

Citation:

den Hollander, S and Lambert, M and Jones, B and Hendricks, S (2021) Tackle technique knowledge alone does not translate to proper tackle technique execution in training. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med, 7 (1). ISSN 2055-7647 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2020-001011

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/7634/

Document Version: Article (Published Version)

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Tackle technique knowledge alone does not translate to proper tackle technique execution in training

Steve den Hollander (10, 1,2,3) Mike Lambert, 1,3 Ben Jones, 1,4,5,6,7 Sharief Hendricks (10,13,4)

To cite: den Hollander S. Lambert M. Jones B. et al. Tackle technique knowledge alone does not translate to proper tackle technique execution in training. BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine 2021:7:e001011. doi:10.1136/ bmjsem-2020-001011

Additional material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjsem-2020-001011).

Accepted 22 February 2021

ABSTRACT

Objectives Effective tackle technique is associated with reduced injury risks and improved performance in contact. Injury prevention programmes aim to provide players with knowledge of effective technique. However, little is known of the impact of this knowledge on a player's technique in the tackle. This study aimed to determine the association between knowledge of proper tackle technique and tackle technique proficiency in training.

Methods Fifty-three rugby union players participated in a tackle contact drill and, thereafter, completed a questionnaire. The drill was filmed, and the players' tackle and ball-carry technique were assessed using standardised technical proficiency. In the questionnaire, the players were asked to rate the importance of each tackle and ball-carry technique on a 5-point Likert scale, for both injury prevention and performance tackle outcomes. Linear regression was performed to assess the relationship between the knowledge of the importance of proper tackle technique and tackle technique proficiency during the drill. **Results** No association was found between players' knowledge of the importance of proper technique and tackle contact technique in training for both injury prevention and performance.

Conclusion The lack of association between players' knowledge and actual tackle contact technique reveals the gap between the knowledge of safe and effective techniques and the knowledge of how to execute the said techniques.

INTRODUCTION

In rugby union, the tackle is the most frequently occurring contact event and carries the greatest risk of causing injury. 1-3 For example, in youth rugby union, a tacklerelated injury occurs every 37 playing hours.⁴ One of the major risk factors for sports injuries is technique.⁵ For the tackle specifically, technique has been shown to reduce the risk of injury for both the ball carrier and the tackler. 46 Proper technique has similarly been shown to increase the likelihood of success in the tackle. ⁷⁸ As such, national injury prevention programmes aim to provide coaches, trainers and players with knowledge of proper tackle technique.9 For injury prevention

Key messages

- ► High awareness of importance of tackle and ballcarry technique to reduce tackle-related injuries in academy rugby players.
- ► High awareness of importance of tackle and ballcarry technique to win the tackle contest in academy rugby players.
- The players' awareness of safe and effective technique did not relate to their technique proficiency in a contact training drill.

strategies like these to work, the performance benefits of proper technique in the tackle are also advocated. 10 For example, 'safe technique is also effective technique'. 11 12

Knowledge of technical skill can be described as either declarative or procedural.¹³ Declarative knowledge refers to knowledge that is factual.¹³ It emphasises what to do to perform a skill.¹⁴ Procedural knowledge refers to the knowledge of how to perform a skill. 13 14 Acquiring declarative knowledge is often described as the first stage of learning.¹³ This knowledge can then be developed into procedural knowledge. 13 For example, when learning to drive a car, the first step is to learn the actions for operating a car (declarative knowledge). Through practising these actions over multiple occasions, the processes (using the clutch, checking mirrors and so on) are internalised until they become habitual and can be performed without thinking about the instructions (procedural knowledge). 15

The knowledge provided through the injury prevention programmes to rugby union stakeholders (coaches, trainers and players) can be described as declarative. Rugby union stakeholders are provided with technical instructions on how to tackle in a safe and effective manner. 11 Training is the environment where athletes prepare for the demands of competition; therefore, these technical instructions are focused on

Check for updates

@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Sharief Hendricks: sharief.hendricks01@gmail.com



METHODS

Participants

Fifty-three South African academy-level male rugby union players participated in this study (25 forwards and 28 backline players). All the players were free from injury and had played rugby union for at least one calendar year. All procedures were approved by the designated university's human research ethics committee (HREC778/2017), and informed consent was obtained from the players prior to them taking part in the study.

