

Citation:

Nagy, P and Brogden, C and Orr, G and Greig, M (2022) Within- and between-day loading response to ballet choreography. Research in Sports Medicine, 30 (6). pp. 616-627. ISSN 1543-8627 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2021.1929223

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record: https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/9332/

Document Version: Article (Published Version)

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

© 2021 The Author(s).

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please contact us and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.





Research in Sports Medicine

An International Journal

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gspm20

Within- and between-day loading response to ballet choreography

Philip Nagy, Chris Brogden, Gabrielle Orr & Matt Greig

To cite this article: Philip Nagy, Chris Brogden, Gabrielle Orr & Matt Greig (2022) Within- and between-day loading response to ballet choreography, Research in Sports Medicine, 30:6, 616-627, DOI: 10.1080/15438627.2021.1929223

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2021.1929223

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



6

Published online: 04 Jun 2021.

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 722



🜔 View related articles 🗹

View Crossmark data 🗹



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles 🗹

Routledae Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Within- and between-day loading response to ballet choreography

Philip Nagy (D^a, Chris Brogden (D^a, Gabrielle Orr^b and Matt Greig^a

^aSports Injuries Research Group, Department of Sport & Physical Activity, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, Lancashire, UK; ^bDepartment of Creative Arts, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, Lancashire, UK

ABSTRACT

Overuse pathologies are prevalent in ballet injury. Ten amateur ballet dancers (age: 23.20 ± 3.08 years) completed a progressive 5-stage choreographed routine on two consecutive days. Tri-axial accelerometers positioned at C7 and the dominant and nondominant lower-limb were used to calculate accumulated PlayerLoadTM (PL_{TOTAL}) and uni-axial contributions of the anteriorposterior (PL_{AP}), medial-lateral (PL_{ML}), and vertical (PL_{V}) planes. PL_{TOTAL} increased significantly (p = 0.001) as a function of exercise duration within-trial, however there was no significant change between trials (p = 0.18). PL_{TOTAL} at C7 was significantly (p = 0.001) lower than both lower-limbs, with no bilateral asymmetry evident (p = 0.97). Planar contributions to PL_{TOTAL} were significantly greater in PL_V than PL_{AP} and PL_{ML} (p = 0.001). PlayerLoadTM demonstrated within-trial sensitivity to the progressive routine, however no residual fatique effect was observed between trials. The results of this study suggest that accelerometers have efficacy in athlete monitoring and injury screening protocols, however unit placement should be considered for practical interpretation.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 4 January 2021 Accepted 15 April 2021

KEYWORDS

Accelerometry; ballet; fatigue; load; overuse

Introduction

Overuse injury diagnoses represent approximately 70% of all cases in amateur ballet dancers (Smith et al., 2015). Overuse injuries are multifactorial, but may be attributed to poor training periodization, acute and chronic excessive loading, and insufficient recovery (Aicale et al., 2018; Cheron et al., 2016). Each ballet training/performance exposure presents a risk of injury (Bowen et al., 2019), whilst the demanding training regimens initiated at an early age require many hours of training and competition (Murgia, 2013). Research has demonstrated that 33% of dancers take less than 20 minutes rest during a typical workday (Twitchett et al., 2010), potentially influencing the greater incidence of injury observed in the latter stages of a performance and towards the end of a season (Liederbach et al., 2008). Strategies to reduce the impact of overuse injury include restrictions on training and competition workloads in other sports (Schaefer et al., 2018), however, there is currently no governing body legislation relating to exposure in ballet. Nevertheless, dancers must avoid the perilous combination of excessive workloads and inadequate recovery to mitigate

CONTACT Philip Nagy 🖾 philipnagy@hotmail.com 🖃 School of Science, Technology and Health, York St John University, York, United Kingdom. Y031 7EX.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

performance decrements and an increased injury risk (Meeusen et al., 2013; Schwellnus et al., 2016; Soligard et al., 2016). To that end, efforts towards developing a greater understanding within a ballet context are worthwhile.

Previous biomechanical investigations in ballet have typically been confined to a laboratory environment with performance tasks lacking validity regarding the physical demands of ballet (Orishimo et al., 2009; Liederbach et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2018). Greater ecological validity in the research paradigm is afforded by the use of accelerometry (Boyd et al., 2011), and the use of dance-specific exercise protocols such as the Dance Aerobic Fitness Test (DAFT) (Wyon et al., 2003). Accelerometry facilitates a tri-axial evaluation of mechanical loading, providing a means to identify sub-optimal training loads which have been associated with an increased risk of injury (Bowen et al., 2017; Colby et al., 2014). However, the validity of the conventional mid-scapula placement of the accelerometer to quantify whole-body load has been questioned in contemporary research, which has advocated anatomical placement informed by injury epidemiology (Brogden et al., 2018; Greig & Nagy, 2017). In ballet, the lower-limb is the most common site of injury (Smith et al., 2015), and research has demonstrated that loading during the DAFT protocol was greater in the dominant lower-limb compared with mid-scapula (Brogden et al., 2018). Consequently, the aim of the current study was to develop an experimental paradigm to reflect the physical demands and training regimens typical of ballet. Specifically, the study aimed to quantify both the within- and between-performance loading response to a choreographed ballet routine comprising multiple stages of progressive intensity. The asymmetric movement profile inherent to ballet is reflected in training strategies targeting equal input from both lower-limbs, but also provides a rationale for a bilateral evaluation in research. Hence, the current study also aimed to quantify the loading response at both the dominant and non-dominant lower-limb.

