VAS.

Methods

The conceptual framework for the ePAQ-VAS questionnaire was developed from three distinct sources to identify the key issues, symptoms and the impact of AAA, PAD, CAD, VLU and VV on patients with these conditions. First, systematic literature reviews of existing outcome measures and qualitative evidence were conducted **(7-11, 21-24)**. Second, clinicians involved in the care of vascular patients were invited to list the key issues, symptoms and the impact of these conditions **(20)**. Third, semi-structured interviews were conducted with five vascular patient groups PAD, AAA, CAD, VLU and VV. Users of vascular services attending the vascular department, Sheffield Vascular Institute (SVI) at the Sheffield Teaching Hospitals were recruited for this study purposive sampling techniques to ensure a range of participants at different age, sex and stages of treatment. Patients were asked about their symptoms, impact of the condition on their functioning and lifestyle. Framework analysis was used to study the qualitative data from the interviews and six overarching themes were identified for patients with PAD, AAA, CAD, VLU and VV. These were symptoms (including pain), impact on physical function, social impact, psychological impact, financial impact and lifestyle. **(25)**.
The themes identified above were used by the ePAQ-VAS steering committee (SCR, GJ, PP, EL, AA) to generate items (questions) for the initial item pool **(see *supplementary material Appendix 1*)**.
The list of items was presented first to 13 clinicians involved in the care of vascular patients who were invited to score the relevance of items in the provisional version of ePAQ-VAS and suggest new items **(20)**. Second, to ensure face validity, interviews were conducted with 19 patients, purposefully sampled from vascular populations previously described. Inputs from clinicians and service users were used to revise the questionnaire by deleting 59 items, adding 5 items and rephrasing 12 items.
The resulting ePAQ-VAS had 114 items and these were divided into sections, including a generic section asking about common vascular symptoms, relevant medical conditions, medications, clinically relevant questions (e.g. smoking, weight, diabetes) and screening questions to ensure only relevant questions are presented to the patients based on their specific vascular complaint. There were three

disease specific sections including AAA, CAD and lower limbs sections. In these disease-specific sections there were eight scales with 55 items. The eight scales were CAD related anxiety, impact of CAD on activities of daily living (ADL), AAA related anxiety, impact of AAA on activities of ADL, PAD symptoms, VLU symptoms, VV symptoms and impact of lower limb vascular disease on ADL. For an overview of the development process see Figure 1.

Insert Figure 1 here

Item reduction and internal reliability

Participants' recruitment

To reduce the burden of ePAQ-VAS, statistical analysis of the results of a survey was done to delete questions from ePAQ-VAS that were redundant. Consecutive patients attending outpatient clinics run by SVI between June 2017 and June 2018 were invited to complete the questionnaire online before their clinic appointment or onsite using electronic devices. Onsite, patients could complete questions and ask for technical help from researchers. The five key vascular conditions identified (AAA, CAD, PAD, VLU and VV) were all represented in the sample.

Statistical analyses

Sample-size calculation was based on previous studies suggesting a required ratio between 4 and 10 respondents per item to enable factor analysis and internal reliability calculations **(26,27)**. Based on 55 items within ePAQ-VAS contributing to eight scales, up to 550 patient completions were considered necessary.

Item reduction

One-factor confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) model for ordinal data **(28)** was fitted to each of the eight scales to test whether the empirical data supported the eight scales identified in the conceptual framework, The results of the analysis were also used to reduce the number of items. Appropriateness of the CFA model for each scale was assessed by examining the comparative fit index (CFI) and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), where CFI >0.95 and RMSEA < 0.08 were regarded as appropriate fit **(29)**. Furthermore, item factor loadings (>0.4); model residual correlations and modification indices were considered to examine local dependence within domains **(29)**. For these three indices, their magnitude was evaluated in comparison to other items in the scale; when the modification indices (MI) were >100 and residual correlations (RC) >|.10| this was taken as the indicator of lack of fit and items were removed from the scale**(29)**. Redundant items were removed using the results from the CFA. MPlus version 8.2 (Muthen & Muthen, Los Angeles, California, USA) was used for the statistical analyses **(30)**.

Internal consistency

To assess whether each scale within ePAQ-VAS was measuring what was intended cronbach alpha coefficient was calculated to measure internal consistency reliability. A Cronbach's alpha score of ≥ 0·70 was considered acceptable, however scores exceeding 0·92 were taken to indicate that items in the scale may be redundant **(18, 31)**.

ePAQ-VAS acceptability, validity, reliability and responsiveness

The results of relevant items from the above survey and an additional survey were used to validate the measure by examining acceptability, test-retest reliability, construct validity, and the responsiveness of the measure.

Participants' recruitment

All consecutive patients invited to outpatient clinics run by the SVI from June 2018 to January 2019 were asked to participate in this study. For test -retest reliability, patients were asked to complete a second questionnaire three to seven days later, provided there was no change to their health status. Only patients with AAA, PAD, VLU and VV were included in this survey as CAD patients were only available prior to revascularisation procedures. To assess responsiveness, patients completed ePAQ-VAS before, and six weeks after PAD and VV procedures. The second survey for test-retest reliability and responsiveness was completed over the telephone by one of the researchers.

Statistical analyses

Acceptability

Acceptability of ePAQ-VAS was measured by examining the completeness of the data. Good level of acceptability is confirmed if 80-95% of the data are completed by the patients **(31).** Additionally, the mean and median of time taken to complete this instrument online or in clinic was calculated.

Scoring

A summative score for each the eight scales in ePAQ-VAS was calculated and standardised to a 0-100 scale, where 0 indicates the best, and 100 the worst, outcome. Skipped items were allocated a score of zero, as the questionnaire allows skipping of sections and individual questions that are not of relevance.