Study design

A cross-sectional design was used to compare rugby union players' knowledge of the importance of technique for injury prevention and performance in the tackle and their tackle technique proficiency. The players' proficiency in tackle and ball-carry technique were recorded in a two-on-two contact drill and assessed retrospectively using standardised tackle and ball-carry technical criteria. ^{4 6 19} The tackle drill was designed to have a high degree of ecological validity and representativeness. That is, it should provide athletes with relevant affordances and perception-couplings, facilitate the integration of motor processes (ie, techniques) with perceptual-cognitive components (decision-making and tactical awareness), and have action fidelity (the skills and actions performed in training should be able to easily transfer to competition). 20 21 To meet these criteria, the drill included a phase of play before the tackle. It was performed in the corner of a rugby field at the point where the touch-line and the try-line meets, with the ball carrier aiming to score the try and the tackler aiming to prevent the try from being scored. Furthermore, studies using this tackle drill have shown encouraging construct validity by differentiating between levels of play²²⁻²⁴ and have been associated with match performance outcomes.^{25–27}

Players' knowledge on the tackle were measured through a tackle questionnaire. The questionnaire required the players to rate the importance of each tackle and ball-carry technical criterion (on the standardised technical criteria lists) for performance and injury prevention using a 5-point Likert scale.

Technique assessment

The two-on-two contact training drill was used to assess the tackle proficiency of the players. Before testing, the players were warmed up and familiarised with the drill. The drill has been described in detail elsewhere.²⁴ In brief, the drill started with a simulated ruck, where the ball was passed, via the first attacker, to the ball carrier. The ball carrier then advanced the ball forward in the direction of the tackler. The ball carrier was instructed to 'score the try' and the tackler to prevent the ball carrier from scoring the try using safe and effective tackle technique. The first defender and the first attacker were instructed not to assist in the tackle, but, after the completion of the tackle, to form a ruck. The drill was performed in the corner of a rugby union field. The drill was filmed, and the players' proficiency in tackle and ball-carry technique proficiency were assessed retrospectively using standardised tackle and ball-carry technical criteria. 4 6 19 The footage of the ruck was not analysed in this study. The first author (SdH) recorded and analysed all the tackle events. The players were awarded 1 point for each criterion they performed and 0 point if they failed to perform the criterion. The number of criteria performed were totalled to provide a score (arbitrary units) for each tackle and ball-carry. 24 28 Each player performed four tackles and four ball-carries, with the players rotating between roles in the drill. The total scores were averaged to calculate the tackle proficiency and ball-carry proficiency score for each player.

Questionnaire

After completing the contact drill, the players were sent an electronic questionnaire on their knowledge on the tackle situation. The questionnaire, modelled on previous research, 16-18 21 29 consisted of 10 questions related to training and 5 questions related to matches. Questions related to importance and behaviours consisted of a question and a 5-point ordinal Likert scale. Importance of an item was rated on the following scale: (1) not important at all, (2) not too important, (3) undecided, (4) somewhat important, (5) very important. 30 The quantity of behaviours was rated on a scale of (1) not at all, (2) a little, (3) a fair amount, (4) much, (5) very much. 30 If a player was not familiar with any of the techniques listed in questions 6 and 7, they had the option of answering *not familiar* (NF). The questionnaire was developed on Google Forms (online supplemental appendix 1). Only the responses to questions 6 and 7—where players ranked the importance of tackle or ball-carry technique for winning the contest and preventing injuries—were analysed in this study. The Likert scale responses to these questions (1–5) were averaged to provide an overall score for how important the player found tackle technique or ball-carry technique for performance and injury prevention (no players selected NF as a response).

Public involvement in research

The public was not involved in the design of this study. However, in previous studies, a panel of rugby coaches, sports scientists and rugby administrators were consulted for the development of the questionnaire 17 and the standardised technical criteria used in this study.⁶

Statistical analysis

A Spearman's rank correlation analysis was done to assess the effect of the overall scores for the importance of technique for performance and injury prevention on the technique proficiency scores, given the ordinal nature of the questionnaire data. The analysis was performed between the questionnaire responses and technique proficiency assessment scores for each individual technique and the overall scores, for tackling and ball-carrying. The level of significance was set at p≤0.05. STATA V.13.0 (StataCorp LP, USA) was used for all statistical analyses. Data are reported as means and 95% CI, and Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (r).