Materials and methods

Participants

Ten female ballet dancers (age: 23.20 ± 3.08 years; height: 164.45 ± 5.46 cm; body mass: 65.31 ± 8.47 kg) volunteered and provided written informed consent to take part in the study, in respect of the Declaration of Helsinki. Inclusion criteria dictated that participants were free from lower-limb musculoskeletal injury in the 6 months prior to testing, whilst attending ballet training for a minimum of 3 hours per week. Participants with neural, visual and/or vestibular disorders were prohibited from participating in the study. All participants undertook extensive pre-exercise health screening involving completion of a thorough medical questionnaire. Both heart rate and blood pressure were measured at rest (Omron MX3 Plus, Omron Healthcare, Kyoto, Japan), with values of <90 bpm and 140/90 sys/dia mmHg necessary.

Procedures

All participants attended the same dance studio on three separate occasions to complete a familiarization trial and two experimental trials. The familiarization trial required the dancers to complete each stage of a ballet-specific choreographed routine (See Table 1),

618 🕒 P. NAGY ET AL.

which was taught and delivered by a qualified dance instructor. Following a 72-hour rest period, the same ballet-specific routine was completed for the two experimental trials, separated by 24 hours. All participants were instructed to wear clothing and footwear similar to that worn during training/performance, whilst being advised to avoid exercise and recovery strategies between testing sessions. A typical ballet class warm-up consisting of barre and centre work along with self-selected dynamic stretching preceded the experimental trials. All testing sessions commenced at 10am, thereby negating circadian rhythm variations and simulating an authentic training environment.

Ballet-specific choreographed routine

The experimental dance piece was choreographed in accordance with the principles of the DAFT protocol (Wyon et al., 2003). The routine was designed to include the specific, multi-planar movements inherent to ballet performance, and is described in Table 1.

Each stage lasted 4 minutes, and the within-stage movement sequences were completed for the left and right limb. A one-minute rest period interspersed each stage, whilst the overall duration of the choreographed routine was 20 minutes. The technical components and tempo of exercise were carefully controlled for in each stage and chosen to demonstrate progressive intensity. The graded increment in intensity was achieved via manipulation of the discrete ballet manoeuvres, synonymous with petit and grand allegro.

Data processing

The tri-axial accelerometer of a GPS device (Optimeye S5, Catapult Innovations, Melbourne, Australia) was used to quantify mechanical load responses to the ballet-

		Tempo	
	Stage	(b⋅min ⁻¹)	Movement Description
Current	1	70	Plié, Relevé, Sauté, Changement, Entrechat quatre, Echappé, Royale/changement battu.
Study	2	85	Glissade, Echappé, Petit Jeté, Petit Temps levé, Temps de cuisse.
	3	85	Failli, Assemble (de coté devant), Pas de chat, Balloté, Balloné, Sissonne (double, ouvert, fermeé).
	4	105	Grand Temps levé, Cabriole derriere, Pirouette (en dehors), Grand pas de chat, Grand assemblé.
	5	105	Grand Jeté, Posé (Arrabesque/attitude derriere), Grand Assemblé en tournant (en l'air), Temps levé, Developpé Temps levé, Grand Sissonne ouvert turning, Bournonville Jeté, Coupé chassé en tournant, Grand Jeté en tournant, Posé pirouette.
DAFT	1	68	5 steps, lunge and recover. 4 $ imes$ 2 pliés with 90° turn between each set.
	2	78	5 steps, lunge and recover. 3 spring hops in a circle. 4 x hop plié with 90° turn between each set, arms moving between 1 st and 2 nd position.
	3	78	5 steps, lunge and recover. 3 spring hops in a circle with arm movements. 4 x hop plié with 90° turn between each set, arms moving between 1 st and 2 nd position.
	4	94	5 steps, lunge and recover. 3 spring hops in a circle with arm movements. 4 x hop, hop with 90° turn between each set, arms moving between 1 st and 2 nd position.
	5	108	5 springs, lunge and recover. 3 spring hops in a circle with arm movements. 4 x hop, hop with 90° turn between each set, arms moving between 1 st and 2 nd position.