Test-retest reliability

Intra-class correlation coefficients (ICCs) were used to assess test-retest reliability. ICC exceeding 0.7 are generally regarded as reliability for population-based research and ICCs exceeding 0.9 are considered to indicate reliability for use clinically with individuals **(32)**.

Known-group validity

Known group validity was examined using hypothesis testing to examine whether the scales correlate well with expected clinical group differences. Correlations are considered low if $r < 0.3$, moderate if r lies between 0.30 and 0.49 and high if $r < 0.5$(15). Hypotheses were stated *a priori*, including the

postulated direction **(17, 33)**. The clinical hypotheses proposed that the CAD anxiety and ADL scale scores would be higher (worse) for patients with stroke compared to those presenting with TIA. The AAA scale scare would be higher with patients with larger aneurysms and patients with ulceration or rest pain and PAD would have worse score than PAD patients with claudication. For the list of these hypotheses for each condition, **see supplementary Appendix 2.**

Responsiveness

Responsiveness was measured using standardised effect size, calculated as change of score between post-operative patient score and pre-operative score divided by standard deviation at baseline. An effect size of 0.30 – 0.50 is regarded as 'small', 0.50 – 0.80 as 'moderate', 0.80 and above as 'large' **(33)**. Standardised response mean was also calculated as the mean difference between baseline and post-intervention divided by the standard deviation of the change, and classified using the same criteria**.** Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM, New York, USA) Version 24.

Results:

The response rate for patients invited to complete the questionnaire online prior to attending their clinic appointment was 24.2%. In total 721 patients completed ePAQ-VAS, their mean age was 63.5 (15.7 SD). 64.9% were men (468); 76% of patients (553) completed the questionnaire in a clinical environment (clinic or ward) and the remaining patients completed the questionnaire online. The mean time to complete ePAQ-VAS in the clinic was 12:51 minutes (Median, 09:14 minutes) and online prior to the clinic appointment was 36:51 minutes (Median 30:44 minutes), the difference in completion time is likely due to availability of help from researchers in clinics to complete ePAQ-VAS. ePAQ-VAS showed good acceptability with 350 item responses missing from 56,238 (0.62%). The final scores were calculated for each scale and presented in Table 1.

Insert table 1 here

Item reduction

The eight scales within the condition-specific sections of ePAQ-VAS were identified through qualitative evidence from patients and clinicians. The responses of patients from the first survey were used in the CFA models to examine whether this structure was supported empirically. In the CAD section two scales were modelled and all items within the "CAD related anxiety" supported the latent factor. However, two items were dropped from "Impact of CAD on ADL" domain. The first was a generic item about the impact of CAD diagnosis on enjoyment of life, this item had high MI and RC with other items

in the same scale. The other item deleted from this scale asked about impact on mood and this had low factor loading. In the AAA section, only one item asking about the impact of AAA on enjoyment of life was deleted, this item had high MI & RC with items in the same scale. A similar item asking about impact of lower limb symptoms on enjoyment of life was also dropped because high MI and RC with other items within the same scale. In the lower limb section two further items were dropped from the final version of ePAQ and these were asking about "cold feet" and VLU symptom, both items had low factor loading. For further details about the CFA models results, factor loading and other parameters please see **supplementary material Appendix 3**.

A demonstration version of the final version of ePAQ can be viewed at: http://demo-questionnaire.epaq.co.uk/home/project?id=aaa_1.0&page=1

Internal reliability

After dropping six items based on the results from the CFA, the internal consistency of each scale was examined, and all scales had a Cronbach's alpha coefficient ≥ 0·70 and none exceeded 0·92. For further details please supplementary material appendix 3, table 5 .

Test-retest reliability

For the test-retest survey 150 patients (60 with PAD, 39 with VLU and 51 with VV), completed the relevant sections of a second questionnaire after 3-7 days. Test-retest results were calculated for the symptom scale and impact of the lower limb vascular disease on ADL for patients with PAD, VLU and VV separately. The ICC ranged from 0.65 for VV symptoms to 0.98 for the PAD and Lower Limb ADL symptoms and 0.99 for the VLU symptoms as shown in supplementary material appendix 3, table 5.

Known-group validity

The correlation between size of AAA and AAA related anxiety score was significant. There was a significant correlation between rest pain and PAD symptoms and impact of PAD on ADL. Presence of ulcer had a statistically significant correlation with the score on PAD ADL.

Ulcer recurrence had a significant correlation with VLU symptom scale score. The presence of VV in both legs had a significant correlation with VV symptoms only and the presence of VV in both legs did not have strong correlations with scores of VV ADL. Correlations between the proposed clinical

hypotheses and CAD ADL and CAD anxiety scores were low ranging from -0.089 to 0.094. This could be due to small sample size (n = 50) in the CAD group.

The results of known group validity were mixed, with some being in line with proposed clinical hypotheses, for instance the larger the size of AAA the greater the anxiety caused by the condition and the presence of rest pain or ulcer significantly impact the score of PAD scales. However, some clinical hypotheses, particularly in CAD scale scores were not in line with what was proposed (see table 2).

Insert table 2 here

Responsiveness

In total 92 patients completed the responsiveness survey, of these, 55 patients had VV procedures and 37 lower limb revascularization procedures for PAD. These patients completed the ePAQ-VAS pre-operatively and once more at least six weeks following their operation. All patients included in the analysis had successful outcomes from their procedure. The effect size and standardised response mean were measured for all the relevant scales of ePAQ-VAS to examine whether ePAQ-VAS can pick up the difference in health status following successful interventions. As shown in table 3, the effect size was moderate for PAD symptoms and large for the remaining scales. The results for the standardised response means were all moderate apart from VV being large.

