
Citation:

Gledhill, A and Ivarsson, A and Johnson, U and Tranaeus, U and Hill, D and Davidson, CL (2021) The BASES Expert Statement on psychological considerations for injury risk reduction in competitive sport. *The Sport and Exercise Scientist* (69). pp. 8-9.

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/7903/>

Document Version:

Article (Accepted Version)

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

The BASES Expert Statement on psychological considerations for injury risk reduction in competitive sport

Produced on behalf of the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences by Dr Adam Gledhill FBASES, Dr Andreas Ivarsson, Professor Urban Johnson, Dr Ulrika Tranaeus, Dr Denise Hill and Claire Louise Davidson.

Introduction

Sports injuries can have serious, long-term health implications for athletes (Putukian, 2016) and is a leading cause of athletes' retirement (Ristolainen et al., 2012). Injury occurrence is associated with less successful team performance and have a significant impact on business and asset management. For example, injuries are estimated to cost English Premier League football clubs a total £45 million due to reduced performance success (Eliakim et al., 2020). Hence, whether you are most concerned with the health, performance, or financial implications, reducing the risk of sports injuries is pertinent for sports organisations.

Given these considerations, practitioners should try to reduce the risk of injury (Gledhill et al., 2018). Yet, despite the robust evidence demonstrating that psychological factors can increase the risk of acute (e.g., Ivarsson et al., 2017) and overuse (e.g., Tranaeus et al., 2014) injuries, psychological factors are not as well-recognised or planned for as physical factors. This lack of recognition of, or planning for, psychological considerations may be due to potential concerns surrounding uncertainty about the evidence-base regarding psychological factors, a lack of awareness of the benefits of psychological strategies, or resource constraints (e.g., finances). To address these concerns, the purpose of the expert statement is to outline the prominent psychological risk factors for sports injury and provide real-world suggestions which can help sport and exercise scientists reduce sports injury risk.

Which psychological factors increase injury risk?

Personality factors, psychosocial stress, the stress response, and poorer coping resources are related to increased acute sports injury risk (Johnson, 2021). Of these, psychosocial stress – which might be organisational stressors or factors beyond competition stressors – and the magnitude of the stress response have the largest and most consistently reported links with sports injury risk (Ivarsson et al., 2017). There are several mechanisms which can explain the acute injury risk. First, neurocognitive changes can create neuromuscular changes that reduce movement control, thus increasing the risk of acute non-contact injuries. Similarly, neurocognitive changes associated with stress response can reduce reaction time in response to environmental injury risk factors (e.g., avoiding collisions). Furthermore, negative life event stress is associated with peripheral narrowing which can increase injury risk through reduced situational awareness, whereas chronic daily hassles can reduce an athlete's capacity to effectively concentrate during training and competition (see Johnson, 2021 for further insight).

The mechanisms for overuse injury risks are different and, whilst growing, the evidence-base regarding psychological risk factors is smaller (Tranaeus et al., 2014). Typically, athletes could be at a higher risk of overuse injury in instances where they experience organisational stressors and cultures that impact on decisions and behaviour (e.g., poor coach-athlete relationships; poor communication between coach, medical, support staff, and the athlete; environments which emphasize negative sporting social comparisons). These manifest unrealistic training and performance demands for athletes and have the potential to heighten psychosocial stress. Without the opportunity or resource to manage that stress, or in instances where athletes demonstrate poor lifestyle choices and behaviours (e.g., insufficient recovery, poor sleep planning, over-training, general poor self-care), athletes become more susceptible to injury. It is likely that the risk of

overuse injury in such instances is the product of complex interactions between these different factors that influence stress hormone perturbation, immunosuppression and/or impair soft tissue repair (Johnson, 2021; Tranaeus et al., 2014).

Reducing uncertainty: which psychological strategies can reduce injury risk?

Given the prominence of psychosocial stress and stress responses for acute and overuse injury risk, most intervention studies have investigated the role of various stress-management-based interventions (Gledhill et al., 2018; Ivarsson et al., 2017). Table 1 outlines key studies, demonstrating their intervention approach and outcomes (references available from the lead author).

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

Whilst demonstrating promise, this body of research has quite a narrow focus of mainly investigating intra-individual interventions. This is an important consideration, given that many stressors contributing to injury risk might be more a product of organisational stressors or cultures. Future research should examine the impact of interventions that includes the athlete's environment, organisation, or culture, and how such interventions might reduce injury risk.

Applied recommendations

To support sport and exercise scientists in integrating psychological considerations into their injury prevention planning, we offer the following suggestions:

- Screen athletes daily for psychosocial stress indices, sleep quality, and perceived recovery (e.g., by using the Acute Recovery Stress Scale or the Short Recovery and Stress Scale; see Kölling et al., 2020 for English language validation).
- Offer stakeholder education around organisational injury risk factors. This could include organisational culture, psychosocial stressors and relational issues. Such

education could contribute to reducing athlete stressors and mitigating against poor behavioural choices, thus reducing overuse injury risk.

- From the outset, facilitate open communication between athletes, coaches, and medical/support staff, to understand and ensure appropriate demands on the athlete.
- Create psychologically safe (e.g., Edmondson & Lei, 2014) sporting environments as this type of environment can encourage athletes to discuss and raise concerns about stressors, demands and potential injuries.
- As a sport and exercise scientist, form strong relationships with your athletes. Common factors such as shared goal consensus/collaboration, empathy, working alliance, and positive regard are all important for open dialogue regarding injury and injury risk factors
- Adopt psychological intervention strategies. Of those in table 1, mindfulness and acceptance-based practice and stress management interventions (e.g., cognitive-behavioural stress management) demonstrate promise for injury risk reduction.
- Consider athlete (and coach/other stakeholder) education, so that they understand the performance-related benefits of engaging with mindfulness and acceptance-based strategies. This increases the likelihood of athletes engaging and maximise potential benefits.