Reliability

To assess the intra-rater reliability of the analyst, five randomly selected players' tackles and ball-carries were analysed on two separate occasions. To assess the inter-rater reliability of the analyses, a second analyst, experienced with the criteria, assessed the same five players' tackles and ball-carries. Intra-rater and inter-rater reliability were calculated using the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and the typical error of measurement (TEM). 4 31 The ICCs for both the intra-rater and the inter-rater tackle and ball-carry assessments were 1.0. The TEMs for the intra-rater tackle and ball-carry assessments were 0.7 and 0.5 arbitrary units (AU), respectively, and for the inter-rater, TEMs were 0.6 and 0.6, respectively.

RESULTS

Total technique scores

The mean technical proficiency scores were 8.9 out of 16 AU (95% CI 8.5 to 9.3) and 9.3 out of 14 (95% CI 8.9 to 9.7) for tackling and ball-carrying, respectively. Ninety-six percent of players (n=51/53) rated the importance of tackle technique to prevent injuries as somewhat important-very important. Similarly, 94% (n=50/53) rated the importance of tackle technique to win the tackle contest as somewhat important to very important. For the ball carrier, 91% (n=48/53) of players rated the importance of technique to prevent injuries as somewhat important-very important, and 92% (n=49/53) rated the importance of technique to win the tackle contest as somewhat importantvery important. There was no significant relationship between a player's attitudes towards tackle or ball-carry technique for injury prevention and performance and his tackle or ball-carry technical proficiency score (figure 1).

Specific technique scores

The mean scores of the rated importance for each of the tackle and ball-carry techniques for injury prevention and performance were above 4 (somewhat important) $(\text{mean}\pm95\% \text{ CI range: } 4.1\pm0.2-4.9\pm0.1)$ except for the importance of the ball-carry technique side-on into contact




Figure 1 The relationship between tackler and ball-carrier technical proficiency scores, and the subjective importance of technique to prevent injury and to win the tackle contest. Lines represent line of best fit.

for injury prevention, which had a mean score of 3.9±0.2 (undecided-somewhat important). For each individual tackle and ball-carry technique, the rated importance of each technique for injury prevention or performance was not related to a player executing the technique in the assessment (r range: -0.4 to 0.3).

DISCUSSION

Declarative awareness of safe and effective technique did not translate to execution of safe and effective technique

The purpose of this study was to determine the association between players' knowledge and attitudes towards safe and effective technique and their tackle and ballcarry technique execution. We found a high level of awareness among the players for the importance of technique to improve tackle performance and reduce tackle injury risks. This work supports previous research 16-18 and highlights the effectiveness of a national injury prevention programme on increasing coaches' and players' knowledge of the importance of proper tackle technique. However, the knowledge of the importance of tackle and ball-carry technique did not translate to their tackle and ball-carry technique proficiency scores, respectively. This brings into question the effectiveness of this strategy alone on improving players' tackle technique. A similar question was raised in a systematic review of educational programmes to prevent concussions in rugby union.³² The review concluded that although educational programmes were effective in disseminating information to rugby union stakeholders, scant evidence was found on the education actually changing player behaviour towards concussion.³² Therefore, although

BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med: first published as 10.1136/bmjsem-2020-001011 on 17 March 2021. Downloaded from http://bmjopensem.bmj.com/ on April 16, 2021 by guest. Protected by

disseminating educational material on safe and effective technique is adequate in improving declarative knowledge, it may not be sufficient on its own to reduce the tackle injury burden in rugby union.

Gap between declarative and procedural knowledge may be the result of insufficient contact technique training

The gap between players' declarative knowledge of proper tackle technique and their procedural knowledge of how to execute proper tackle techniques (reflected in their technical proficiency scores) is similar to a gap found in coaches' tackle knowledge and behaviours. In this study, coaches rated safe and effective technique as utmost important; however, this knowledge did not reflect on the amount of time they spent coaching tackle technique in training. ¹⁸ Another study on the training volume of English professional rugby union over 11 seasons showed that only 24 min per player per week (out of an average of 6 hours 48 min per player per week of training) was spent in full contact sessions. 33 The amount of time spent training the tackle, and the lack of association between knowledge of technique and actual technique found in this study, raises the question of whether the time spent on contact training is sufficient to allow players' declarative knowledge on safe and effective tackle technique to become procedural knowledge. One of the reasons given for the discrepancy between coaches' knowledge of the importance of technique and their training of tackle technique was that they did not feel confident in their ability to coach tackle technique.¹⁸ As a result, any full contact training sessions are likely to be less structured rather than technique focused. The dynamic environment of unstructured contact sessions exposes players to greater injury risks.³³ Consequently, to protect their players, coaches may reduce the amount of time spent in full contact session, resulting in players not fully developing the technical components of their contact skills. Therefore, strategies that provide frameworks to equip players and coaches on how to train tackle technique safely may be more effective to improve tackle technique. 34 35