Insert table 3 here

Discussion

Systematic reviews of condition specific vascular PROMs identified a lack of adequately validated tools for most vascular conditions **(7-11). T**he use of validated PROMs is limited and the data generated are rarely used in clinical decision making or monitoring of patients **(9).** The ePAQ-VAS is a tool that covers the five main vascular conditions of AAA, PAD, CAD, VVs and VLU. It has been developed in line with the FDA, the consensus-based standards for the selection of health measurement instruments (COSMIN) and other international guidelines **(17-19).** The items in this multi-sectional tool were developed based on the views of vascular patients experiencing the conditions and clinicians treating them. ePAQ-VAS was evaluated for its acceptability, reliability, validity and responsiveness in a large study involving 721 patients. The results of this study show that it has robust content and face validity and good acceptability, internal consistency, and

responsiveness. Many of the scales within the ePAQ-VAS exhibit good test-retest reliability and known group validity.

The main advantages of ePAQ-VAS are that it is a single instrument covering most patients treated by vascular services. This is particularly important for patients presenting with mixed symptoms or multiple conditions, therefore facilitating a focused, as well as holistic, approach to treat the causes of their symptoms. The electronic format of this tool makes it easier to monitor patients over time, especially those with chronic conditions and those treated with lifestyle modification or conservatively. The questionnaire can be completed before the clinic or at the clinic before meeting the clinician and can help shared decision making and enable focused consultations. The data collected cover clinical and quality of life information and can be added to the patient electronic record. This can help assess the service over time if adopted locally and nationally. Evidence suggests that when electronic tools like ePAQ-VAS are included in disease registries they can facilitate patient centred care **(6).** Another strength of ePAQ-VAS is that it generates detailed descriptions of the quality of life for people with different vascular conditions. EQ-5D is a generic outcome measure with five dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activity, pain, anxiety and depression) that can be used to generate quality adjusted life years, a composite measure of length and quality of life, that is recommended for use in economic evaluation **(34)**. Therefore, if EQ-5D is used alongside ePAQ-VAS, utility values can be generated for the different vascular health states, which in turn can be used in economic evaluation in research settings and service evaluation in clinical settings. The disease specific data and utility values may also be used in the future to consider the relationship between such generic measures and the more detailed symptomatic and disease-specific description of vascular conditions provided by ePAQ-VAS.

There are several limitations of this study, the survey for validating this tool was conducted in a single centre. The patients completing the questionnaire were aware that they are completing it for research purposes only and that the results would not be used in their clinical consultation or management. This can be one of the reasons for the low completion rate of ePAQ-VAS prior to the clinic appointments. Previous experience with electronic ePAQ questionnaire used in other disease areas suggest that patients are more likely to complete outcome measures before their clinic appointment when it is in routine clinical use and assist in their management **(6, 35)**. Future studies are warranted to examine response rates and the discrepancy between response rates online before the clinic and at the clinic before meeting the clinicians. Furthermore, the online nature of the questionnaire meant that younger patients or those with family support were more likely to

complete ePAQ-VAS when compared to older patients and those less familiar with online technology.

There was a discrepancy in the completion times for patients completing the questionnaire online before the clinic appointment and those completing it in the clinic before their appointment with the clinicians. This could be because patients completing online were unsupervised & unsupported, completion times may have been affected by variables such as Internet connection speeds as well as interruptions or distractions, which were not measured. The presence of researchers in the clinics could have introduced bias to the results of completion time and reduced this for those patients completing the questionnaire there.

The sample size for some of the statistical analyses was small particularly for patients presenting with CAD and AAA. This was due to resource limitations of the research team and patient availability when compared to other disease groups such as PAD and VLU. The access to certain patient groups, especially for post-operative patients was limited. Fourth, the follow up data for test-retest and responsiveness were collected on the phone with the answers recorded by an interviewer. The presence of an interviewer could have introduced bias to the results and reduced completion time. Further studies can explore ways to improve data collection for follow-up data. Another area of validation of this instrument is the predictive validity and this can important for examining the ability of the questionnaire to monitor the impact of chronic vascular conditions on quality of life and the symptom change over time.

This research has resulted in the development of a new electronic instrument, the ePAQ-VAS, for the collection of patient-reported outcome data that captures symptomatology, quality of life and other clinically relevant data such as experience with NHS services and co-morbidities, experienced by most patients presenting to vascular services. Such data may contribute to electronic patient records and be invaluable in the management of individual patients and collection of aggregate data for service evaluation and research.

References:

1- NHS England, Department of Health. Specialised vascular services (adults) (2017). https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/specialised-vascular-services-service-specification-adults.pdf[i] (Accessed 20 June 2019)
2- Devlin N, Appleby J. Getting the most out of proms: Putting health outcomes at the heart of NHS Decision making. The King's Fund; https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/files/kf/Getting-the-most-out-of-PROMs-Nancy-Devlin-John-Appleby-Kings-Fund-March-2010.pdf/; 2000 (Accessed on 20 June 2019).
3- WHO. What quality of life? The WHOQOL group. World health organization quality of life assessment. World Health Forum; 1996: 354-6.
4- Black N, Varaganum M, Hutchings A. Relationship between patient reported experience (PREMs) and patient reported outcomes (PROMs) in elective surgery. BMJ Qual Saf 2014; 23: 534-42
5- Weldring T, Smith SM. Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) and Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs). Health Serv Insights. 2013; 6: 61
6- Hvitfeldt H, Carli C, Nelson EC, Mortenson DM, Ruppert BA, Lindblad S. Feed forward systems for patient participation and provider support: adoption results from the original US context to Sweden and beyond. Qual Manag Health Care. 2009;18:247-56.
7- Duncan R, Essat M, Jones G, Booth A, Buckley Woods H, Poku E, *et* al. Systematic review and qualitative evidence synthesis of patient-reported outcome measures for abdominal aortic aneurysm. Br J Surg. 2017;104(4):317-327. doi: 10.1002/bjs.
8- Poku E, Duncan R, Keetharuth A, Essat M, Phillips P, Woods HB, *et* al. Patient-reported outcome measures in patients with peripheral arterial disease: a systematic review of psychometric properties. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2016; 14(1):161.
9- Aber A, Poku E, Phillips P, Essat M, Buckley Woods H, Palfreyman S, *et* al. Systematic review of patient-reported outcome measures in patients with varicose veins. Br J Surg. 2017;104(11):1424-1432.
10- Poku E, Aber A, Phillips P, Essat M, Buckley Woods H, Palfreyman S, *et* al. Systematic review assessing the measurement properties of patient-reported outcomes for venous leg ulcers. 2017;1(5):138-147.
11- Essat M, Aber A, Phillips P, Poku E, Woods HB, Howard A, *et* al. Patient-reported outcome measures in carotid artery revascularization: systematic review and psychometric analysis. Ann Vasc Surg. 2018; 50:275-283.
12- Beebe-Dimmer JL, Pfeifer JR, Engle JS, Schottenfeld D. The epidemiology of chronic venous insufficiency and varicose veins. Ann Epidemiol. 2005 ;15(3):175-84.
13- Maurins U, Hoffmann BH, Losch C, Jockel KH, Rabe E, Pannier F. Distribution and prevalence of reflux in the superficial and deep venous system in the general population: results from the Bonn Vein Study, Germany. Journal of vascular surgery. 2008 ;48(3):680-7.
14- Fowkes FG, Housley E, Cawood EH, Macintyre CC, Ruckley CV, Prescott RJ. Edinburgh Artery Study: prevalence of asymptomatic and symptomatic peripheral arterial disease in the general population. Int J Epidemiol. 1991;20(2):384–92.
15- Peach G, Griffin M, Jones KG, Thompson MM, Hinchliffe RJ. Diagnosis and management of peripheral arterial disease. BMJ. 2012; 14(345):e5208.
16- Bradshaw HD, Hiller L, Farkas AG, Radley S, Radley SC. Development and psychometric testing of a symptom index for pelvic organ prolapse. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2006 ;26(3):241-52.
17- Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL, Alonso J, Stratford PW, Knol DL, *et* al. The COSMIN study reached international consensus on taxonomy, terminology, and definitions of measurement properties for health-related patient-reported outcomes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2010 ;63(7):737-45.

18- Brazier J, Deverill M. A checklist for judging preference-based measures of health-related quality of life: Learning from psychometrics. Health Economics. 1999 ;8(1):41-51
19- Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Guidance for Industry: Patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims, 2009 (Accessed on 20 June 2019). available at: https://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidances/ucm193282.pdf
20- Aber A, Phillips P, Lumley E, Radley S, Thomas SM, Nawaz S, *et* al. The development of the conceptual framework for the electronic patient-reported outcome measure for vascular patients. BMJ OPEN. (Submitted September 2019)
21- Aber A, Lumley E, Phillips P, Woods HB, Jones G, Michaels J. Themes that determine quality of life in patients with peripheral arterial disease: a systematic review. Patient. 2018 ;11(5):489-502
22- Phillips P, Lumley E, Duncan R, Aber A, Woods HB, Jones GL, *et* al. A systematic review of qualitative research into people's experiences of living with venous leg ulcers. J Adv Nurs. 2018 ;74(3):550-563.
23- Aber A, Howard A, Woods HB, Jones G, Michaels J. Impact of carotid artery stenosis on quality of life: a systematic review. Patient. 2019 ;12(2):213-222
24- Lumley E, Phillips P, Aber A, Woods HB, Jones G, Michaels J. Experiences of living with varicose veins: a systematic review of qualitative research. J Clin Nurs. 2018 Nov. J Clin Nurs. 2019 Apr;28(7-8):1085-1099
25- Duncan R, Lumley E, Tod A, Hughes J, Palfreyman S, Nawaz S, *et* al. The experiences of people living with a vascular condition: a qualitative study. HEDS Discussion Papers, University of Sheffield. 2018 Jul. https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/scharr/sections/heds/discussion-papers/18_07-1.794000 (Accessed 20 June 2019).
26- McDowell I, Newell C. Measuring health: a guide to rating scales and questionnaires. New York: Oxford University Press; 1996.
27- Kline P. A psychometrics primer. London: Free Association; 2000.
28- Muthén B. A general structural equation model with dichotomous, ordered categorical, and continuous latent variable indicators. Psychometrika. 1984;49(1):115-32.
29- Schreiber JB, Nora A, Stage FK, Barlow EA, King J. Reporting structural equation modelling and confirmatory factor analysis results: A Review. The Journal of Educational Research. 2006;99(6):323-38
30- Muthén L, Muthén B. Mplus. The comprehensive modelling program for applied researchers: user's guide. 2019;5.
31- Lamping DL, Schroter S, Marquis P, Marrel A, Duprat-Lomon I, Sagnier PP. The community-acquired pneumonia symptom questionnaire: a new, patient-based outcome measure to evaluate symptoms in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. Chest. 2002;122(3):920-9.
32- Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. Health Measurement Scales: A practical guide to their development and use2015 2015-01.
33- Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Second ed: Erlbaum; 1988.
34- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Guide to the Methods of Technology Appraisal 2013*. NICE, 2013.
35- Jones GL, Radley SC, Lumb J, Jha S. Electronic pelvic floor symptoms assessment: tests of data quality of ePAQ-PF. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2008 ;19(10):1337-47