Table 1. Technique descriptors and corresponding tempos for each stage of the ballet-specific choreograph and the DAFT protocol (Wyon et al., 2003).

b·min⁻¹, beats per minute; DAFT, Dance Aerobic Fitness Test.

specific choreography, with data sampling at 100 Hz. A unit was housed in a manufacturer-provided neoprene vest and positioned at mid-scapula (approximating the 7th cervical vertebrae (C7)), with an additional unit placed on the distal aspect of the dominant (DL) and non-dominant (NDL) leg. Underwrap tape (Mueller Sports Medicine Incorporated, Wisconsin, USA) was used to secure the GPS device at a location 50% of the distance between the calcaneus and the posterior mid-point of the femoral epicondyles (approximately mid-gastrocnemius), in accordance with previously established methods (Brogden et al., 2018). Accumulated PlayerLoadTM (PL_{TOTAL}), defined as the sum of the PlayerLoadTM vector magnitudes in each movement plane (medial-lateral (PL_{ML}), anterior-posterior (PL_{AP}), and vertical (PL_V)) was calculated at C7 and the bilateral lower-limb. Uni-axial planar contributions (PL_{ML%}, PL_{AP%}, PL_{V%}) to total load were also quantified. PlayerLoadTM and its planar contributors (PL_{ML}, PL_{AP}, PL_V) demonstrate convergent validity and moderate to high (ICC: 0.80–0.97; CV 4.2–14.8%) test-retest reliability (Barrett et al., 2014).

To quantify internal measures of training load, peak heart rate (HR) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) were recorded after each stage of the choreographed routine using HR monitors (HRM1G & Forerunner 15, Garmin, Kansas, USA) and Borg's 6-20 point scale (Borg, 1982), respectively.

Statistical analysis

All data were analysed using a statistical software package (SPSS IBM Statistics V25.0, IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) with descriptive statistics presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Assumptions of normality were satisfied via histograms and the Shapiro-Wilk statistic. Repeated measures ANOVAs were used to quantify within-trial and between-trial differences in each dependent variable during the ballet-specific Choreographed routine. Where appropriate, post-hoc comparisons using a Bonferroni correction factor were conducted to identify where differences occurred. 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) are presented for significant findings, along with Cohen's *d* (Cohen, 1988) effect sizes (small, 0.20–0.49; moderate, 0.50–0.79; large >0.80). Differences were deemed statistically significant at the p < 0.05 level.

Results

Choreographed routine load responses – PLTOTAL

Data on within- and between-day changes in PL_{TOTAL} across the ballet-specific choreographed routine is displayed in Figure 1. A significant main effect was identified for stage (p < 0.01), with PL_{TOTAL} increasing as a function of performance duration and intensity. There was no significant difference in load responses between day 1 (118.44 ± 18.26 au; Cl: 108.66–128.22 au) and day 2 (109.50 ± 15.78 au; Cl: 109.50–117.08 au, p = 0.18), and no significant day *x* stage (p = 0.44) interaction.

A significant main effect for unit position (p < 0.01) was identified, along with the stage *x* unit position interaction (p < 0.01). Post hoc analyses revealed that PL_{TOTAL} was lower at C7 compared with the lower-limbs (d = 0.94-0.98), with the differences becoming more pronounced during the later stages (3–5) of the routine. There was no significant

P. NAGY ET AL. (______



Figure 1. Within- and between-day PL_{TOTAL} responses to the choreographed routine at C7 and in the dominant (DL) and non-dominant (NDL) limb. * Denotes a significant main effect for unit position.¹²³⁴⁵ signify the pairwise comparisons from stage 1 $\binom{1}{1}$ to stage 5 $\binom{5}{2}$.

difference between the lower-limbs (p = 0.97), and no significant day x unit position (p = 0.45), or day x stage x unit position (p = 0.90) interaction.

Load responses – relative uni-axial contributions

Uni-axial load contributions to PL_{TOTAL} are displayed in Table 2. There was no significant main effect for stage (p = 0.66), day (p = 0.53), or unit position (p = 0.73) in any plane. However, there was a significant main effect identified for uni-axial contribution (p < 0.01), with PLv ($41.07 \pm 1.92\%$; Cl: 40.65-41.49) representing a significantly larger contribution to PL_{TOTAL} compared with PL_{AP} (27.40 ± 1.45%; CI: 27.12–27.67, p = 0.001, d = 0.97) and PL_{ML} (31.54 ± 1.52%, Cl: 31.10–31.97; p 0.001, d = 0.94). PL_{AP} was significantly lower than PL_{MI} (p < 0.01, d = 0.81).

Post hoc analysis of a significant day x uni-axial contribution interaction (p < 0.01) revealed that PL_{ML} (31.21 ± 1.40%; CI: 30.83–31.59) on day one was significantly lower than on day two (31.86 \pm 1.64%; Cl: 31.23–32.50, p = 0.03, d = 0.21), whilst PL_v was significantly higher on day one (41.60 \pm 1.83%; CI: 41.04–42.16) than on day two $(40.54 \pm 2.01\%)$; CI: 40.11–40.96, p < 0.01, d = 0.27).