Tackle technique training framework

Strategies to develop tackle technique safely have been proposed. Hendricks and colleagues developed a 5-week tackle technique training framework.³⁵ The framework draws from skill acquisition and development literature, and outlines how to progressively develop tackle technique proficiency through altering the conditions and coaching style of the sessions. 35 Although more research is required to assess the efficacy of the tackle technique training programme, it serves as a potential tool to translate declarative knowledge to procedural knowledge, and ultimately improve tackle technique in training and matches.

Limitations and future research recommendations

This study sets out to describe the relationship between the knowledge of the importance of contact technique

and contact technique proficiency. However, as most of the players in this study (over 90%) reported both tackle and ball-carry technique to be important to improve performance and reduce injuries, the knowledge of players regarding the importance of tackle technique in this study was somewhat homogeneous. Therefore, rather than the relationship between ranges of tackle technique knowledge and proficiency, the results of this study may reflect the variance of tackle technique in players with a high level of knowledge of the importance of tackle technique. Further research may be warranted to describe the relationship between players with varying levels of knowledge and technique proficiency. However, as continuing endeavours ensue to improve the declarative knowledge of safe and effective technique in rugby union players, recruitment for such a study may be challenging. Also, it may be of interest to know players' perceptions of whether they are applying their tackle knowledge in training and matches. Although the tackle drill was designed to have a high degree of ecological validity and representativeness (phase before tackle, instructions to score or prevent the try, using rugby field demarcations), we acknowledge that the drill is only a simulated scenario of a match. With that said, the technical proficiency scores in the current drill are comparable with the scores recorded in matches. Burger et al, using the same criteria, reported technical proficiency scores in elite U18 matches of 9.3 and 9.0 for the tackler and ball carrier, respectively. In comparison, the players in this cohort scored 8.9 and 9.3 for tackling and ball-carrying technique, respectively. This comparison highlights a potential avenue of research, that is, determining the relationship between the technique proficiency in training and in matches.

CONCLUSION

This is the first study to compare players' knowledge of the importance of proper tackle technique for injury prevention and performance with their tackle and ballcarry technique proficiency. Although there was a high level of awareness of the importance of technique to improve performance and promote safety, their knowledge was not related to their technique proficiency. The findings reveal a gap between players' knowledge of the importance of proper tackle technique and their execution of proper tackle technique, and support the need for contact skill training programmes in rugby union.

Author affiliations

¹Division of Exercise Science and Sports Medicine, Department of Human Biology, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, Western Cape, South Africa

²Western Province Rugby Academy, Cape Town, South Africa

³Health through Physical Activity, Lifestyle and Sport Research Centre (HPALS), Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, Western Cape, South Africa

⁴Carnegie Applied Rugby Research (CARR) Centre, Institute for Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

⁵Leeds Rhinos Rugby League Club, Leeds, UK

⁶England Performance Unit, The Rugby Football League, Leeds, UK

⁷School of Science and Technology, University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales, Australia



Twitter Steve den Hollander @steve_dh1, Mike Lambert @MikeLambert 01, Ben Jones @23benjones and Sharief Hendricks @Sharief H

Contributors SdH was involved in the conception of the study, the data collection, analysis and interpretation, drafted the article and approved the final version for publication. ML and BJ critically revised the article and approved the final version for publication. SH was involved in the conception of the study, the data analysis and interpretation, critically revised the article and approved the final version for publication.