Supplementary material

Appendix 1

Table S1- Structure of ePAQ-Vascular before factor analysis and item reduction

Section	Scale (Domain)	Question	Answer Options					
Generic	Pain	**Do you suffer with any pain?**	Yes			No		
Generic	Pain	**Use the below Image and click on body parts where you experience pain or discomfort.**	Picture item					
Generic	Pain	**Please use your own words to describe this problem.**	Free text					
Generic	Pain	**How often do you experience a significant amount of pain?**	Never		Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time	
Generic	Pain	**How much do problems caused by pain affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	Not at all		A little	Moderately	A lot	
Generic	Sensation	**Do you experience any numbness or pins and needles in any part of your body?**	Yes			No		
Generic	Sensation	**Please use the image below to select where you experience sensation change in your body.**	Picture item					
Generic	Sensation	**Please use your own words to describe this problem.**	Free text					
Generic	Sensation	**How often do you experience numbness or pins and needles?**	Never		Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time	
Generic	Sensation	**How much do problems caused by numbness or pins & needles affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	Not at all		A little	Moderately	A lot	
Generic	Weakness	**Do you have any loss of strength or weakness in any part of your body?**	Yes			No		
Generic	Weakness	**Please use the image below to indicate the areas where you experience any physical weakness.**	Picture item					
Generic	Weakness	**Please use your own words to describe this problem.**	Free text					
Generic	Weakness	**How often do you experience loss of strength or weakness?**	Never		Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time	
Generic	Weakness	**How much do problems caused by weakness affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	Not at all		A little	Moderately	A lot	
Generic	Body mass index	**Please tell us, to the best of your knowledge, your height and weight.**	Height			Weight		
Generic	Smoking	**Which of the following would best describe your smoking habit?**	Never Smoked	Quit more than 5 years ago	Quit more than 1 year ago	Quit more than 3 months ago	Quit less than 3 months ago	Current Smoker
Generic	Smoking	**In total, for how many years of your life have you smoked?**	Number (Years)					
Generic	Smoking	**Over the period that you have been a smoker, on average how many cigarettes a day have you smoked?**	Number (Cigarettes / day) Free text					

Generic	Smoking	**Do you regularly use any of the following? (Tick any of these that you have used during the last 3 months)**	e-cigarettes	Nicotine containing gum	Nicotine patches	Cigar smoking	Pipe smoking	
Generic	Diabetes	**Do you have diabetes?**	Yes			No		
Generic	Diabetes	**How long have you had diabetes?**	Less than 1 year	1-2 years	3-5 years	5-10 years	More than 10 years	
Generic	Diabetes	**What treatment do you have for diabetes? (choose as many options that apply to you)**	Diet		Tablets		Insulin	
Generic	Regular medications	**Do you use any of the following medications? (choose as many options that you know you are taking regularly)**	High blood pressure tablets	Aspirin	Water tablets	Blood thinning tablets (warfarin, clopidogrel)	Cholesterol lowering tablets (Statin)	
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Do you have problems with your sexual life because of your vascular condition?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time		
Carotid	Screening question	**Have you been diagnosed as having narrowing or blockage of carotid artery (blood vessels in your neck that supply the brain)?**	Yes	Possibly- I am being tested for this	No			
Carotid	Screening question	**When did you first experience symptoms associated with carotid artery disease? If you have never experienced any symptoms, when were you first diagnosed with this disease?**	Within the past 2 days	Within the past 2 weeks	Within the past 3 months	Within the past year	Over a year ago	
Carotid	Screening question	**Have you ever had a transient ischaemic attack? (TIA or mini-stroke with symptoms lasting less than 24 hours)**	Yes		No			
Carotid	Stand-alone item	**How many possible transient ischaemic attacks have you experienced?**	1	2 or 3	More than 3			
Carotid	Stand-alone item	**When was your most recent TIA? (Please estimate if you are not sure of the exact date)**	Date					
Carotid	Screening question	**Have you ever had a stroke?**	Yes		No			
Carotid	Stand-alone item	**How many possible strokes have you had in your life?**	1	2 or 3	More than 3			
Carotid	Stand-alone item	**When was your most recent stroke? (Please estimate if you are not sure of the exact date)**	Date					
Carotid	Anxiety	**Do you worry about having a stroke?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot		
Carotid	Anxiety	**Does carotid artery disease make you feel anxious?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot		
Carotid	Anxiety	**Are you worried about your health getting worse because of carotid artery disease?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot		
Carotid	Anxiety	**Are you worried about losing your independence because of carotid artery disease?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot		
Carotid	Symptom	**Do you have any problems with maintaining your balance?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot		
Carotid	Symptom	**Do you suffer with any problems with your memory? (E.g. Forgetting or losing things)**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot		

Carotid	Symptom	**Have you had any problems with your speech? (E.g. Slurring your words or not being able to speak or say things properly)**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Carotid	Symptom	**Do you have any problems with swallowing food?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Carotid	Symptom	**Have you had any problems with partial or complete loss of vision in either of your eyes?**	None	Left eye	Right eye	Both
Carotid	Symptom	**How would you describe any loss of vision in your LEFT eye?**	Temporary partial loss	Ongoing partial loss	Temporary complete loss	Ongoing complete loss
Carotid	Symptom	**How would you describe any loss of vision in your RIGHT eye?**	Temporary partial loss	Ongoing partial loss	Temporary complete loss	Ongoing complete loss
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (anxiety associated with diagnosis or physical symptoms) affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your physical activities such as exercise walking or running?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your ability to undertake personal roles and responsibilities such as caring for others study or work?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your ability to look after yourself?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your social activities such as visiting friends and family?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease visual or other related issues) affect your mood?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
AAA	Screening question	**Have you ever been diagnosed with or had any treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysm?**	Yes	Possibly- I am being tested for this	No	
AAA	Screening question	**When did you first become aware that you have an aortic aneurysm?**	Within the past 2 weeks	Within the past month	Within the past 6 months	Within the past 1-5 years	Over 5 years ago
AAA	Stand-alone item	**Do you know of any family members or friends who have or have had an aortic aneurysm?**	Yes	No		
AAA	Stand-alone item	**Do you have any abdominal (tummy) pain?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
AAA	Stand-alone item	**Do you experience a throbbing feeling in your abdomen (tummy)?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you worry about aortic aneurysm?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you worry about any symptoms you experience that may be caused by aortic aneurysm?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you worry about possible increase in the size of your aneurysm?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you fear sudden death or rupture of your aortic aneurysm?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you avoid physical exertion because of having an aortic aneurysm?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you avoid travelling independently because of aortic aneurysm?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time

