Isotope tracer assessment of exogenous glucose oxidation during aerobic exercise in hypoxia

Our laboratories have consistently shown that unacclimatized lowlanders demonstrate a blunted ability to oxidize exogenous glucose during relative (O’Hara et al., 2017, 2019) or absolute (Margolis et al., 2019; Young et al., 2018) intensity-matched aerobic exercise within hours of hypoxia exposure. Our data challenge common recommendations to increase the carbohydrate intake during exercise at high altitude (>2,500 m) to fuel exercise metabolism and augment endurance capability (Koehle, Cheng, & Sporer, 2014). As such, we read with interest the recent report by Sumi et al. (Sumi, Hayashi, Yatsutani, & Goto, 2020), as their findings, on the surface, appear to confirm our previous results (Margolis et al., 2019; O’Hara et al., 2017, 2019; Young et al., 2018). Their randomized crossover study aimed to investigate the effects of acute hypoxia on exogenous glucose oxidation in nine unacclimatized lowlanders performing 30-min of absolute or relative intensity-matched aerobic exercise. The investigators’ interpretation of their primary finding was that exogenous glucose oxidation was lower under hypoxic compared to normoxic conditions when exercise was matched for absolute rather than relative intensity.

While these data appear confirmatory, there are methodological limitations in the work by Sumi et al. (2020) that should be acknowledged. Most noteworthy, the only glucose provided by Sumi et al. (2020) was the 0.5 g of oral 13C-glucose isotope tracer before exercise. Surprisingly, no additional glucose (i.e., tracee) was provided. As such, the tracer/tracee (13CO2/12CO2) ratios measured by Sumi et al. (2020) reflect the oxidation of a quantitatively trivial amount of exogenous glucose. The article referenced by Sumi et al. (2020) justifying their approach for measuring 13C-excretion after only ingesting the tracer noted that the fasting 13C-glucose breath test was a proposed clinical screening tool designed to reflect the efficiency of hepatic energy utilization (Tanaka et al., 2013). Furthermore, Sumi et al. (2020) do not appear to calculate exogenous glucose oxidation (Peronnet, Rheume, Lavoie, Hillaire-Marcel, & Massicotte, 1998). To calculate exogenous glucose oxidation during exercise, the equations of (Mosora et al., 1981) or Peronnet, Massicotte, Brisson, & Hillaire-Marcel (1990) should be used depending upon the study design:

$$\text{Exogenous Carbohydrate Oxidation (g·1−min)} = \frac{\dot{V}CO_2 \left( (R_{\text{exp}} - R_{\text{ref}}) / (R_{\text{exo}} - R_{\text{ref}}) \right)}{k},$$

where \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) is in liters per min, \( R_{\text{exp}} \) is the isotopic composition of expired CO2 after isotope consumption, \( R_{\text{ref}} \) is the isotopic composition of expired CO2 at rest prior to exercise and isotope ingestion (Mosora et al., 1981) or during exercise with the ingestion of a placebo (Peronnet et al., 1990), \( R_{\text{exo}} \) is the isotopic composition of the exogenous glucose ingested, and \( k \) is a constant for the volume of CO2 provided by the complete oxidation of glucose (Peronnet et al., 1998). In one of our previous studies, we calculated exogenous glucose oxidation after giving 0.2 g oral 13C-glucose diluted in water as a placebo versus 0.2 g oral 13C-glucose diluted with 80 g glucose to assess changes in total and exogenous glucose oxidation during acute and chronic hypoxia exposure (Young et al., 2018). The negligible amount of glucose provided in tracer form (i.e., our experimental placebo) expectedly yielded 0 g of oxidized exogenous glucose (Young et al., 2018). As such, the amount of exogenous glucose oxidized by Sumi et al. (2020) should be essentially 0 g. If the authors had used a plasma precursor method they could have distinguished plasma glucose oxidation from total carbohydrate oxidation, with the balance between the two representing glycogen oxidation, but as stated in the manuscript, plasma 13C-glucose enrichments were not measured.

Even if the isotope methodology was carried out correctly, other limitations remain that bring their results into question. When oral 13C-glucose is used to study exogenous glucose oxidation, exercise is typically performed for 80 to 120-min, and at least the first 40-min of exercise are not used in the calculation. The exclusion of the initial portion of the exercise is to allow the time for the 13C/12C in expired CO2 to equilibrate with the 13C/12C produced in tissues. Taking the delay between 13CO2 production in tissues and at the mouth into account is necessary to ensure the accurate assessment of exogenous glucose oxidation (Peronnet et al., 1998). The 30-min exercise bout used by Sumi et al. (2020) was likely
too short for this equilibration to occur. Such computations have been demonstrated to underestimate exogenous carbohydrate oxidation.

In conclusion, while it appeared that results from Sumi et al. (2020) confirmed previously published findings from our laboratories (Margolis et al., 2019; O’Hara et al., 2017, 2019; Young et al., 2018), careful examination of their methodological approach revealed several limitations that preclude drawing any conclusions regarding the effects of acute hypoxia exposure on exogenous glucose oxidation during aerobic exercise. However, it is clear that exogenous glucose oxidation is lower in unacclimatized lowlanders performing aerobic exercise matched for relative (O’Hara et al., 2017, 2019) or absolute (Margolis et al., 2019; Young et al., 2018) intensities under acute hypoxic conditions compared to normoxia. We are certainly encouraged to see other laboratories reassessing metabolic fueling strategies for exercise at high altitude, as the complex mechanisms contributing to these differences are likely multifactorial, resulting from lower exogenous glucose absorption/release from the gut and impaired peripheral insulin sensitivity and resultant glucose uptake (Margolis et al., 2019). We hope our letter, which serves to highlight the complex intricacies associated with isotopic assessments of human metabolism, provides the fundamental methodological basis for studies to employ when assessing dietary strategies to enhance performance at high altitude.
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