Funding The authors would like to acknowledge the National Research Foundation of South Africa for support during the study.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study was approved by the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee. HREC778/2017.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are deidentified participant data, and are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Steve den Hollander http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6064-038X Sharief Hendricks http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3416-6266

REFERENCES

- 1 Roberts SP, Trewartha G, England M, et al. Collapsed scrums and collision tackles: what is the injury risk? Br J Sports Med 2015;49:536–40.
- 2 Higham DG, Hopkins WG, Pyne DB, et al. Relationships between rugby sevens performance indicators and international tournament outcomes. J Quant Anal Sports 2014;10.
- 3 Cruz-Ferreira A, Cruz-Ferreira E, Santiago L, et al. Epidemiology of injuries in senior male rugby Union sevens: a systematic review. Phys Sportsmed 2017;45:41–8.
- 4 Burger N, Lambert MI, Viljoen W, et al. Tackle technique and tacklerelated injuries in high-level South African rugby Union under-18 players: real-match video analysis. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:932–8.
- 5 Bahr R, Holme I. Risk factors for sports injuries--a methodological approach. Br J Sports Med 2003;37:384–92.
- 6 Hendricks S, O'connor S, Lambert M, et al. Contact technique and concussions in the South African under-18 Coca-Cola Craven week rugby tournament. Eur J Sport Sci 2015;15:557–64.
- 7 Tierney GJ, Denvir K, Farrell G, et al. The effect of technique on tackle gainline success outcomes in elite level rugby Union. Int J Sports Sci Coach 2018;13:16–25.
- 8 Hendricks S, Matthews B, Roode B, et al. Tackler characteristics associated with tackle performance in rugby Union. Eur J Sport Sci 2014:14:753–62.
- 9 Tucker R, Raftery M, Verhagen E. Injury risk and a tackle ban in youth rugby Union: reviewing the evidence and searching for targeted, effective interventions. A critical review. *Br J Sports Med* 2016:50:921–5.
- 10 Finch C. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. J Sci Med Sport 2006;9:3–9.
- 11 Hendricks S, Lambert M. Tackling in rugby: coaching strategies for effective technique and injury prevention. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2010;5:117–35.
- 12 Posthumus M, Viljoen W. BokSmart: safe and effective techniques in rugby Union. S Afr J Sports Med 2008;20:64–70.
- 13 Kim JW, Ritter FE, Koubek RJ. An integrated theory for improved skill acquisition and retention in the three stages of learning. *Theor Issues Ergon Sci* 2013;14:22–37.

- 14 Thomas KT, Thomas JR. Developing expertise in sport: the relation of knowledge and performance. Int J Sport Psychol 1994;25:295–312.
- 15 Steenbergen B, van der Kamp J, Verneau M, et al. Implicit and explicit learning: applications from basic research to sports for individuals with impaired movement dynamics. *Disabil Rehabil* 2010;32:1509–16.
- 16 Hendricks S, Sarembock M. Attitudes and behaviours of toplevel junior rugby Union coaches towards the coaching of proper contact technique in the tackle – a pilot study. S Afr J Sports Med 2013;25;8.
- 17 Hendricks S, Jordaan E, Lambert M. Attitude and behaviour of junior rugby union players towards tackling during training and match play. Saf Sci 2012:50:266–84.
- 18 Hendricks S, Sarembock M, Jones B, et al. The tackle in South African youth rugby union – Gap between coaches' knowledge and training behaviour. Int J Sports Sci Coach 2017;12:708–15.
- 19 Hendricks S, Till K, den Hollander S, et al. Consensus on a video analysis framework of descriptors and definitions by the rugby Union video analysis consensus group. Br J Sports Med 2020;54:566–72.
- 20 Otte FW, Millar S-K, Klatt S. Skill training periodization in "Specialist" sports coaching -An introduction of the "PoST" framework for skill development. Front Sports Act Living 2019;1:61.
- 21 Hendricks S, den Hollander S, Tam N, et al. The relationships between rugby players' tackle training attitudes and behaviour and their match tackle attitudes and behaviour. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2015;1:e000046.
- 22 Gabbett T, Ryan P, technique T. Tackling technique, injury risk, and playing performance in high-performance collision sport athletes. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2009;4:521–33.
- 23 Speranza MJA, Gabbett TJ, Greene DA, et al. An alternative test of tackling ability in rugby League players. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2018;13:347–52.
- 24 den Hollander S, Lambert M, Jones B, et al. Tackle and ruck technique proficiency within Academy and senior Club rugby Union. J Sports Sci 2019;37:2578–87.
- 25 Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, Abernethy B. Relationships between physiological, anthropometric, and skill qualities and playing performance in professional rugby League players. *J Sports Sci* 2011;29:1655–64.
- 26 Speranza MJ, Gabbett TJ, Johnston RD, et al. Relationship between a standardized tackling proficiency test and match-play tackle performance in semiprofessional rugby League players. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2015;10:754–60.
- 27 Speranza MJA, Gabbett TJ, Greene DA, et al. Relationship between 2 standardized tackling proficiency tests and rugby League Match-Play tackle performance. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2018;13:770–6.
- 28 Gabbett T, Kelly J, Pezet T. Relationship between physical fitness and playing ability in rugby League players. J Strength Cond Res 2007;21:1126–33.
- 29 Hendricks S, den Hollander S, Lambert M. Coaching behaviours and learning resources; influence on rugby players' attitudes towards injury prevention and performance in the tackle. Sci Med Football 2019:1–5.
- 30 Blair J, Czaja RF, Blair EA. Designing surveys: a guide to decisions and procedures. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, 2013.
- 31 Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, et al. Progressive statistics for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2009;41:3–12.
- 32 Fraas MR, Burchiel J. A systematic review of education programmes to prevent concussion in rugby Union. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2016;16:1212–8.
- 33 West SW, Williams S, Kemp SPT, et al. Patterns of training volume and injury risk in elite rugby Union: an analysis of 1.5 million hours of training exposure over eleven seasons. J Sports Sci 2020;38:238–47.
- 34 Hendricks S, Till K, Brown JC, et al. Rugby Union needs a contact skill-training programme. Br J Sports Med 2017;51:829–30.
- 35 Hendricks S, Till K, Oliver JL, et al. Technical skill training framework and skill load measurements for the rugby Union tackle. Strength Cond J 2018;40:44–59.