AAA	ADL	**How much do problems caused by aortic aneurysm affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your physical activities? (E.g. Exercise walking or going out)**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your ability to undertake personal roles and responsibilities? (E.g. Caring for others study or work?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
AAA	ADL	**How much do you feel aortic aneurysm affects your ability to look after yourself? (E.g. Rest wash toilet or feed yourself)**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your social activities? (E.g. Visiting friends or family)**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
AAA	ADL	**Do you suffer from low mood because of having an aortic aneurysm?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	Screening question	**Have you ever been diagnosed or suspected of having any vascular problems affecting your legs or feet, such as poor blood supply, varicose veins or ulcers?**	Yes	Possibly- I am being tested for this	No	
Lower limbs	Stand-alone item	**When did you first become aware that you might have any vascular problems affecting your legs or feet?**	Within the past month	Within the past 3 months	Within the past year	Within the past 1-5 years	Over 5 years ago
Lower limbs	Stand-alone item	**Have you undergone any treatments (including any hospital procedures) to improve the blood supply in your legs?**	Yes	No		
Lower limbs	Stand-alone item	**What treatments have you had to improve the blood supply in your legs? (Select as many answers as you want)**	None	Varicose vein treatment	Bypass graft for a blockage	Stent put in to open a vessel	Balloon stretch of a vessel	Other
Lower limbs	Screening question	**Do you experience any cramping pain in your legs or feet?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience cramping pain in your legs or feet when walking?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**How far can you walk before you experience any cramping pain in your legs or feet?**	I don't experience pain on walking	More than 200 yards	50-200 yards	Less than 50 yards
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you walk more slowly than you would to avoid cramping pain in your legs and feet?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience cramping pain in your legs or feet when walking uphill?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience pain in your legs or feet when you climb stairs?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience pain in your feet at night?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you dangle one or both of your legs over the side of the bed to help reduce foot pain?**	Never	Occasional days or nights	Most days and nights	Every day or night
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience severe pain in your legs or feet when you are resting or sitting?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Are you troubled by cold feet?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Screening question	**Have you ever had any ulcers on your legs or feet now or at any time in the past?**	No	Yes		
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**When was the first time you had any leg ulcers?**	Within the last 3 months	3 months to a year	1-2 years	3-5 years	5 or more years

Lower limbs	Picture item	**Please use the image below to show where you currently have any leg or foot ulcers.**	Picture item			
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Are you concerned about the smell of your leg ulcers?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Are you concerned about the appearance of your leg ulcers?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Do you have leg ulcers that leak fluid (watery liquid)?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Do you experience infections in your leg ulcers? (E.g. foul smell or pus)**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Do you experience repeated leg ulcers?**	No	Yes, twice	Yes, 3 or 4 times	Yes, 5 or more
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Do you worry about your leg ulcers? (E.g. Not healing becoming infected losing part of your leg or foot).**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	Screening question	**Do you have any varicose veins in either of your legs or feet?**	No	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Lower limbs	Picture item	**Please use the image below to show where you presently have any varicose veins in your legs or feet.**	Picture item			
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you experience any bleeding from veins in your legs or feet?**	Never	Occasionally	Most days	Every day
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you have any problems with the skin over your varicose veins?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do varicose veins make you feel self-conscious or embarrassed?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do leg or foot problems affect what clothing or shoes you can wear?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you experience any swelling in your legs or feet?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you experience itching in your legs or feet?**	Never	Occasionally	Most of the time	All the time
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you wear compression stockings or tights for your legs?**	Never	Occasionally	Most days	Everyday
Lower limbs	Stand-alone item	**Have you lost any part of your legs or feet through amputation or gangrene?**	Yes		No	
Lower limbs	Stand-alone item	**What was the cause of this?**	Free text answer			
Lower limbs	Stand-alone item	**Please click on the appropriate part or parts of your legs feet or toes that you have had amputated or have been lost â€¦**	Picture item			
Lower limbs	ADL	**Do you worry about your leg problems getting worse in the future?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your ability to carry out physical activities? (E.g. Walking housework or exercise)**	Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot

Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your personal responsibilities? E.g. caring for others study or work**	Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot		
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your ability to look after yourself? (E.g. Rest wash toilet or feed yourself)**	Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot		
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your social activities? (E.g. Going out visiting friends or family)**	Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot		
Lower limbs	ADL	**Do you suffer from low mood because of leg or foot problems?**	Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot		
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Do you rely on any people to help you with your everyday activities?**	No, I don't need any help	My spouse or partner	Friends or family members		Carer	Healthcare worker	Other person		
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Do you feel that you have all the help and support that you need?**	Not at all		Somewhat		Mostly		Entirely		
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Have you received any help in completing this questionnaire today? If so, who has helped you?**	No, I don't need any help	My spouse or partner	Friends or family members		Carer	Healthcare worker	Other person		
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Have you completed this questionnaire previously?**	Yes				No				
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Compared with your last questionnaire, how would you rate your overall health?**	Very much worse	A little worse	About the same		A little better		Very much better		
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Do you experience financial problems because of your vascular condition?**	Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot		
Generic	Stand-alone item	**How likely are you to recommend the ward or department to friends and family if they needed similar care or treatment?**	Extremely likely	Likely	Neither likely or unlikely		Unlikely		Extremely unlikely		
Generic	Stand-alone item	**Are you willing to allow confidential and anonymous use of your questionnaire data in approved and regulated research, audit and service evaluation projects?**	Yes				No				
Abbreviations: Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA), Activity of daily living (ADL), Varicose veins (VV), Venous leg ulcer (VLU), Peripheral arterial disease (PAD), Carotid artery stenosis (CAS).											