620

			Accelerometer Metric						
		PLT	fotal	PL₄	_P %	PL _{ML} % [†]		PL _V % [†]	
Stage	Unit location	Day 1	Day 2	Day 1	Day 2	Day 1	Day 2	Day 1	Day 2
1	C7	32.56	29.87	25.91	25.99	15.78 ^{δη}	16.56 ^{δη}	58.31 ^{*ŋ}	57.45 ^{*η}
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		5.83	6.86	2.30	3.98	1.57	1.87	3.33	4.49
	DL	133.42	115.56	27.09	28.11	36.17 ^δ	36.65 ^δ	36.73 ^δ	35.23 ^δ
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		26.92	20.97	1.01	1.54	2.51	1.98	2.73	1.87
	NDL	129.10	112.37	27.09	27.90	35.54 ^δ	36.71 ^δ	37.37 ^δ	35.40 ^δ
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		21.33	20.94	1.77	1.87	2.00	2.18	2.20	1.54
2	C7	29.94	28.40	25.73 ^ŋ	24.99 ⁿ	20.74 ^{6η7}	21.61 ^{6η7}	53.53 ^{*ŋ7}	53.40 ^{*ŋ1}
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		3.87	3.65	2.31	1.72	1.85	2.01	2.65	2.90
	DL	136.03	122.50	26.66	27.79	36.54°	36.80°	36.80°	35.41°
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		27.11	13.60	0.69	0.67	1.12	1.97	1.39	1.90
	NDL	136.46	119.51	26.74	27.20	35.22°	36.59°	38.05°	36.81°
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		18.23	12.84	1.07	1.05	1.05	1.74	0.69	1.30
3	C7	35.69	35.69	25.14"	25.22"	22.25	22.56	52.61 '	52.21 ′
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		4.57	4.18	1.70	1.02	1.48	1.42	2.35	2.14
	DL	163.77	152.12	26.93	28.61	36.33°	36.13°	36.74°	35.25°
		±					. ±		. ±
		26.92	17.08	0.56	0.93	1.18	1.43	1.37	1.63
	NDL	163.96	150.25	28.49	28.61*	34.48	35.68	37.03	35.71°2
		±	±		±		±	±	±
	C 7	21.25	20.95	1.46	1.25	1.14	1.62	1.08	1.22
4	٢/	38.87	37.89	24.87	24.68	24.69	25.14	50.44	50.18
		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		5.14	0.50	2.23	0.98	1.24 26.00 ^δ	1.45	2.5 I	2.13
	DL	183.40	1/2.05	28.54	29.56	30.80	30.79	34.05	33.04
		± 24.0E	± 	± 1 20	± 1.00	± 1 20	± 1.60	±	± 1 7 0
		34.03 195.00	23.30	1.30 20.24 ²	1.00 20.29 ²	1.20 25 60 ^{δ3}	1.00 27 10 ^{δ3}	0.69 25 16 ^{*123}	1.72 22 54 ^{*123}
	NDL	105.00	107.08	29.24	29.20	55.00	57.16	55.10	55.54 ±
		28.85	25 / 8	 1 / 2	1 24	 1 1 2	1 56	1 25	<u>+</u> 1 5 8
5	(7	20.05	20.40 40.12	75.11 ^ŋ	7.24 25.14 ^ŋ	25 54η ¹²³⁴	7.50 25 77η ¹²³⁴	1.2.5 10 3 1 ^{*1234}	1.50 10 08 ^{*1234}
J	0		+0.12	25.11	25.14	23.34	25.77	+7.54	+9.00
		5 83	 7 01	<u>+</u> 1 58	1 02	1 3 1	132	2 01	1 80
	DI	184 74	181 10	30 01 ¹²³⁴	30 52 ¹²³⁴	36.76 ^δ	37 16 ^δ	33 23 ^{*1234}	32 33*1234
		+	+	+	+		+	+	+
		30 73	26 63	- 1 4 3	<u>+</u> 1 10	<u>+</u> 1 37	<u>+</u> 1 45	<u>-</u> 1 59	<u>-</u> 2 1 9
	NDI	185.05	177 33	30 27 ¹²³⁴	30 43 ¹²³⁴	35.67 ^δ	36.60 ^δ	34 06 ^{*123}	32 96 ^{*123}
		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
		27.23	27.26	<u> </u>	<u>.</u> 1.99	0.95	0.98	1.47	1.81
		_,	_,			0.20	0.20		

Table 2. Uni-axial contributions to PL_{TOTAL} across stages of the ballet-specific choreograph. Values are mean $\pm \sigma$.

C7, 7th cervical vertebrae; DL, dominant limb; NDL, non-dominant limb, PL_{TOTAL} (accumulated load); PL_{AP} %, percentage contribution of anterior-posterior acceleration; PL_{ML} %, medial-lateral acceleration; PL_V %, vertical acceleration. [†] denotes a significant between-day difference. ⁿ denotes a significant difference between C7 and the lower-limbs. * denotes a significant difference compared with PL_{AP} % and PL_{ML} %. ^δ represents a significant difference to PL_{AP}. ¹²³⁴⁵ signify the pairwise comparisons from stage 1 (¹) through to stage 5 (⁵).