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

The tackle contact situation (which includes the ball-carrier and tackler) is a fundamental component of rugby and the ability to engage in tackle contact is a pre-requisite for participation. The purpose of this questionnaire is to gain insight into the:

- Knowledge and opinions of rugby players around the tackle contact situation, as a ball-carrier and tackler, in training and in matches.
- Training and match behaviours around the tackle contact situation

This information will prove invaluable in our understanding and development of better coaching strategies to improve the game of rugby union, and make it safer for all.

*Required

Player Information

1.	Surname *
2.	Name *
3.	Club *
4.	Date of birth *
	Example: 15 December 2012

07/08/2019	Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players
5	5. Position *
	indicate the position you mostly play Mark only one oval.
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5 6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13 14
	15
6	6. What is the highest level you have played? *
	i.e., professional team, academy, country, province, club
7	7. What is the current level you are playing? *
·	i.e., professional team, academy, country, province, club

Investigation into					
8. What was your age when you sta	arted playing	rugby *			
Mark only one oval.					
< 5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
<u> </u>					
<u> </u>					
<u> </u>					
17					
18					
19					
19 >20					
19	may answer o	on a rating	scale (except	for Questi	ons 1 where
19 >20 Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certal	may answer o	on a rating	scale (except	for Questi u do not ki	ons 1 where
19 >20 Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about.	may answer on the second of injury for the very low	on a rating vill also be ue differer Low	scale (except	for Questi u do not ki lay? * High	ons 1 where now what we Very high
Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about. 9. 1. What do you think is the risk of Mark only one oval per row.	may answer on the second may answer on the second may be seen as the second may answer of the second may answer of the second may answer of the second may be seen as the seco	on a rating vill also be	scale (except provided if you nt phases of p	for Questi u do not ki	ons 1 where
Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about. 9. 1. What do you think is the risk of Mark only one oval per row. Scrum Ball-carrying (Taking ball into	may answer on the second of injury for the very low	on a rating vill also be ue differer Low	scale (except provided if you nt phases of p	for Questi u do not ki lay? * High	ons 1 where now what we Very high
Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about. 9. 1. What do you think is the risk of Mark only one oval per row.	may answer on the second of injury for the very low	on a rating vill also be ue differer Low	scale (except provided if you nt phases of p	for Questi u do not ki lay? * High	ons 1 where now what we Very high
Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about. 9. 1. What do you think is the risk of Mark only one oval per row. Scrum Ball-carrying (Taking ball into contact)	may answer on the second of injury for the very low	on a rating vill also be ue differer Low	scale (except provided if you nt phases of p	for Questi u do not ki lay? * High	ons 1 where now what we Very high
Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about. 9. 1. What do you think is the risk of Mark only one oval per row. Scrum Ball-carrying (Taking ball into contact) Tackling Line-out Ruck	may answer on the second of injury for the very low	on a rating vill also be ue differer Low	scale (except provided if you nt phases of p	for Questi u do not ki lay? * High	ons 1 where now what we Very high
Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about. 9. 1. What do you think is the risk of Mark only one oval per row. Scrum Ball-carrying (Taking ball into contact) Tackling Line-out Ruck Maul	may answer on the second of injury for the very low	on a rating vill also be ue differer Low	scale (except provided if you nt phases of p	for Questi u do not ki lay? * High	ons 1 where now what we Very high
Training Questions All questions should be answered base stated otherwise. The questions are set out that you an exact amount is needed). A "not familiar (NF)" option in certa are talking about. 9. 1. What do you think is the risk of Mark only one oval per row. Scrum Ball-carrying (Taking ball into contact) Tackling Line-out Ruck	may answer on the second of injury for the very low	on a rating vill also be ue differer Low	scale (except provided if you nt phases of p	for Questi u do not ki lay? * High	ons 1 where now what we Very high