Appendix 2

Table 1 Proposed hypotheses with postulated direction of correlation to examine ePAQ-VAS external construct validity

Section	Topic	Direction of scale score
CAD	Patient presented with stroke compared with patients with no stroke	Scale scores will be higher in patients with stroke
CAD	Patient presented with multiple TIAs compared to those with single TIA	Scale scores will be higher in patients with multiple TIAs
AAA	Size of the Aneurysm	Scale scores will be higher for patients with larger AAA
AAA	Surveillance versus pre-operative patient	Scale scores will be higher for pre-operative patients

PAD	Patients with rest pain compared to those without rest pain	Scale scores will be higher in patients with rest pain
PAD	Patients with ulcer with compared to those without ulcer	Scale scores will be higher in patients with PAD and ulcer
VLU	Ulcer recurrence	Scale scores will be higher in patients with ulcer recurrence
VV	Varicose vein in both legs versus in one leg	Scale scores will be higher in patients with VV in both legs
VV	VLU presence versus no VLU	Scale scores will be higher in patients with VLU

Appendix 3

Table 1

Table 1 Confirmatory factor analyses of the ePAQ-Vascular Scales

Scale	CFA initial model		CFA final model		Items deleted
	RMSEA	CFI	RMSEA	CFI	
CAD related Anxiety	0.156	0.847	0.08	0.980	None
Impact of CAD on ADL					"Impact of CAD on enjoyment of life" & "Low mood caused by CAD" items were deleted. The former had high MI & RC with items in the same domain. The latter had low factor loading.
AAA related Anxiety	0.141	0.957	0.043	0.990	None

Scale	CFA initial model		CFA final model		Items deleted
	RMSEA	CFI	RMSEA	CFI	
Impact of AAA on ADL					"Impact of AAA on enjoyment of life" had high MI & RC with items in the same domain.
PAD symptoms	0.127	0.818	0.077	0.982	"Cold feet" item was deleted because of low factor loading.
VLU symptoms	0.266	0.178	0.080	0.984	"Worry about leg ulcers" had low factor loading.
VV symptoms	0.187	0.801	0.078	0.967	None

Table 2

Table S2A- Short name of items in AAA and CAS ADL sections

Section	Section (Domain)	Question	Short name
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (anxiety associated with diagnosis or physical symptoms) affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	CENJLIF
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your physical activities such as exercise walking or running?**	CPhyAct
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your ability to undertake personal roles and responsibilities such as caring for others study or work?**	CPreRes
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your ability to look after yourself?**	CLokAft

Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your social activities such as visiting friends and family?**	CSocAct
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease visual or other related issues) affect your mood?**	CMood
AAA	ADL	**How much do problems caused by aortic aneurysm affect your overall enjoyment of life?**	AENJLIF
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your physical activities? (E.g. Exercise walking or going out)**	APhyAct
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your ability to undertake personal roles and responsibilities? (E.g. Caring for others study or work?**	APreRes
AAA	ADL	**How much do you feel aortic aneurysm affects your ability to look after yourself? (E.g. Rest wash toilet or feed yourself)**	ALokAft
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your social activities? (E.g. Visiting friends or family)**	ASocAct
AAA	ADL	**Do you suffer from low mood because of having an aortic aneurysm?**	AMood

Table 2B- Residual Correlations item deleted in AAA ADL section

Item short name	Residual correlation with *AENJLIF*
APhyAct	0.716
APreRes	0.844
ALokAft	0.365
ASocAct	0.791
AMood	0.695

Table S2C- Residual Correlations items deleted in CAS ADL section

Item short name	Residual correlation with *CENJLIF*
CPhyAct	0.538
CPreRes	0.644
CLokAft	0.706
CSocAct	0.631
CMood	0.450

Table 4 - Standardised factor loading of the items in the different scales.

Section	Scale (Domain)	Question	Standardised factor loading	S. E.	P Value
Carotid	Anxiety	**Do you worry about having a stroke?**	**0.65**	**0.10**	**0.00**
Carotid	Anxiety	**Does carotid artery disease make you feel anxious?**	**0.83**	**0.15**	**0.00**
Carotid	Anxiety	**Are you worried about your health getting worse because of carotid artery disease?**	**0.79**	**0.11**	**0.00**
Carotid	Anxiety	**Are you worried about losing your independence because of carotid artery disease?**	**0.73**	**0.11**	**0.00**
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your physical activities such as exercise walking or running?**	**0.79**	**0.09**	**0.00**
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your ability to undertake personal roles and responsibilities such as caring for others study or work?**	**0.99**	**0.04**	**0.00**
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your ability to look after yourself?**	**0.95**	**0.05**	**0.00**
Carotid	ADL	**How much do problems caused by carotid artery disease (e.g. mini-stroke or stroke memory balance speech visual or other related issues) affect your social activities such as visiting friends and family?**	**0.89**	**0.05**	**0.00**
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you worry about aortic aneurysm?**	**0.95**	**0.03**	**0.00**
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you worry about any symptoms you experience that may be caused by aortic aneurysm?**	**0.78**	**0.06**	**0.00**
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you worry about possible increase in the size of your aneurysm?**	**0.82**	**0.05**	**0.00**
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you fear sudden death or rupture of your aortic aneurysm?**	**0.98**	**0.03**	**0.00**