The significant unit position x uni-axial contribution interaction (p < 0.01) demonstrated that PL_{AP} at C7 (25.28 ± 1.88%; CI: 24.75–25.80) was significantly lower than both the dominant (28.38 ± 1.05%; CI: 28.05–28.71, p < 0.01, d = 0.71) and non-dominant (28.52 ± 1.43%; CI: 27.89–29.16, p < 0.01, d = 0.70) limb. There was no significant bilateral

622 🕒 P. NAGY ET AL.

difference (p = 1.00). PL_{ML} was also significantly lower at C7 (22.07 ± 1.55%; CI: 21.22–22.92) compared with the dominant (36.61 ± 1.58%; CI: 36.10–37.13, p = 0.001, d = 0.98) and non-dominant (35.93 ± 1.43%; CI: 35.19–36.67, p < 0.01, d = 0.98) limb, with no significant bilateral asymmetry (p = 0.19). PL_V at C7 (52.66 ± 2.63%; CI: 51.52–53.79) was significantly higher than the dominant (35.00 ± 1.72%; CI: 34.34–35.67, p = 0.001, d = 0.97) and non-dominant limb (35.55 ± 1.41%; CI: 34.86–36.24, p < 0.01, d = 0.97), with no significant bilateral difference (p = 0.74).

There were significant stage *x* unit position (p = 0.04), stage *x* uni-axial contribution (p < 0.01), and stage *x* unit position *x* uni-axial contribution (p < 0.01) interactions. Posthoc analyses showed that at C7, PL_{AP} remained constant throughout the duration of the protocol (p \ge 0.23), whereas PL_{ML} increased significantly between stages (p \le 0.03, *d* = 0.-27–0.81). A compensatory trend was observed for PL_V, with significant (p \le 0.01, *d* = 0.-25–0.51) progressive decreases demonstrated as a function of exercise duration, with the exception of stage 2 to stage 3 (p = 0.18). In the dominant limb, PL_{ML} did not significantly alter during completion of the ballet-specific choreograph (p \ge 0.65). PL_{AP} increased significantly from stage 4 to stage 5 (p \le 0.01, *d* = 0.39–0.55). In the non-dominant limb, PL_{AP} increased significantly from stage 2 onwards (p \le 0.001, *d* = 0.35–0.59), expect between stage 3 and stage 4 (p = 0.07). PL_{ML} was significantly greater in stage 2 (35.91 ± 1.39%; CI: 35.12–36.69) compared with stage 3 (35.08 ± 1.38%; CI: 34.21-35.95, p = 0.04, *d* = 0.29). PL_V significantly decreased temporally from stage 2 (p \le 0.04, *d* = 0.33–0.62) except between stage 4 and stage 5 (p = 0.08).

Physiological responses

HR and RPE responses to the ballet-specific choreography are presented in Table 3. A significant main effect for stage demonstrated that HR increased significantly from stage 1 to stage 2 (p = 0.001, d = 0.25), and stage 2 to stage 3 (p = 0.01, d = 0.25), whilst RPE ($p \le 0.01$, d = 0.27-0.39) increased significantly as function of exercise duration. However, there were no significant between-day differences for HR (p = 0.53) or RPE (p = 0.33), nor a significant day x stage interaction for HR (p = 0.09) or RPE (p = 0.79).

Discussion

The primary aims of the current investigation were to quantify the within– and betweenday load responses to a ballet-specific testing protocol, whilst examining the influence of

	Heart	Rate	Rating of Perceived Exertion			
Stage	Day 1	Day 2	Day 1	Day 2		
1	161.10 ± 20.91	158.00 ± 25.63	12.90 ± 2.92	11.80 ± 3.33		
2	171.90 ± 23.00^{1}	170.90 ± 21.66^{1}	14.60 ± 2.84^{1}	13.80 ± 3.19 ¹		
3	181.40 ± 14.27 ¹²	181.00 ± 14.95 ¹²	16.50 ± 2.22 ¹²	15.80 ± 1.99 ¹²		
4	184.50 ± 9.41 ¹²	185.50 ± 16.20 ¹²	17.30 ± 1.70 ¹²³	17.20 ± 1.81 ¹²³		
5	188.90 ± 14.79 ¹²³	187.90 ± 13.19 ¹²³	18.50 ± 1.18 ¹²³⁴	18.5 0 ± 1.08 ¹²³⁴		

Table 3. Temporal effects on HR and RPE during the ballet-specific protocol. Values are mean $\pm \sigma$.

 12345 denotes a significant difference between stages from stage 1 (¹) through to stage 5 (⁵).

unit location with a specific focus on bilateral loading asymmetries in ballet dancers. The ballet-specific choreographed routine was designed in accordance with the constructs of the petit and grand allegro routines of ballet.