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

11. 2. How important is being coached the proper technique to you for the following phases of play? *

Mark only one oval per row.

	Not at all important	Not important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Scrum					
Ball-carrying (Taking ball into contact)					
Tackling					
Line-out					
Ruck					
Maul					
Catching and Passing (Ball handling)					
Falling to the ground					

12. 3. How important is coaching proper technique to you for the following? (Assuming safety and performance are separate objectives) *

Ball-carrying

Mark only one oval per row.

	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Safety (Lowering the risk of injury)					
Performance (Dominating the contact point and progressing the ball towards the try- line)					

13. *

Tackling

Mark only one oval per row.

	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Safety (Lowering the risk of injury)					
Performance (Dominating the contact point and winning territory)					

14. 4. How important are the following for your tackling? *

	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Physical conditioning					
Mental conditioning					

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

15. 5. How important	are the following for	your ability to carry th	ne ball into contact? *
Mark only one ova	al per row.		

	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Physical conditioning					
Mental conditioning					

16. 6a. How important do you think the following technical components of ball-carrying are for preventing injuries? *

Pre-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Eyes focused on tackler						
Shift the ball away from contact						
Body position - upright to low						
Body position - straight back						
Head up and forward eyes open	^d ,					
Shuffle or evasive manoeuvre						

17. *

Contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Fend into contact						
Side-on into contact						
Explosiveness on contact						
Body position - from a low body position up into contact						
Ball protection						

18. *

Post-contact

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Leg drive up on contact						
Arm and shoulder usage						
Go to ground and present ball						

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

19. 6b. How important do you think the following technical components of tackling are for preventing injuries? *

Pre-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Identify/track ball- carrier onto shoulder						
Body position - upright to low						
Body position - straight back						
Square to ball-carrier						
Boxer stance (elbows close, hands up)						
Head up and forward						
Shortening steps						
Approach from front/oblique						

20. *

Contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Explosiveness on contact						
Contact with shoulder						
Contact in centre of gravity						
Head placement on the correct side						

21. *

Post-contact

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Shoulder usage						
Arm usage (hit-and- stick)						
Leg drive upon conta	ct					
Release ball-carrier and compete for possession						

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

22. 7a. How important do you think the following technical components of ball-carrying are for winning the tackle contest? *

Pre-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Eyes focused on tackler						
Shift the ball away from contact						
Body position - upright to low						
Body position - straight back						
Head up and forward eyes open	d,					
Shuffle or evasive manoeuvre						

23. *

Contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Fend into contact						
Side-on into contact						
Explosiveness on contact						
Body position - from a low body position up into contact						
Ball protection						

24. *

Post-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Leg drive up on contact						
Arm and shoulder usage						
Go to ground and present ball						

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

25. 7b. How important do you think the following technical components of tackling are for winning the tackle contest? *

Pre-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Identify/track ball- carrier onto shoulder						
Body position - upright to low						
Body position - straight back						
Square to ball-carrier						
Boxer stance (elbows close, hands up)						
Head up and forward						
Shortening steps						
Approach from front/oblique						

26. *

Contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Explosiveness on contact						
Contact with shoulder						
Contact in centre of gravity						
Head placement on the correct side						

27. *

Post-contact

	NF	Not at all important	Not too important	Undecided	Somewhat important	Very important
Shoulder usage						
Arm usage (hit-and- stick)						
Leg drive upon conta	ct					
Release ball-carrier and compete for possession						

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

28	. 8.	When	doing	a tackle	contact	drill duri	ng a te	am/squad	field	session,	how i	much ti	me is
	sp	ent or	n the fo	llowing ¹	? *								

Answer according to the last season *Mark only one oval per row.*

	Not at all	A little	A fair amount	Much	Very much
Emphasising proper ball-carrying technique for safety					
Emphasising proper ball-carrying technique to improve performance					
Emphasising proper tackling technique for safety					
Emphasising proper tackling technique to improve performance					

29. 9. How much did you learn about tackle contact technique in the different age categories?