AAA	Anxiety	**Do you avoid physical exertion because of having an aortic aneurysm?**	**0.83**	**0.05**	**0.00**
AAA	Anxiety	**Do you avoid travelling independently because of aortic aneurysm?**	**0.88**	**0.05**	**0.00**
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your physical activities? (E.g. Exercise walking or going out)**	**0.81**	**0.09**	**0.00**
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your ability to undertake personal roles and responsibilities? (E.g. Caring for others study or work?**	**0.87**	**0.06**	**0.00**
AAA	ADL	**How much do you feel aortic aneurysm affects your ability to look after yourself? (E.g. Rest wash toilet or feed yourself)**	**0.40**	**0.22**	**0.00**
AAA	ADL	**How much does aortic aneurysm affect your social activities? (E.g. Visiting friends or family)**	**0.85**	**0.06**	**0.00**
AAA	ADL	**Do you suffer from low mood because of having an aortic aneurysm?**	**0.79**	**0.07**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience cramping pain in your legs or feet when walking?**	**0.88**	**0.02**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**How far can you walk before you experience any cramping pain in your legs or feet?**	**0.88**	**0.03**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you walk more slowly than you would to avoid cramping pain in your legs and feet?**	**0.96**	**0.01**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience cramping pain in your legs or feet when walking uphill?**	**0.89**	**0.02**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience pain in your legs or feet when you climb stairs?**	**0.81**	**0.04**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience pain in your feet at night?**	**0.69**	**0.07**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you dangle one or both of your legs over the side of the bed to help reduce foot pain?**	**0.70**	**0.07**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ischaemic Pain	**Do you experience severe pain in your legs or feet when you are resting or sitting?**	**0.50**	**0.06**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Are you concerned about the smell of your leg ulcers?**	**0.7**	**0.07**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Are you concerned about the appearance of your leg ulcers?**	**0.89**	**0.08**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Do you have leg ulcers that leak fluid (watery liquid)?**	**0.94**	**0.06**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Do you experience infections in your leg ulcers? (E.g. foul smell or pus)**	**0.53**	**0.08**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	Ulcer	**Do you experience repeated leg ulcers?**	**0.63**	**0.09**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you experience any bleeding from veins in your legs or feet?**	**0.68**	**0.08**	**0.00**

Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you have any problems with the skin over your varicose veins?**	**0.72**	**0.22**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do varicose veins make you feel self-conscious or embarrassed?**	**0.88**	**0.17**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do leg or foot problems affect what clothing or shoes you can wear?**	**0.84**	**0.08**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you experience any swelling in your legs or feet?**	**0.77**	**0.11**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you experience itching in your legs or feet?**	**0.55**	**0.14**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	VVs	**Do you wear compression stockings or tights for your legs?**	**0.52**	**0.13**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	ADL	**Do you worry about your leg problems getting worse in the future?**	**0.40**	**0.08**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your ability to carry out physical activities? (E.g. Walking housework or exercise)**	**0.61**	**0.07**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your personal responsibilities? E.g. caring for others study or work**	**0.94**	**0.02**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your ability to look after yourself? (E.g. Rest wash toilet or feed yourself)**	**0.85**	**0.02**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	ADL	**How much do leg or foot problems affect your social activities? (E.g. Going out visiting friends or family)**	**0.85**	**0.02**	**0.00**
Lower limbs	ADL	**Do you suffer from low mood because of leg or foot problems?**	**0.68**	**0.05**	**0.00**

Table.5 Internal consistency of the ePAQ-Vascular Scales

Scale	Cronbach's alpha coefficient	Test- retest Intra- class correlation (ICC)
CAD related Anxiety	0.90	-
Impact of CAD on ADL	0.91	-
AAA related Anxiety	0.89	-

Scale	Cronbach's alpha coefficient	Test- retest Intra- class correlation (ICC)
Impact of AAA on ADL	0.83	-
PAD symptoms	0.91	0.98
VLU symptoms	0.80	0.99
VVs symptoms	0.80	0.65
Lower limb related ADL	0.79	0.98

Table 1: Number of respondents and mean score for each scale of ePAQ-VAS

Scale	Number of respondents	Mean score (out of 100)	Standard deviation
AAA related anxiety	121	23.74	21.84
AAA impact on ADL	121	17.41	20.82
CAD related anxiety	50	44.17	29.61
CAD impact on ADL	50	32.40	30.29
PAD Symptoms	308	47.08	26.86
PAD impact on ADL	308	50.28	30.88
VLU symptoms	122	34.97	24.37
VLU impact on ADL	122	55.46	30.91
VVs symptoms	248	36.86	18.91
VV impact on ADL	248	28.52	26.69
PAD impact on ADL, VLU impact on ADL and VVs impact on ADL was measured by the lower limb vascular disease impact on ADL scale in ePAQ-VAS			

Table 2 Known group differences Pearson Correlation Coefficient Index for condition specific scales in ePAQ-VAS

Hypothesis	Scale	Scale
	CAD Anxiety	CAD ADL
Patient presented with stroke	-.089	-0.089
Patient presented with multiple TIAs	-0.105	0.094
	AAA Anxiety	AAA ADL
Size of the Aneurysm	*0.234*	0.159
Surveillance versus pre-operative patient	*0.158*	*0.116*
	PAD symptoms	ADL
Rest pain	*0.668*	*0.479*
Ulcer with PAD symptoms	0.101	*0.153*
	VLU symptoms	ADL
Ulcer recurrence	*0.541*	0.133
	VV symptoms	ADL
Varicose vein in multiple legs	*0.500*	0.068
VLU presence	0.45	*-0.215*

Table 3: Effect size for ePAQ-VAS measuring responsiveness

	Number of patients	Standardised effect size	Standardised response mean
PAD Symptoms	37	0.69	0.74
Impact of PAD on ADL	37	0.85	0.69
VV symptoms	55	1.48	1.60
Impact of VV on ADL	55	0.82	0.78