Concluding thoughts

The evidence behind psychological strategies for sports injury risk reduction provides largely consistent, clinically meaningful results: athletes with higher psychosocial risk indices for sports injury suffer more sports injuries, and injury risk is lower in groups exposed to psychological interventions aimed at injury prevention. Sport and exercise scientists have an important role to play in facilitating the adoption of psychological strategies into sports injury prevention programmes for competitive athletes.

Dr Adam Gledhill FBASES

Adam is a Course Director in Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences at Leeds Beckett University, a BASES Accredited Sport and Exercise Scientist, and the Chair of the BASES Division of Psychology.

Dr Andreas Ivarsson

Andreas is an Associate Professor in Sport and Exercise Psychology at Halmstad University in Sweden and the sport psychology practitioner with the Norwegian female national soccer team.

Professor Urban Johnson

Urban is a Professor in Sport and Exercise Psychology at Halmstad University in Sweden and an international expert on the psychology of injury risk and prevention.

Dr Ulrika Tranaeus

Ulrika is a Lecturer at the Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences, a sport psychology practitioner in the Swedish Sports Confederation's network for sport psychology practitioners.

Dr Denise Hill

Denise is a Senior Lecturer in Sport and Exercise Psychology at Swansea University, a BASES Accredited Sport and Exercise Scientist and is the Deputy Chair of the BASES Division of Psychology.

Claire Louise Davidson

Claire is a HCPC registered Sport and Exercise Psychologist and the Lead Performance Psychologist at the English Football Association for the men's pathway teams.

References

Edmondson, A. C., & Lei, Z. (2014). Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1(1), 23-43.

Eliakim, E. et al. (2020). Estimation of injury costs: financial damage of English Premier League teams' underachievement due to injuries BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine 6:e000675. doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2019-000675

Gledhill, A., Forsdyke, D., & Murray, E. (2018). Psychological interventions used to reduce sports injuries: a systematic review of real-world effectiveness. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52, 967-971.

Ivarsson, A. et al. (2017). Psychosocial factors and sport injuries: Meta-analyses for prediction and prevention. *Sports Medicine*, 47, 353-365.

Johnson, U. (2021). The injury-prone athlete: psychosocial risk factors in sports injury. In A. Gledhill & D. Forsdyke (Eds.), *The psychology of sports injury: from risk to retirement*. London and New York: Routledge.

Kölling, S. et al. (2020). Validation of the Acute Recovery Stress Scale (ARSS) and the Short Recovery and Stress Scale (SRSS) in three English-speaking regions. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 38, 130-139.

Putukian, M. (2016). The psychological response to injury in student athletes: A narrative review with a focus on mental health. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50, 145-148.

Ristolainen, L. et al. (2012). Sports injuries as the main cause of sports career termination among Finnish top-level athletes. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 12, 274-282.

Tranaeus, U. et al. (2014). Psychological antecedents of overuse injuries among Swedish elite floorball players. *Athletic Insight*, 6, 155-172.

Table 1. Psychological interventions to reduce sport injury risk (experimental trials with control groups).

Study	Participants	N	Intervention ¹	Results
Naderi et al. (2020)	Elite male soccer players	168	Mindfulness (MAC approach)	Number of injuries, average injuries per team and days lost due to injury lower in mindfulness group than control group
Zadeh et al. (2019)	Male soccer players	45	Mindfulness (MAC approach)	Reduced injury rates in mindfulness group
Olmedilla-Zafra et al. (2017)	Male soccer players	74	Stress Inoculation Therapy (PMR, breathing, imagery, self-instructional and attention-focus training)	Decrease in average number of injuries in treatment group
Tranaeus et al. (2015a)	Male and female elite floorball players	346	Stress management, relaxation, goal setting skills and emotional control	Both genders suffered fewer injuries in the treatment group
Tranaeus et al. (2015b)	Male and female elite floorball players	401	Stress management, relaxation, goal setting skills and emotional control	Both genders suffered fewer injuries in the treatment group
Ivarsson et al. (2015)	Male and female junior elite soccer players	41	Mindfulness (MAC approach)	Greater proportion of intervention group players remained injury free
Edvarsson et al. (2012)	Male and female high school soccer players	29	Cognitive behavioural feedback (self-regulation techniques of thought stopping, relaxation and breathing; stress management; video clips)	Fewer injuries in the intervention group
Noh et al. (2007)	Female ballet dancers	35	Autogenic training, broad-based coping skills (AT, imagery, self-talk).	Overall reduction in injury burden in intervention group Broad-based coping skills most effective at reducing injury risk
Johnson et al. (2005)	Male and female soccer players	235	(a) somatic and cognitive relaxation, (b) stress management skills, (c) goal setting skills, (d) attribution and self-confidence training, and, (e) identification and discussion about critical incidents related to their football participation and situations in everyday life. (PST).	Fewer injuries in treatment than control group
Kolt et al. (2004)	Male and female gymnasts	20	Cognitive-behavioural stress management	Fewer injuries in treatment than control group

¹ MAC = Mindfulness, Acceptance and Commitment Approach; PMR = Progressive Muscular Relaxation; AT = Autogenic Training; PST = Psychological Skills Training