Mark only one oval per row.

	N/A	Not at all	A little	A fair amount	Much	Very much
Under 10						
Under 13						
Under 16						
Under 19						
Seniors						

30. 10a. When coached front-on tackle technique, how much emphasis was placed on the following pointers for carrying the ball into contact? *

Pre-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Always
Eyes focused on tackler						
Shift the ball away from contact						
Body position - upright to low						
Body position - straight back						
Head up and forward, eyes open						
Shuffle or evasive manoeuvre						

31. *

Contact

	NF Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Always
Fend into contact					
Side-on into contact					
Explosiveness on contact					
Body position - from a low body position up into contact					
Ball protection					

07/		

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

32. *

Post-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Always
Leg drive up on contact						
Arm and shoulder usage						
Go to ground and present ba						

33. 10b. When coached front-on tackle technique, how much emphasis was placed on the following pointers for tackling? *

Pre-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Always
Identify/track ball-carrier onto shoulder						
Body position - upright to low						
Body position - straight back						
Square to ball-carrier						
Boxer stance (elbows close, hands up)						
Head up and forward						
Shortening steps						
Approach from front/oblique						

34. *

Contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Always
Explosiveness on contact					
Contact with shoulder					
Contact in centre of gravity					
Head placement on the correct side					

35. *

Post-contact

Mark only one oval per row.

	NF	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Always
Shoulder usage						
Arm usage (hit-and-stick)						
Leg drive upon contact						
Release ball-carrier and compete for possession						

Match Questions

All questions should be answered based on your current or most recent season's matches unless stated otherwise.

- The questions are set out that you may answer on a rating scale of 1 to 5 (except for Questions 1 where an exact amount is needed). The meaning of each of the numbers will be given on top of the answers table unless stated otherwise.
- A "not familiar (NF)" option in certain questions will also be provided if you do not know what we are talking about.

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1G4B75CJPj1PpAGum3sS6LAac8fOvAjuTpsAkZxTcX9c/editable. A complete the complete statement of the complete statement

Investigation into the Tackle Contact Situation from the Perspective of Rugby Union Players

36. 1. What is important to you when entering a tackle contact situation during a match? * Mark only one oval per row.

			t at all ortant	Not impo	too rtant	Undeci		Somewh importar		Very portant
Doing what	at you									
Proper ted	hnique									
Dominatin point at all		act								
Your own (lowering getting inju	your risk of ured))			
Safety of t (lowering to opponent injured)	the risk of									
Winning to	erritory									
Playing the (maintaining regaining the ball	ng or)			
Leg drive	after conta	ct)			
38. 2. Please ra Mark only on	=	joyment w	/hen car	rying th	e ball i	nto con	tact *			
	=		hen car	rrying th	e ball i 6	nto con	tact *	9	10	
	e oval.							9	10	Very Much
Mark only on	e oval. 1 2 te your en	3 joyment w	4	5	6			9 9	10	
Not at all 39. 3. Please rate	te your en	3 joyment w	4 vhen ma	5	6 ackle *	7	8			Much
Not at all 39. 3. Please rai Mark only on	te your en	joyment w	4 vhen ma 4	5 king a ta	6	7 7	8 8			

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1G4B75CJPj1PpAGum3sS6LAac8fOvAjuTpsAkZxTcX9c/editable. A complete for the complete of the co

07/08/2019	41. 5. Please <i>Mark only</i>		w motiv	_			Situation fro	om the Per	rspective of	Rugby Uni	ion Players	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Not at all											Very Much
	42. 6. How m Mark only	_	_		Strong	lly	tatemen Mildly disagre	N	eutral	Mildly agree		ongly iree
	risk of technic	ques that injury (sa ques), car e perform	fe n also	the)		(\supset
	Techni perforr	ques that nance, ca the risk	improve an also)		(\supset
	showe way to	atest scie d a new, i train con que for sa	improve tact tacl	d kle)		(

Pοι	vered by
I	Google Forms

use it.

If the latest scientific data showed a new, improved way to train contact tackle technique to improve performance, I would use it.