Within-day accelerometer-derived load responses to a novel ballet-specific choreograph increased as product of time and intensity of exercise. PL_{TOTAL} demonstrated a progressive increase over completion of the testing protocol, a trend consistent with the findings of previous research conducted during the DAFT protocol (Brogden et al., 2018). Increases in accelerometer-derived load followed the same temporal pattern to those quantified for HR and RPE, thereby suggesting that resultant load responses reflected the physiological and perceptual rigours of the current testing protocol. Relative contributions to PL_{TOTAL} revealed a significant uni-axial contribution x stage interaction. During stage 1, the ratio of accelerations in PL_{AP} , PL_{ML} and PL_{V} was 27:30:43, which by stage 5 had altered to 29:32:39. The higher contribution from the vertical plane may be indicative of the number of aerial displacements (e.g., Jeté, Temps levé) included in the ballet protocol. That compensatory increases in PL_{AP} and PL_{ML} for a reduction in PL_v in stage 5 were apparent despite the greater number of jump-landing manoeuvres, may suggest an altered movement strategy was employed. This notion is supported by research showing that soccer players adopt a running technique to lower their centre of mass and reduce vertical accelerations following increases in external and internal load (Cormack et al., 2013). The temporal increases in PL_{TOTAL} may, in part, be explained by the progression of movement complexity and tempo as exercise duration increased. However, alterations in the contribution to overall movement from each plane may be symptomatic of fatigue-induced adjustments in technique when performing ballet-specific manoeuvres and/or routines (Fitzpatrick et al., 2019).

A second key finding highlighted a significant day x uni-axial contribution interaction, with relative contributions in PL_{ML} increasing on day 2 to compensate for a lower contribution in PL_v. The experimental protocol required the same 20 minute choreographed routine to be completed twice, with 24-hours separating the two performances. There was no significant change in PL_{TOTAL}, or indeed the physiological or perceptual responses between days, and thus, the differences in planar contributions suggest an altered loading response. The reduced proportion of PL_v may be a residual fatigue response as dancers attenuate their commitment to jump-landings in an effort to lower resultant impact forces (Fitzpatrick et al., 2019). This observed modification in movement strategy may have implications for performance aesthetics and training workload prescription. Ballet dancers expend numerous hours developing, learning, and perfecting routines, and may be required to execute the complex and mechanically demanding movement profile of ballet multiple times a day, across several days of the week (Wyon & Koutedakis, 2013). The injury risk when performing repeated jump-landing manoeuvres of high kinetic demand is magnified considering the limited time designated for recovery during a typical workday (Twitchett et al., 2010). With the majority of injuries in ballet attributed to overuse (Smith et al., 2015), conducting more prolonged observations of training and/or performance in ballet with emphasis on loading strategy responses to similar routines, may reveal key injury aetiology information (Bowen et al., 2017; Colby et al., 2014).

A further key finding was the significant main effect for unit position on PL_{TOTAL} and the relative uni-axial contributions. Using a single unit to measure whole-body accelerations is therefore flawed given the multi-segment requirements of most movements (Nedergaard

et al., 2017). These findings corroborate those of previous studies in cricket (Greig & Nagy, 2017) and in dance (Brogden et al., 2018). Hence, quantifying accelerometer-derived load using the conventional C7 placement may underestimate the interpretation of performance rigours in athlete monitoring, leading to inappropriate interventions. PL_{TOTAL} showed an increase proportionate to the duration and intensity of exercise, with more profound increases observed in the lower-limbs. At C7, PL_{TOTAL} was 4.6 times lower compared with the lower-limbs. In addition, PL_{TOTAL} at C7 increased by approximately 27% between stage 1 and stage 5, which was markedly lower than the increases observed in the dominant (~47%) and non-dominant (~50%) limbs. The symmetry in load response demonstrated in the lower-limbs may reflect the emphasis on equal limb contribution and control during development, which typically begins at an early age (Bronner & Ojofeitimi, 2006).

The ratio of the uni-planar contributions to PL_{TOTAL} at C7 (25:22:53) contrasted to that of the dominant (28:37:35) and non-dominant (28:36:36) limb. The higher relative contribution of PL_{AP} and PL_{ML} observed in the lower-limbs may be explained by the technique required to execute the discrete intricacies of ballet routines. For example, the Jete requires a forward split formation of the legs which is likely to result in greater anteriorposterior acceleration at the lower-limbs. Another observation was greater consistency in planar contributions to loading at stage 1 through to stage 5 in the lower-limbs (28:36:36 vs 30:37:33), compared with C7 (26:16:58 vs 25:26:49).

These findings suggest that accelerometers present an efficacious means of monitoring in-vivo loading during dance performance, but with consideration required for unit placement. However, the application of these findings are limited to the current experimental design, acknowledging the relatively short duration of the choreographed routine in relation to typical ballet training and performance demands. Meaningful inferences on the cumulative effects of training and/or performance on accelerometer-derived load may only be achieved via longitudinal observations spanning several weeks or months. It must also be noted that without a direct measure of neuromuscular fatigue, accelerometry may only serve as a proxy measure of fatigue, and the findings from the curent study ought to be interpreted with this in mind. Efforts to establish a direct association between neuromuscular fatigue and accelerometer-derived load responses are advocated. Dance genre, level of performer, and the impact of previous injury also warrant further investigation.

Conclusion

Within-trial changes in tri-axial loading response were sensitive to the temporal increases in mechanical demand, suggesting efficacy in monitoring dancers. However, anatomical placement influences load magnitude, and planar contributions to loading should be considered in practice. Between-trial differences across consecutive days in planar contributions to PL_{TOTAL} suggest an altered loading strategy. Of note, the magnitude of PL_{TOTAL} was consistent between trials, highlighting the limitations of dependence on this single metric, which might mask planar changes with implications for technical performance and subsequent injury risk. There was no evidence of bilateral asymmetry in lower-limb loading, suggesting that ballet training facilitates physical symmetry.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the participants involved in the study.

Ethical approval

The University's departmental ethics committee granted approval of this study.

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

No funding of any description was provided for this study.

Notes on contributors

Philip Nagy is a Lecturer in Sport & Exercise Therapy at York St. John University where he teaches on the Laboratory- and Field-based Assessment, Applied Sport and Exercise Biomechanics, Sports Injury Prevention, and Sports Injury Reconditioning and Return to Sport modules.

Dr. Chris Brogden is a Senior Lecturer in Sport and Exercise Kinesiology and member of the Sports Therapy team where he lectures on Kinesiology and Research Methods based modules, whilst assisting in the functional rehabilitation clinic. Chris is currently supervising students at both masters and doctoral levels. In addition to this, Chris is currently a member of the departments' research and retention committees.

Gabrielle Orr is a visiting Lecturer at Edge Hill University, involved with various modules on the BA (Hons) Dance degree programme. Gabrielle is a Distinction-grade MSc Dance Science graduate of the University of Wolverhampton. Gabrielle has over 15 years of dance experience in a variety of genres, and is currently performing with Ballet Folk UK.

Professor Matt Greig is a Professor of Sports Therapy and a member of the senior management team in the Department of Sport and Physical Activity. Matt teaches on the Sports Therapy programme and is actively involved with postgraduate supervision at masters and PhD level. Matt leads the Sports Injuries research group which includes therapists and biomechanists.

ORCID

Philip Nagy () http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0564-510X Chris Brogden () http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8848-2048

References

Aicale, R., Tarantino, D., & Maffulli, N. (2018). Overuse injuries in sport: A comprehensive overview. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research, 13(1), 309–320. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-018-1017-5

Barrett, S., Midgley, A., & Lovell, R. (2014). PlayerLoad (TM): Reliability, convergent validity, and influence of unit position during treadmill running. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 9(6), 945–952. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2013-0418 626 🕒 P. NAGY ET AL.

- Borg, G. A. (1982). Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 14(5), 377–381. https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-198205000-00012
- Bowen, L., Gross, A. S., Gimpel, M., Bruce-low, S., & Li, F.-X. (2019). Spikes in acute: Chronicworkload ratio (ACWR) associated with a 5-7 times greater injury rate in english premier league football players: A comprehensive 3-year study. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(12), 731–738. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-099422
- Bowen, L., Gross, A. S., Gimpel, M., & Francois-Xavier, L. (2017). Accumulated workloads and the acute: Chronicworkload ratio relate to injury risk in elite youth football players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 51(5), 452–459. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095820
- Boyd, L. J., Ball, K., & Aughey, R. J. (2011). The reliability of MinimaxX accelerometers for measuring physical activity in Australian Football. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 6(3), 311–321. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.6.3.311
- Brogden, C. M., Armstrong, R., Page, R., Milner, D., Norris, D., & Grieg, M. (2018). Use of triaxial accelerometry during the dance aerobic fitness test: Considerations for unit positioning and implications for injury risk and performance. *Journal of Dance Medicine & Science*, 22(3), 115–122. https://doi.org/10.12678/1089-313X.22.3.115
- Bronner, S., & Ojofeitimi, S. (2006). Gender and limb difference in healthy elite dancers: passé kinematics. Journal of Motor Behaviour, 38(1), 71–79. https://doi.org/10.3200/jmbr.38.1.71-79
- Cheron, C., Le Scanff, C., & Leboeuf-Yde, C. (2016). Association between sports type and overuse injuries of children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Chiropractic & Manual Therapies*, 24(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12998-017-0135-1
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Routledge Academic.
- Colby, M. J., Dawson, B., Heasman, J., Rogalski, B., & Gabbett, T. J. (2014). Accelerometer and GPS-derived running loads and injury risk in elite Australian Footballers. *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *28*(8), 2244–2252. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000000362
- Cormack, S. J., Mooney, M. G., Morgan, W., & McGuigan, M. R. (2013). Influence of neuromuscular fatigue on accelerometer load in elite Australian football players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 8(4), 373–381. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.8.4.373
- Fitzpatrick, J. F., Akenhead, R., Russell, M., Hicks, K. M., & Hayes., P. R. (2019). Sensitivity and reproducibility of a fatigue response in elite youth football players. *Science and Medicine in Football*, 3(3), 214–220. https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2019.1571685
- Greig, M., & Nagy, P. (2017). Lumbar- and cervicothoracic-spine loading during a fast-bowling spell. *Journal of Sports Rehabilitation*, 26(4), 257–262. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2015-0174
- Liederbach, M., Dilgen, F. F., & Rose, D. J. (2008). Incidence of anterior cruciate ligament injuries among elite ballet and modern dancers: A 5-year prospective study. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 36(9), 1779–1788. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546508323644
- Liederbach, M., Kremenic, I. J., Orishimo, K. F., Pappas, E., & Hagins, M. (2014). Comparison of landing biomechanics between male and female dancers and athletes, part 2: Influence of fatigue and implications for anterior cruciate ligament injury. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*,42(5), 1089– 1095. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546514524525
- Meeusen, R., Duclos, M., Foster, C., Fry, C., Gleeson, M., Nieman, D., Raglin, J., Rietjens, G., Steinacker, J., & Urhausen, A., European College of Sport Science, American College of Sports Medicine, (2013). Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the overtraining syndrome: Joint consensus statement of the European college of sport science and the American college of sports medicine. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 49(1), 186–205. http://doi.org/10. 1249/MSS.0b013e318279a10a
- Murgia, C. (2013). Overuse, tissue fatigue, and injuries. *Journal of Dance Medicine & Science*, 17(3), 92–100. https://doi.org/10.12678/1089-313X.17.3.92
- Nedergaard, N. J., Robinson, M. A., Eusterwiemann, E., Drust, B., Lisboa, P. J., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2017). The relationship between whole-body external loading and body-worn accelerometry during team-sport movements. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 12(1), 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0712

- Orishimo, K. F., Kremenic, I. J., Pappas, E., Hagins, M., & Liederbach, M. (2009). Comparison of landing biomechanics between male and female professional dancers. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *37*(11), 2187–2193. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546509339365
- Schaefer, A., O'Dwyer, N., Ferdinands, R. E. D., & Edwards, S. (2018). Consistency of kinematic and kinetic patterns during a prolonged spell of cricket fast bowling: An exploratory laboratory study. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 36(6), 679–690. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1330548
- Schwellnus, M., Soligard, T., Alonso, J.-M., Bahr, R., Clarsen, B., Djikstra, H. P., Gabbett, T., Gleeson, M., Hagglund, M., Hutchinson, M. R., Van Rensburg, C. J., Khan, K. M., Meeusen, R., Orchard, J. W., Pluim, B. M., Raftery, M., Budgett, R., & Engebretsen, L. (2016). How much is too much? (part 2) international olympic committee consensus statement on load in sport and risk of illness. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *50*(17), 1043–1052. http://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096572
- Smith, P. J., Gerrie, B. J., Varner, K. E., McCulloch, P. C., Lintner, D. M., & Harris, J. D. (2015). Incidence and prevalence of musculoskeletal injury in ballet: A systematic review. Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 3(7), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967115592621
- Soligard, T., Schwellnus, M., Alonso, J.-M., Bahr, R., Clarsen, B., Djikstra, H. P., Gabbett, T., Gleeson, M., Hagglund, M., Hutchinson, M. R., Van Rensburg, C. J., Khan, K. M., Meeusen, R., Orchard, J. W., Pluim, B. M., Raftery, M., Budgett, R., & Engebretsen, L. (2016). How much is too much? (part 1) international olympic committee consensus statement on load in sport and risk of injury. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(17), 1030–1041. http://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096581
- Turner, C., Crow, S., Crowther, T., Keating, B., Saupan, T., Pyfer, J., Vialpando, K., & Lee, S-Z. (2018). Preventing non-contact ACL injuries in female athletes: What can we learn from dancers? *Physical Therapy in Sport*, *31*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10/1016/j.ptsp.2017.12.002
- Twitchett, E. A., Angioi, M., Koutedakis, Y., & Wyon, M. (2010). The demands of a working day among female professional ballet dancers. *Journal of Dance Medicine & Science*, *14*(4), 127–132. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21703083/
- Wyon, M., & Koutedakis, Y. (2013). Muscular fatigue: Considerations for dance. *Journal of Dance Medicine & Science*, *17*(2), 63–69. https://doi.org/10.12678/1089-313X.17.2.63
- Wyon, M., Redding, E., Abt, G., Head, A., & Sharp, N. C. C. (2003). Development, reliability, and validity of a multistage dance aerobic fitness test (DAFT). *Journal of Dance Medicine & Science*, 7(3), 80–84. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229071256_Development_reliability_and_validity_ of_a_multistage_dance_specific_aerobic_fitness_test_DAFT